NEW SOLIDARITY INTERNATIONAL PRESS SERVICE

NSIPS Exclusive Report



Kissinger Launches Counterattack Against Debt Moratorium

Sept. 4 (NSIPS) — In a Philadelphia speech Aug. 31 U.S. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger demanded that the developing countries "cooperate" with Wall Street's genocide, and flatly rejected the historic resolutions of the Colombo, Sri Lanka summit of Non-Aligned nations.

Initial attempts to simply ignore the Colombo declaration for debt moratoria and implemenation of the new world economic order haveing failed, a desperate Kissinger is now offering the Third World the choice of, in his own words, "cooperation or chaos" (see speech excerpts below). And to put teeth in his threat, he is further heating up four crucial world hot spots — Mexico, the Mideast, the Persian Gulf region, and southern Africa — to blackmail and bludgeon his opponents into line.

In Mexico, Kissinger's forces have forced the devaluation of the Mexican peso and opened up that country to attack by Wall Street, amid a range of open insurrectionary moves against Mexican President and Non-Aligned leader Luis Echeverria.

In the Mideast, Israel, Syria, Jordan, and the fascist Lebanese Falange are joining forces for a second "Black September" massacre of the Palestinian left in Lebanon. As this goes to press, reports are that Israel has invaded souther Lebanon.

In the Persian Gulf, the Kuwaiti coup and Kissinger's attempts to turn that region into one gigantic U.S. military base are fueling a war crisis there and represent a further undisquised provocation of the Soviet Union.

And in southern Africa, a dual military crisis has erupted around the puppet states of Rhodesia and Namibia, and outlaw South Africa itself — which Kissinger insists must be recognized as a legitimate "African country" (see speech excerpts in the Africa section of this report) — has been engulfed in waves of provoke rioting which have left hundreds dead.

Kissinger's Philadelphia speech and concurrent efforts to snuff out international motion toward the new world order by force are exemplary of the fact that, despite the tremendously significant efforts of major forces in the U.S. and Europe to join with the Non-Aligned in moving toward realization of an International Development Bank program of peace and development, the fight will not be won until Kissinger and the rest of the Rockefeller machinery is cleared out of the way.

Kissinger Says No To Colombo

The following are excerpts of the Secretary of State's Aug. 31 speech in Philadelphia:

One year ago, at the Special Session of the United Nations General Assembly, the United States presented a comprehensive series of proposals aimed at responding in a cooperative spirit to the needs of the developing countries. We have followed up these initiatives with major efforts at the Paris Conference on International Economic Cooperation, at the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development in Nairobi, in many other international institutions.....

Substantial progress has been made in the past year in shaping the long-term economic relationship between the nations of the nothern and southern hemispheres. The initiatives which now form the agenda for discussion are, by and large, proposals made by the United States....

We are prepared to address the crushing balance of payments problems and debt burdens which are of special interest to Africa.

We are seeking authorization from Congress to make an initial contribution of \$15 million to the African Development Bank's Development Fund in order to foster industrialization....

But we must be frank to say that rhetorical assaults and onesided declarations undermine the conditions for such cooperation. They weaken public support for development in the industrial democracies whose effective and sustained role is crucial. No other group of countries — least of all the Socialist countries — is able to provide the technology, the managerial expertise, or the resources.

Many of the resolutions of the just concluded non-aligned conference in Colombo were clearly anything but non-aligned in content or phraseology. We reject such one-sided proclamations and warn that to be effective non-alignment must be true to its name. It cannot — indeed it will not — be taken seriously if it becomes nothing more than a rigid grouping aimed at producing automatic majorities and rhetorical attacks against the industrial democracies.

The choice that all nations face is between cooperation and chaos.