## Kidnap-Brainwash Victim Roeshman Breaks with Parents

NEW YORK, August 23 (IPS) — Abducted Labor Committee member Gail Roeshman has made several phone calls to close personal friends avowing a break with her parents in favor of a "new friend" who she reports to have knowledgeable acquaintance with the CIA and FBI. Her repeated avowals of a break with her parents as well as with the Labor Committees and all her former close friends indicate that her brainwashing by programmed behavior modification methods has been completed.

This crime could not have been successfully accomplished without wilful neglect by the U.S. Attorney's Office and the FBI.

## **Characteristic of Brainwashing**

The announcement of a break with all her former close associates and her parents in favor of a "new friend" is characteristic of brainwashing. In order to accomplish a successful brainwashing, the criminals frequently depend on establishing a type of sexual dependency by the victim on a member of the brainwashing team who acts as the controller. As long as the victim maintains close attachments to husband or wife and close friends, the control can be resisted by the victim.

The announcement of the break with her parents is conclusive. Although brainwashing usually exploits the victim's infantile aspects of dependency upon the mother at the beginning of the program, at the later stages it is customary to cause a break with the real mother that establishes total psychological dependency on the "surrogate mother" represented by the brainwashing agency or "control group."

This phenomenon is not unconnected to the habit among CIA operatives of referring to the Agency as "Mother." Unless the actual mother is complicit in the maintenance of the brainwashing, it is necessary for the brainwashers to break the victim's maternal attachments in the later stages of "behavioral modification" in order to deprive the victim of any powerful connections to his or her former sense of identity.

## Patrick's Role

Recent investigations have secured documented evidence that another Labor Committee member had

been targeted for abduction and brainwashing earlier than Gail Roeshman. Ted Patrick was to have been used in that earlier, fortunately aborted incident, just as he was used in the Roeshman case. It is now clear that Patrick's principal use in the Roeshman case was simply that of providing a "geek act" smokescreen for the real brainwashing job, which began after Patrick stepped out of the case.

A nationwide pattern of FBI and Law Enforcement Assistance Administration ordered harassment of the Labor Committees has been established in several proceedings in Federal and other courts. The refusal of the Philadelphia U.S. Attorney and the FBI to act in the crime against Roeshman, like the New York District Attorney's cover-up of criminal activities involving the Lincoln Hospital Detox zombie factory, tends to corroborate Gail Roeshman's reports that her actual brainwashing was conducted with the aid of persons connected to the CIA and the FBI.

## **Labor Committee Legal Measures**

Labor Committee attorneys have filed suit in U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, to enjoin permanently Ted Patrick and Benjamin Roeshman from further abducting, imprisoning, or "deprogramming" Gail Roeshman or any other member of the National Caucus of Labor Committees; they have sued Patrick and Roeshman for a total of \$35,000.

August 7 Federal Judge John P. Fullam issued a writ of habeas corpus ordering Mr. and Mrs. Roeshman to deliver Gail Roeshman to the court August 16, in order that she might testify. Although Mr. Roeshman, Patrick, and their attorneys knew of the court's order, they failed to comply. The FBI and U.S. Attorney for Philadelphia have not acted, refusing to investigate or pursue the case.

In response, attorneys for the NCLC are preparing a writ of mandamus by which the Federal court would order the U.S. Attorney to investigate the Roeshman kidnapping and brainwashing. The American Civil Liberties Union in Philadelphia has announced that it will file an amicus curiae brief in support of the demand for a writ.