# **Let Them Eat — People**

Sept. 9 (IPS) The Toronto newspaper The Globe and Mail fired another salvo in the Rockefeller campaign to bestialize the world working class — a 600-word article on the merits of cannibalism. This follows directly last week's interview by Aurelio Peccei on the same subject in the widely read Mexican Excelsior. Peccei lauded the Uruguayan victims of a plane crash in the Andes who survived by eating their dead comrades. Richard J. Needham, longtime columnist for the Canadian paper, expands on the same theme. In order that workers are thoroughly armed to fight this attempt to make cannibalism a subject for "rational" discussion we quote at length from Needham:

"Considering the desperation that accompanies famine — whether among the inmates of concentration camps, or victims of cataclysms of nature — it is a matter of wonder that cannibalism is not more common than it is. During the long siege of Leningrad, with the freezing city isolated on all sides by the Germany army and by the sea, the inhabitants ate cloth, leather, mud, wood, and paper, but they did not eat each other. Each morning those who had fallen dead of stervation lay in the streets, and were stacked in barrows and carted

away. Protein, fat and carbohydrates, a king's ransom of dead, were interred in the ground or burned in incinerators by the very people who could have saved themselves by their ingestion — this done in the full knowledge that they were to be the corpses of tomorrow. When a rare instance of cannibalism was discovered, the outraged populace, stirred from the misery of its own preoccupation by the violation of this most deeply rooted taboo, mustered the last dregs of its energy, attacked and slew the perpetrators. What kept them from their feast? An inherent sense of dignity? A sense that this, at least, they must not do."

When he was confronted by Labor Party spokesmen, the City Desk editor of the Globe and Mail tried to dismiss the openly pro-Hitler Needham as a harmless crank whom everyone ignored. Yet, on the page next to Needham's column a feature article attacking technology as a threat to the earth's climate shows that the article did indeed express the paper's policy. Needham like Peccei is faithfully carrying out a deliberate Rockefeller policy to degrade the world's population to a level beneath that of most animals, who shun eating their own kind.

# Reporters Embrace Rockefeller's Plans for Cannibalism

Sept. 7 (IPS) — The International Press Service is conducting an investigation into the recent enthusiastic response of the bourgeois press to cannibalism — Rockefeller's Final Solution to the food crisis he has created.

Gearing up for the United Nations World Food Conference scheduled for November in Rome, the Rockefellers last week turned loose their Chief Cannibal, Aurelio Peccei, head of the infamous industrialists' enclave the Club of Rome. In an interview in Mexico City with the daily Excelsior, Peccei, coming straight from his unscheduled public debate with organizers from the International Caucus of Labor Committees at the UN Population Conference in Bucharest, put forward cannibalism as a creative answer to starvation. August 31 the Toronto Globe and Mail seconded Peccei's call with a psychotic outburst by reporter Richard Needham: "It is a matter of wonder that cannibalism is not more common that it is." He proceeded to lament the delicacy

of Soviet workers during the Second World War, when they insisted on burying their dead rather than availing themselves of the "proteins, fats, and carbohydrates."

### **Fascist Logic**

These exhortations to atrocity in the pages of the once "civilized" bourgeois press are not aberrations. Cannibalism is Rockefeller's fundamental program to bring before a starving world when the UN convenes its Food Conference. What could be more appropriate? Governments fresh from the Population Conference can see the "logic": "There are too many babies being born; there is not enough food ...." Why not eat babies?!

# **Bourgeois Journalists Turn Into Ghouls**

IPS called reporters from foreign and domestic journals in the United States this week with this question: Do you support expanded food production, based on moratorium on debt and crash development of nuclear fusion power, or do you find it acceptable that Rockefeller intends human beings to gnaw each other's bones in a final ghastly feast? Do you find it criminal that two major daily newspapers in North America have recommended cannibalism as a practice to be encouraged?

Staff reporters at the Philadelphia Bulletin guffawed: If cannibalism actually happens in Philadelphia we'll print it." Presumably, if it happens in India they'll take it in stride. Philadelphia reporter Jim Davies declared: "Add my name to the list — I intend to do nothing about it."

Vera Gewanter, New York City correspondent for the Italian daily L'Espresso frantically sought "neutral" moral ground: "Well, it is not cannibalism if you do not kill the person to eat him. I suppose if I were in the mountains and starving and someone died..."

The United Nations researcher for West German TV NDR, Steve Westheimer, couldn't understand what the outrage was about — "After all, cannibalism was only in two newspapers. You're making too much of an issue out of it."

During a lengthy exchange Ulf Nilsson, Senior Correspondent for the Swedish daily Expressen, broke down and screamed: "I am 100 per cent in favor of cannibalism."

A related instance of journalistic depravity surfaced when the Seattle *Post-Intelligencer* carried a prominently featured article August 30 on the uses of the human body as fertilizer — as an abundant source of nitrates and nitrites, for example.

#### **New York Times Scoops Them All**

However, the reporter who can claim the "scoop" on cannibalism is the New York Times' own Paul Montgomery, now being sued by the Labor Committees for slander (the Times carried his two monumental pieces aimed at the Labor Committees in January and July of this year). In March 1974 Montgomery wrote a piece on the Uruguayan survivors of last year's Andes plane crash—they survived by eating the flesh of their dead. The occasion for Montgomery's article was a party held in New York City to celebrate the first anniversary of their rescue—spent recalling the good old days in the mountains. Montgomery, whose tastes in writing otherwise run to covering homosexual murder rings, has the distinction of being the first U.S. journalist to cover cannibalism as an understandable fact of life.

In the next weeks IPS will continue polling reporters and editors across the country, demanding that every journalist who finds cannibalism abhorrent publish the alternative: Moratecium on all farm debt; development of nuclear fusion power as the energy source for expanded agricultural production; and the political destruction of Nelson Rockefeller and his vampire brothers.

## **IPS Directory**

Lists of reporters who find cannibalism amusing or tolerable will continue to appear in IPS and New Solidarity. When workers get hungry, they will know where to go. We will make these vultures eat their words!

# **Knight Journalists Stripped of Identity**

Sept. 9 (IPS) — The Charlotte Observer (North Carolina) is one of Rockefeller's Southern mouthpieces, consistently spewing forth editorials lauding Rockefeller and his Zero Economic and population policies. IPS efforts to find the criminal responsible for a particularly blatant anti-working-class editorial resulted in the denial by the Observer of any individual responsibility. In fact, IPS was told, the editors of the Charlotte Observer "collectively" write their editorials!

The reason behind this collectivist mentality—removing personal responsibility from individuals—becomes clear if we examine the Observer's executive and editorial boards. The Knight family tightly controls the Observer—as well as other major newspapers including the Detroit Free Press and the Philadelphia Inquirer—within their family newspaper empire, Knight Newspapers, Inc. Like the rest of the Rockefeller press, Knight has its roots in the U.S. intelligence and

propaganda agencies. John Knight, the Editorial Chairman, was Chief Liaison between U.S. and British Censorship from 1943 to 1944 — only one example of the extensive psychological warfare training of Knight chain personnel as a whole.

### **KNIT: Creation of Journalists**

To ensure uniformity in its personnel, Knight maintains a training center, Knight Newspapers Institute of Training (KNIT), in Miami, Florida. This behavior-modification training center, specifically geared to inculcating journalists with the virtues and skills of "objective" news reporting, uses sophisticated methods to work over participants. Undoubtedly, KNIT victims emerge so separated from their "unobjective" selves that they become entirely amoral.

One of KNIT's books, entitled *Precision Journalism*, states explicitly the "training techniques" used: "... the