A Brief for Congress II

Rockefeller's Political Empire

Sept. 9 (IPS) — This second installment of the Brief for Congress completes the written testimony on Nelson A. Rockefeller presented by the U.S. Labor Party to Congress Sept. 5. The brief was delivered to the Rules Committee of the United States Senate and the Judiciary Committee of the House of Representatives. Reprints of the full brief are available at \$2.50 each from IPS. Bulk rates can be arranged with IPS.

Introduction

The United States Labor Party submits this brief, not only to the Rules Committee of the United States Senate and to the Judiciary Committee of the House of Representatives, but to large sectors of the population — primarily workers and farmers. Since the nomination of Nelson A. Rockefeller to the Vice Presidency, these worker and farmer layers have expressed intense anger and dissatisfaction about that nomination. We have experienced this outpouring of anger in our day-to-day contact with workers at plant gates, in shopping centers, and in their home communities. Various Congressional aides have indicated that they are receiving an inordinate amount of anti-Rockefeller mail from usually non-letter-writing working-class constituencies.

The content of that mail usually targets Rockefeller's personal wealth, his murderous role in the Attica massacre, or his abortion stand. As the accompanying financial brief establishes, the question of Rockefeller's wealth — and hence his political predilections — cannot be viewed in isolation from the concentrated economic and political power of the entire Rockefeller family.

Similarly, to target any single atrocity such as the Attica murders or the massive methadone drug-pushing in New York State is to miss the significance of a much broader, more systematic and conscious attempt to institute fascist economic policies and military rule in this country (and in the entire advanced sector).

This brief will outline the stated fascist intent of the Rockefeller family, the apparatus through which they exercise significant influence in the United States government, and their overt crimes against constitutional democracy and humanity. Throughout, reference will be made to the more developed documentation, appended in the form the May-June issues of

The Campaigner [theoretical journal of the Labor Committees], various New Solidarity articles, and International Press Service dispatches.

I. A Zero-Growth World

The policy of zero economic and population growth, for which John D. Rockefeller III is the major spokesman and David and Nelson Rockefeller are the prime implementers, is the euphemism for modern fascism.

Zero Growth, as practiced by the Rockefellers, means deindustrialization of the advanced sector, the destruction of capital-intensive and highly productive agriculture, and a massive reduction in the world's population. Concomitant with the destruction of productive capacities is the necessary extraction of real wealth from one's own population; real wealth necessary to back up the exploding mass of paper capital.

The end result of that looting process lawfully must be mass starvation...and cannibalism. Cannibalism currently is being offered as the ultimate form of a Zero Growth world by Aurelio Peccei, President of the Vokswagen Foundation-funded Club of Rome and cothinker of John D. Rockefeller III. Peccei, in the Mexico City newspaper Excelsior, recommended that the human race could survive a contracting world economy by following the example of the young Uruguayans whose plane crashed in the Andes. For those unfamiliar with the incident, the young men survived by eating the bodies of their dead companions.

We anticipate the anguished screams of those who protest, "But Rockefeller never said that he supported cannibalism." We remind those naive individuals that criminals seldom admit to their crimes. Rather, it is necessary to examine the motion of the hands and feet to ascertain the validity of what the mouth is saying.

The stated policy of John D. Rockefeller III is to cut the population of this planet by several millions. The stated policy of the World Bank, run by Rockefeller-crony Robert S. McNamara, is to promote labor-intensive agriculture, thereby reducing world agricultural productivity. The open policy of the Rockefeller-dominated New York banks is to siphon credit out of regional banks, and hence out of agricultural in-

vestment. (See appended document, "The New York Banking Conspiracy.")**

The singular activity of the world's leading financial institutions since the phony Mideast War of last October has been the consolidation of world liquidity into Rockefeller hands. The mechanism for this was the rise in the price of oil which essentially transferred funds, to the sum of \$8 billion, from working-class consumption, municipal services, and productive investment (especially in Europe) into the coffers of the New York banks. (See appended Financial Brief.)**

Hence the fundamental economic moves made by the Rockefellers in the last year have resulted in a potentially disastrous collapse in agricultural production through lack of credit, investment, and fertilizer, and a contraction in jobs and services throughout the advanced sector.

The preconditions have been laid for mass starvation. Need Mr. Rockefeller personally declare for cannibalism?

Military Dictatorship

The transformation of the U.S. political structure into a form which will accomodate the level of looting envisaged by the Rockefellers must necessarily be towards a military dictatorship. To those who scoff at the thought of military rule in an advanced western country, we merely refer to the New York Times September 2. An article by Alvin Shuster entitled, "Rightists Trying to 'Save Britain'" reports the Minister of Defense denouncing a "near-fascist groundswell." Former Foreign Office official Lord Chalfont reports that people in Britain "are beginning to contemplate the possibility of a period of authoritarian rule." He also noted "disturbing signs of contempt for parliamentary democracy." We quote the New York Times:

"The disclosure of the [right-wing] groups' existence has helped to inspire one of the more unusual debates in recent years, centering on whether there could be a coup in Britain. The Times of London, hardly a hysterical newspaper, has gone so far as to run articles by experts arguing yes and no."

Britain is merely so many months in advance of the United States. As our publication *New Solidarity* reported in January and February of this year, Britain's imminent fall was being orchestrated by the CIA and the nexus of Rockefeller's other international forces at work in England. (The shape of those forces is outlined in the following section.) We need only add that the so-

called right-wing groups plotting for military takeover are headed by such obvious CIA-type agents as Gen. Sir Walter Walker, a former NATO commander in Northern Europe, and Col. David Stirling, a former commander of a British special operations unit.

Unless the Congress acts definitively to implement the overwhelming sentiment of the population to Stop Rockefeller, unless the Congress breaks out of its irrelevancy and impotence — that debate for or against a military coup is not far in the offing in this country.

II. The Rockefeller Network

Introduction

From the very moment that the first rumors began circulating in Washington, D.C. and elsewhere concerning the possibility of Nelson Rockefeller Vice Presidential nomination, there has been no lack of general mention in the major U.S. and European press and broadcast media of three accurate generalizations relating to the personal position and influence of Mr. Rockefeller. For the sake of clarity, we identify those generalizations as follows: First, reports concerning Mr. Rockefeller's vast personal wealth (in dollar terms); second, the altogether accurate location of Nelson Rockefeller as a leading member of the so-called "Eastern Establishment"; and third, the assessment, always noted for its alleged "positive" implications, that Mr. Rockefeller would bring with him to that government office a long history of extensive international contact with key individuals in government, business, universities, labor, etc., and an uncanny ability to "attract a staff of talented aides."

We leave it to the simple-minded formalists, empiricists, and others who feel compelled to reach a final calculation of the personal dollar-wealth of Nelson Rockefeller to expand upon the first of these generalizations, and we wish them a passing "good luck." We refer, here, to the Financial Brief submitted for consideration and investigation by the United States Labor Party, to detail the relative inaccuracy of such an approach.

The present section of this written testimony will expand upon the two points, mentioned above, dealing with Nelson Rockefeller's personal ties with a vast international network and the so-called "Eastern Establishment."

A few preliminary remarks should be made first, the necessity for which will become obvious after careful consideration of the full testimony by the United States Labor Party. While there has been this general notation of Mr. Rockefeller's connections, one is consistently met with the most acute forms of irrational and infantile

^{*}The "New York Banking Conspiracy" is available from IPS for \$2.00

^{**} Rockefeller's Financial Empire, Part I of the brief for Congress published in IPS No. 18.

hysteria from certain press and government circles whenever one engages in any form of public scrutiny regarding the real institutional and individual make-up of what is now widely known as the "Rockefeller cabal."

No one would be so ignorant as to deny the existence of these international connections and contacts, and Mr. Rockefeller's personal relationship to them. Still, when it comes to a public examination of the real individuals and an accurate characterization of their various working relationships and activities, the resulting hysteria is rather pervasive among certain layers who feel they have something sacred to protect. If one were to believe the hysterics, one would have to assume that the noted Rockefeller connections are to a group of faceless, speechless, and nameless nonentities! Since this is not the case, it is therefore not surprising that on no occasion have the hysterics attempted to deny or disprove the factual evidence we have consistently presented. Their response is never, "that is not true and here's why..."; but rather, "you can't say that!" It is therefore our immediate concern to establish competent grounds for investigation and an intelligent, adult atmosphere for the presentation of this testimony, devoid of irrational hysteria. When the Rockefeller-controlled New York Times hysterically attempts to deny not only the content of this testimony but the mere existence of the testimony itself, then we will more precisely be able to locate the source of the hysteria and offer our assistence to the stricken individuals, for we also have a degree of competence in such matters.

Because the body of accumulated evidence proving an international conspiracy led by the Rockefeller family to impose a new fascist world order based on vicious, Schachtian "Zero Growth" policies is extensive, we shall outline here in summary form the Rockefeller network, and reference relevant materials from the pages of New Solidarity, IPS and the Campaigner.

What Is The "Eastern Establishment?"

This vortex of modern fascism, which we prefer to label variously as the Rockefeller cabal, the "Real CIA," or the Anglo-American "SS," is truly "eastern" only in that it most often locates its headquarters at One Cirase Manhattan Plaza, the Council on Foreign Relations, or Rockefeller Center in New York. The very use of the term establishment serves to promote a broad misconception regarding the actual workings of this cabal. That is, one is tempted to regard this group as somehow stable or stagnant. When analyzed from the standpoint of history, however, the most accurate characterization of the Rockefeller network results: this network is the transmission belt for the individuals who are assigned or appointed to specific tasks or positions on the basis of their expected ability to implement the precise policies which the Rockefeller family perceives as its own

necessity at any given point in historical time.

The operation, obviously, is not the result of mystical wheelings and dealings or random potshots, but is initiated by and under the personal control of a definite leadership with a more or less specific division of labor. This leadership, in turn, is dominated by the Rockefeller brothers Nelson, David, and John D. III. Specifically, Nelson Rockefeller is the political coordinator and enforcer; David, Chairman of the Chase Manhattan Bank, oversees financial machinations; and John D. III heads the funding mechanism of the Rockefeller Foundation and is the world's leading proponent of fascist Zero Economic and Population Growth (witness his personal attempted supervision of the recently concluded United Nations World Population Conference in Bucharest, Romania). Were it not for the stunning intervention of the International Caucus of Labor Committees and the wide circulation of the ICLC's proposal for worldwide industrial and agricultural expansion based on the development of controlled fusion power technology, John D. Rockefeller III would have had free rein to shove fascism down the throats of the international delegations assembled there.

Council on Foreign Relations

An examination of the roster of officers, directors, and members of the New York-based Council on Foreign Relations provides a useful catalogue of the top and secondary leadership of the Rockefeller cabal. It should be noted well that — although the Council on Foreign Relations is comprised of numerous individuals who hold elected public office, as well as top representatives from business and financial institutions, major universities, major press organs, and various appointed government officers — the content of the Council's meetings, activities, and deliberations are for the most part totally secret. All members are sworn to secrecy regarding discussions at the Council on Foreign Relations. This is no accident, for the Council is perhaps the central coordinating policy and top level manpower body for the Rockefeller cabal. Several important examples should suffice to illustrate the point:

The Chairman of the Board of the Council, a position previously held for 17 years by John J. McCloy (one of the original wartime architects of cabal policy), is none other than David Rockefeller. His top assistants are chief CIA and State Department planners, William P. Bundy and Cyrus Vance, both leading architects of the counterinsurgency Indochina war. The Rockefeller network begins to be filled out as we examine this "transmission belt" in operation.

The Outside Job: Supply Line for the Takeover Bid

The Council on Foreign Relations, operating as it does outside the bounds of the official government and public

scrutiny, serves as the central meeting ground for the top Rockefeller agents who staff and control a vast network of similar non-governmental institutions. Chief among them are the large multinational corporations and banking houses, the large private foundations, the various "research centers" and "think tanks," major universities, and major press and media outlets. These institutions, in turn, serve as the key support functions for cabal activities and plans, complementing the "inside job" done by Rockefeller agents inside the official government. Often these institutions have drawn up policy plans years before their actual implementation was begun. In many of these cases, it is the Council on Foreign Relations, foundation, think tank network, and the press, which provide the outside leverage to establish a future "beachhead" inside the government, or aid the establishment of a certain psychological or political atmosphere within which the Rockefellers may operate relatively free of any dangers to their plans. Two specific cases, in addition to the entire Watergate operation [see Part III of this testimony, illustrate this type of role of institutions and individuals.

First, the recent promotion in Congress of an assortment of social fascist legislation designed to create a Schachtian slave labor force, resettled into work camps in the appropriately named Rocky Mountains of the U.S. and Canada, is a direct product of years of Rockefeller cabal planning by groups and individuals directly associated with the Council on Foreign Relations. One target for "development" is the notorious Athabasca Tar Sands region of Alberta, Canada, a project cooked up by Rockefeller servant Herman Kahn, leading member of the Council on Foreign Relations and head of the Hudson Institute. Rockefeller's Exxon Corporation began a research project over a decade ago on the potential for building archaic energy pyramids in the U.S. Rocky Mountains using resettled labor and as little capital investment as possible. It is no surprise, therefore, to note that the leading Congressional spokesmen for these schemes and the sponsors of such legislation are none other than Senator Walter Mondale, Senator Jacob Javits, Representative Henry Reuss (all members of the Council on Foreign Relations) and Senator Mike Mansfield (member of Nelson Rockefeller's Commission on Critical Choices). It was the Rockefeller-rigged "energy crisis" hoax last winter which provided the psychological framework in which such blatantly fascist economic schemes could be promoted under the useful guise of "solutions" to the "energy crisis."

The Pentagon Papers

A second useful paradigm of coordinated cabal operations involving a veritable hoard of top Rockefeller and CIA operatives is the case known as the "Pentagon Papers" (see also New Solidarity International Press

Service, Vol. I, No. 6, Special Report: "The Shaping of Journalism by Psychological Warfare," June 7, 1974), which we outline here for the immediate purpose of illuminating the modus operandi of the Rockefeller network and its makeup. This outline will also serve as a point of departure for the latter section of this testimony dealing specifically with "Watergate." The entire history of the so-called Pentagon Papers was personally supervised by Nelson Rockefeller and other top CIA operatives and Rockefeller confidents from 1967 on; and nearly every single major figure involved in that tremendous hoax was and is an active member of the Council on Foreign Relations!

It is well known that the project team which put together and wrote the Pentagon Papers was a "Pentagon" team only in that it worked under former Secretary of Defense Robert Strange McNamara (the present head of the World Bank) out of the CIA-controlled International Security Affairs section of that Department. In reality, the Papers were not a Pentagon product, but the work of a top-level CIA special operations unit pulled together from the various tentacles of the Rockefeller machine. Briefly, this team included:

- Paul Warnke, a top Rockefeller man at Defense and member of the Council on Foreign Relations.
- Cyrus Vance, whose credentials have already been noted.
- •Nicholas deB. Katzenbach, then Under Secretary of State, formerly chief architect of the LEAA with Council on Foreign Relations colleague Ramsey Clark.
- •Daniel Ellsberg, CIA agent and protege of CIA counterinsurgent Edward Lansdale. Later, Ellsberg moved to MIT's Center for International Studies under William Bundy. All three are inside men at the Council on Foreign Relations.
- •Leslie H. Gelb, former aide to Henry Kissinger and Council on Foreign Relations member.
- •William W. Kaufman, CIA academic associated with the Brookings Institution and the psychological warfare and counterinsurgency strategists Harold Lasswell and Ithiel de Sola Pool (both Council on Foreign Relations members) at MIT.
- •Melvin Gurtov, RAND Corporation policy planner.
- •Henry Kissinger, who at the time was not inside the official government, but was serving as top advisor to Nelson Rockefeller.
- •The Central Intelligence Agency itself was "most helpful" under Richard Helms, now U.S. Ambassador to Iran, where he supervises CIA Mideast operations and the Kurdish countergang subversion of Iraq. Also a Council on Foreign Relations member.

The working relationships of these men have been most interesting. According to San-

ford J. Ungar, in his book The Papers and the Papers, Henry Kissinger, while working as a close aide to Nelson Rockefeller, was called in as an "advisor" to the project team, and as such consulted with Melvin Gurtov in the summer of 1967. Ungar states—and, since he compiled his book based on confidential discussions with the key individuals involved, we have no reason to dispute his statement—that the content of these discussions centered on "how the project results could be most useful in the future." Note that this is four years before the publication of the Pentagon Papers.

Leslie H. Gelb previously had served under Mr. Kissinger at Harvard when Kissinger was doubling as a Rockefeller aide. Gelb was installed at a post inside the International Security Affairs section of the Defense Department immediately after serving as executive aide to reknowned Rockefeller spokesman, and another Council on Foreign Relations member, Jacob Javits. He is now a top State Department and Defense Department Washington correspondent for the New York Times.

Additional support functions, which we identify as a "supply line" for the personal use of Nelson and David Rockefeller, in the Pentagon Papers case (which continue to the present date) were provided by New York Times editors James Reston and Max Frankel. These two, also Council on Foreign Relations members, were most responsible for that newspaper's publication of the Papers.

The Judge Gurfein Matter

For the sake of brevity we will note here only one other "support function" in this particular operation; one which points to the next area of consideration. That is the subversive tendency of the Rockefellers to place their representatives of long standing in critical positions within the various branches of government itself. We refer here to the peculiar situation which found Council on Foreign Relations member Murray I. Gurfein being installed on the Federal District Court bench just two days prior to the start of legal proceedings in the New York Times-Pentagon Papers case. Subsequently Gurfein ruled from his new position in favor of the newspaper. The Committee should note well the past history of Judge Gurfein, including his role in 1943 in paroling notorious gangster Charles "Lucky" Luciano in return for a promise by leading members of the American "Mafia" to put their underworld network in the employ of the Office of Strategic Services (OSS) to direct subversive, clandestine operations on the island of Sicily prior to the Allied invasion. Gurfein was then New York Assistant District Attorney. Soon he would be chosen as chief of Intelligence under Richard H. 5. Crossman and Dr. Henry V. Dicks at the Psychological Warfare Division of Supreme Headquarters Allied Expeditionary Forces (SHAEF), during World

War II. (See Peter Cuskie, "The Shaping of the Anglo-American SS by War," in the *Campaigner*, Vol. 7, No. 7, May 1974.)

Before moving to a discussion of Rockefeller's control over sections of the official U.S. government, we should mention the network which includes those "outside" Rockefeller institutions which have been merely alluded to previously, namely, the major private foundations under Rockefeller and allied cabal control, policy-shaping bodies such as the "megadeath" Hudson Institute, and their connections with the field of psychology and behaviorism. The institutions and individuals mentioned in this section generally will be known to you, and will serve to demonstrate the organization of the Rockefeller cabal's "transmission belt," as many of them have flowed from government to university to foundation, etc. The point should be made that while there is a great deal of motion of individuals between institutions, the loyalty of these persons is always tied not to the government or institution which they ostensibly serve, but to the fancy of Nelson and David Rockefeller. This does not mean, however, that the Rockefellers are always loyal to their servants. Indeed, it is true that Henry Kissinger has been a most loyal devotee of Nelson Rockefeller and has followed all his "cues" (Kissinger, we have been informed, was appointed to his National Security Council and State Department posts under former President Nixon in a deal drawn up between Nixon and Nelson Rockefeller in 1968. He subsequently was responsible, and here his primary loyalties are most brazenly clear, for advising President Nixon that he must move to "stop the leaks" such as the phony Pentagon Papers — which he himself helped to formulate — by forming the renowned "plumbers" unit. See the final section of this testimony for further documentation of Kissinger's role in the activities of that unit). Indeed, while all this is true, Mr. Kissinger now finds that he has been given only a portion of the script. Kissinger will be cast aside at a moment of Nelson and David Rockefeller's choosing, in what amounts to nothing other than a variation of the psychological warfare principle of the carrot and the stick. Mr. Kissinger holds the "carrot" of a fraudulent "detente" out to the credulous Soviet leaders. But this carrot will be replaced by a James Schlesinger nuclear warhead at the prompting of the Rockefellers very shortly — unless they are stopped.

The "Think Tank" Figures

Let us, then, list briefly the chief figures under Nelson and David Rockefeller supervision at the Hudson Institute, and the Ford and Rockefeller Foundations. There is, of course, the champion of Armaggedon Herman Kahn at Hudson. Also, the above-mentioned William W. Kaufman; CIA agent William R. Kintner; and the Chairman of the Board of IBM Thomas Watson, Jr.,

whose family joined with the Rockefellers, the Bundys, and the Dulles in an intense lobbying effort with former President Harry S. Truman for the formation of the Central Intelligence Agency. Also note the presence of Nelson Rockefeller's son Rodman Rockefeller; Henry Kissinger; Germany's Helmut Schmidt; and Nelson Rockefeller's personal operative in the atomic energy field responsible for the blatant sabotage of controlled nuclear fusion power, Dr. Edward Teller — a member of Nelson Rockefeller's Commission on Critical Choices.

At the Ford Foundation, we need only mention its director McGeorge Bundy, a long-time recruiter for the CIA since the early 1950s, who came to that post to direct the funding and organization of a domestic counterinsurgency network which has sunk its claws into every major ghetto neighborhood in the country. Bundy's placement at the Ford Foundation, after he had served as the top National Security Advisor to the President — a post created and first filled by Nelson Rockefeller in 1954 — was the immediate result of a conscious decision by the Rockefeller cabal in the mid-1960s to turn its vast CIA network loose on the domestic terrain.

At the Rockefeller Foundation, under its Honorary Chairman John D. Rockefeller III, one may find the following "talented aides": Douglas Dillon, Cyrus Vance, and Schachtian economist Robert V. Roosa, all members as well of the Council on Foreign Relations.

Rockefeller Foundation money is advanced selectively to those persons and projects which that family desires to utilize for the furtherance of their plans. We refer, for example, to the noted case of the Tavistock Institute in Great Britain, which was formed in 1945 with a large grant from the Rockefeller Foundation. (See also Carol Menzel, "Coercive Psychology: Capitalism's Monster Science," in the Campaigner, Vol. 7, No. 4-5, February-March, 1974.) The Tavistock Institute is the vortex of an international network of subhuman pyschologists and psychiatrists, funded primarily by the Rockefellers. The network has practiced and is still practicing nothing less than the crime of menticide - mental murder utilizing the techniques of coercive behavioral modification, brainwashing, chemotherapy, attack therapy, and psychosurgery. These crimes were outlawed explicitly as international Crimes Against Humanity under the Nuremburg Codes. Nelson Rockefeller, in fact, personally supervised the growth and expansion of this network of Nazi Doctors and their virtual takeover of the field of applied psychology, through control over such institutions as the National Institutes of Health and Mental Health. These, in turn, were based from their inception on such projects of the Rockefeller Foundation as the Tavistock Institute.

Nazi Doctor Network

This Nazi Doctor network, established in the United States during and immediately following World War II

by Tavistock directors Dr. John Rawlings Rees and Dr. Henry V. Dicks (with Rockefeller money), has included such notorious brainwashing experts as Kurt Lewin, who, it turns out, was brought to the United States from Nazi Germany on a grant from the Rockefeller foundation in the early 1930s; Eric Trist, a Tavistock creation who operates a brainwashing network out of the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania with a complex funding mechanism provided by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, the Ford Foundation, the NIH-NIMH, and Robert McNamara's World Bank; and Dr. Nathan S. Kline, a leading figure in the New York State Psychiatric Institute-Rockland State Hospital-Columbia Presbyterian network which has compiled computer bank psychological profiles on millions of human beings on the East Coast of the United States. Dr. Kline also owes his career to the efforts of Nelson Rockefeller to establish in New York State what he and Kline had experimented with in the field of "community mental health" in such underdeveloped locations as Haiti in the 1950s.

Among the more widely known products of this collaboration between the Rockefeller and the Nazi Doctors is the widespread use of such mind-destroying drugs as methadone, lithium, and prolixin. Methadone, a murderous drug more addictive than heroin, first was developed by the Nazis. They called it Dolphine after Adolph Hitler and they intended to use it to dull the minds of the workforce while stimulating the workers' ability to perform physical labor over long periods without resistance. But methadone never really got off the ground under the Nazis. It took Nelson Rockefeller to push for its widespread use, especially in New York State, to create an addicted, docile labor force of former heroin addicts, and to serve as the addictive apron string for the systematic brainwashing of ghetto victims as controlled terrorist zombies at the Lincoln Hospital Detoxification Center in New York. Similarly, massive experimentation persists using lithium and prolixin as the coercive drugs to induce brainwashing/aversive behavioral modification at the Vacaville, California Medical Facility, sponsored by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and NIMH. Vacaville was the spawning ground for the controlled terrorist formation known as the Symbionese Liberation Army.

If these activities constitute blatant breaches of International Law, as they do, then what does this make Nelson Rockefeller and the rest of that family?

The Inside Job: Rockefeller's Infiltration of the U.S. Government and the "Beachhead" Strategy of Subversion

As we have stated earlier in this section of the testimony, the "outside" or "countergovernment" network often functions as the source of political and/or

psychological leverage needed to establish a future "beachhead" inside the government itself for the purpose of implementing policies which could not be carried out or enforced without the legal mechanism of the state. The previously documented role of Henry Kissinger both inside and outside the government is a case in point. But Kissinger was merely maneuvering on ground established as Rockefeller turf long before. It is a little-known fact that the Rockefellers won effective control over the State Department in 1944 when Nelson Rockefeller, then chief of clandestine operations in the Western Hemisphere as the Coordinator of Inter-American Affairs, personally drove Secretary of State Cordell Hull from office and persuaded President Roosevelt to replace him with Edward Stettinius, the son of a Morgan partner and chairman of U.S. Steel. Furthermore, it was Nelson Rockefeller who created the post of Special Assistant to the President for Foreign Affairs, a post now known as Special Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs. He had himself installed there to mold the position into the chief liaison post between the Rockefeller family, other leading financiers, the CIA, and the Executive branch. Maxwell Taylor, McGeorge Bundy, and Kissinger, all inside men at the Council on Foreign Relations, have held the position since.

Similarly, the Department of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW) was the product of Nelson Rockefeller and Dr. Milton Eisenhower. HEW served as the "legal" infrastructure for the development of the Nazi Doctor network, and has been a crucial operations center in recent years for the joint development of so-called community control institutions and the creation of the so-called school busing controversy to spark, aggravate, and manipulate racial strife. Recent Rockefeller loyalists at the head of that department have included Zero Growth advocate Casper Weinberger and John W. Gardner, a leading CIA operative since his days as head of the OSS Assessment staff.

The coordination of a domestic military and police striking force (only a decade ago just a drawingboard project of such units as the Ford Foundation, Hudson Institute, and RAND corporation) is now a practical reality stemming in large measure from the long campaign to consolidate the Department of Defense and Justice in the hands of the Rockefellers and those loyal to them.

It is unfortunate, but altogether lawful and true, that the Rockefellers have driven a sharp wedge into the Congress itself, primarily through the selection and molding of key Senators and Congressmen by the Rockefellers: Senators Javits, Mondale, Mansfield and Scott and Representative Reuss, Abzug and Rangel to name a few.

As uncomfortable as you gentlemen may feel when you face this awesome fact, nevertheless — it is our

assessment that if Nelson Rockefeller is not stopped, if his plans for fascist Zero Growth economy are not halted immediately by a resounding denial of both his nomination and the various pieces of fascist legislation we refer to here, then he will soon find no use for the Congress whatsoever. If this makes you uncomfortable, that is fine. It should! But if, in your discomfort, you think for even a moment that the way to relieve your personal agony is by attempting to make your peace with Rockefeller....then we warn you most directly: an increasingly intelligent, aware and aroused population will not tolerate such personal cowardice for very long. Of this you can be most sure.

III. The Network in Action: Watergate to the Present

With the imposition of the massive "oil tax" on the world last October and the necessity to implement vicious austerity within the advanced sector, the Rockefeller forces have moved definitively towards the subversion of constitutional democracy, here and abroad.

The most obvious form of that subversion was the ouster of former President Nixon from office in August. Less obvious is the "liberation" of such military and paramilitary agencies as the CIA, the FBI, the LEAA and the Pentagon from executive control as indicated in Article II, Paragraph Five of the House Judiciary Impeachment Articles.

The Watergating of Richard Nixon was a Rockefeller-CIA operation from the outset. It was necessary for the twofold purpose of severely shaking public confidence in the existing form of government and for removing from office a slightly stubborn and slightly narrow-minded Richard Nixon.

Section II of this brief lays out the genesis and propagation of the Pentagon Papers Hoax. It was in the backwash of this affair that Richard Nixon established the "plumbers unit." Public testimony by Charles Colson and others has established that Nixon was under heavy pressure from former Rockefeller employee Henry Kissinger to stop the leaks. Further, Henry Kissinger's aide, David Young, was placed in immediate operative command of the plumbers unit once it was established.

The choice of CIA agent E. Howard Hunt to head the plumbers unit put a man of long-standing cabal experience in that sensitive position. Hunt, a top aide to CIA Director and Council on Foreign Relations member Allen Dulles during the 1950s, is not the sort of man to expose himself with a careless piece of tape.

A reading of any account of the plans for the break-in into the psychiatrist's office of Daniel Ellsberg reveals that the ideas were initiated directly by David Young and E. Howard Hunt (the two men to escape indictment in

the affair) and merely initialed by such Nixon aides as John Erlichman. One can assume circumstantially that the same procedure held for the Watergate break-in.

E. Howard Hunt's continued employment by the CIA throughout the affair has been well documented by Senator Howard Baker.

CIA Coverup

The so-called coverup waged by Nixon, as revealed in the last fatal batch of tapes released by him, is quite interesting. Any non-hysterical reading of those tapes finds FBI director L. Patrick Gray reporting to President Nixon that he had stumbled upon a covert CIA operation. The only coverup Nixon was guilty of then was that of the CIA's role in Watergate.

Of course, Richard Nixon was not the personal target of the Rockefeller forces (although there was certainly no love lost between him and the "liberal establishment"). As the impeachment proceedings of the Judiciary Committee made abundantly clear, the real target was constitutional democracy. We refer to Article II, paragraph five of the impeachment articles which declares it an impeachable offense for the President merely to **interfere** with such agencies as the CIA, FBI, or IRS. This paragraph destroys the constitutionally mandated subordination of these agencies to the Presidency, thereby effectively placing such agencies as the CIA, the FBI, the Pentagon, and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration beyond the control of any elected official.

The origin of paragraph five remains a matter of conjecture at present; although it could have been inserted into Article II at the last moment, as it was, by any of a number of Rockefeller loyalists: the Ford Foundation's John Doar, Rockefeller's hand-picked Harlem Congressman Charles Rangel, NATO representative Peter Rodino, or any of a dozen others. (See appended New Solidarity article.)

Richard Nixon had not even resigned from office when paragraph five was first activated. As the press amply documents during the first two weeks in August, the key figures in organizing leading Congressional Nixon supporters Charles Wiggens and Robert Griffin to declare for impeachment were Henry Kissinger and his former aide (and Council on Foreign Relations member) General Alexander Haig. Haig, Kissinger and member of a CIA-front law firm James St. Clair were instrumental in isolating the President and urging him to resign. And, as the press reported, Haig essentially ran the White House staff for several weeks.

Of a more openly subversive nature was the activity of Defense Secretary and former CIA Director James Schlesinger, who declared that he and Joint Chiefs of Staff chairman General George Brown (in close consultation with Haig and Kissinger) stood ready to countermand an order of the Commander in Chief on the basis of a personal decision. We quote from the September 2 New York Times: "For the first time, the shadowy outline has emerged of how a clique of military officers could seize power in this country." (William Shannon, "Seven Days in August.")

While the activities in the Pentagon during the last two weeks of the Nixon Administration represent the most overt activation of paragraph five, we turn for a moment to the Justice Department to find recommendations of the same nature. The Justice Department has under its jurisdiction the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the paramilitary national police force, the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. On August 23, Ramsey Clark — candidate for U.S. Senate, former Attorney General, founder of the LEAA and Council on Foreign Relations member — recommended that the President and his aides lawfully be enjoined from interfering in the affairs of the Justice Department. Should this recommendation be acted upon, the Justice Department would join the Pentagon, which now apparently is de facto out of the effective control of any elected official.

In conclusion, we return to the question broached in the accompanying financial brief — Cui Buono, Who Benefits?

Who would benefit from military rule in the United States? Surely no honest Congressman would wish to see military rule, for reasons of employment, principle or otherwise. No worker, or farmer or white collar employee trying to eke out a living today would want to see a dictatorially controlled economy.

We ask you to examine the body of liberal legislation pending in Congress right now. Senator Mondale's National Employment Priorities Act, Senator Mansfield's Wage Adjustment Act, Senator Javits' Economic Review Act, Representative Reuss' Full Employment and Equal Opportunities Act. The essential characteristics for a fascist economy are there: corporativism, community control, wage control, centralized control of credit and investment decisions, forced relocation, public service employment at substandard wages. We ask you to consider whether the U.S. workforce passively will accept a drastic decrease in the standard of living, or whether it will be necessary to alter the form of government in the United States.

Glance again at the financial brief, at the massive motion of concentrated capital into the New York banks, and at the concomitant decrease in the standard of living within the advanced capitalist sector.

Who benefits?