However, the Morgan, Bank of America, and Citibank view is dead set against an inflationary policy for the U.S. and Morgan representatives in the Administration are supporting Budget Director Lance's opposition to the Lazard program. However, they have no program of their own, outside of their opposition to the Rohatyn and related economic schemes. Ironically, their ally, Federal Reserve Chairman Arthur Burns has been creating banking reserves at the rate of ten percent per year, in a desperate effort to buy time for the U.S. economy.

The most important feature of the situation is that

there is no more time to be bought. Yesterday, the Federal Reserve announced that U.S. industrial production had fallen, for the first time in seven months. Even the West German Bundesbank admitted, for the first time, that the West German economy is in crisis, in a report published last week. The net effect of the current round of British-initiated financial warfare and speculation on the grand scale is a chaotic descent into collapse.

- David Goldman

Shame On The London Times

The following statement was released on Sept. 17, 1977 by Lyndon H. LaRouche, chairman and presidential candidate of the U.S. Labor Party.

This past week, while British intelligence-coordinated terrorists attempted to destabilize the West German government, the shameless London *Times* hypocritically proposed that the German nation painfully reexamine itself to discover its national collective guilt in that same connection.

Gentlemen of the *Times*, you stand exposed in your monstrous hypocrisy. Do you imagine that we do not know which nation's intelligence services deploy the Corsican and Basque terrorists in France, or which nation's intelligence services directed the terrorist-assassination attempt on the life of the Shah's sister? RAF: to us in West Germany those initials stand interchangeably for the bombers of the Royal Air Force and the bombers of the Red Army Fraction. Granted, certain financial gentlemen from Manhattan may be behind your policies in this matter, but it is the British Official Secrets Act which cloaks the details of your special operations against the government and people of West Germany, France, and Italy.

Gentlemen of the *Times*, do the names "Wormswood prison" and MI-6" connote anything of significance to you in these terrorist matters? Then, where is your sense of honor, of shame? You instruct Germans to examine themselves; is that because what you find in yourselves is too hideous to be seen?

Cui bono? — Who benefits? — someone in West Germany asked this week. They proposed that the Soviets were behind this matter; we shall turn to that matter just below. However, who did benefit nem the assassination of Jürgen Ponto? Let us compare operations being run through the manic-euphoric City of London market with the opposite policies which Jürgen Ponto was on the verge of consolidating before his death. Who benefited from Jürgen Ponto's murder? The City of London did!

Who created the RAF — both the Royal Air Force and the Red Army Fraction? Was not the Socialist Patients Collective of Heidelberg based on a project-series of the London Tavistock Institute? Why is the British occupation city of Hamburg so significant in RAF operations? Who was the British agent killed accidentally during the

early phase of the RAF terrorist operations? Your instrument murdered Ponto and your City of London benefited from the murder.

Now, let us look most closely at the Soviet taint in terrorist operations. We know that there is a Soviet taint, a certain misplaced Soviet satisfaction in the fact that certain Anglo-American intelligence factions are now doing to Italy and West Germany what was done to many of their comrades during "Splinter Factor" and the succeeding "Rollback" paramilitary operations in Eastern Europe. We are informed that the well-known Mr. Kim Philby is involved in this enterprise.

If the report of Mr. Kim Philby's role is true, and we have the information from excellent sources, this is a most intriguing angle. For, as you ought to know and as we definitely know; Mr. Philby is to this present day a British agent. Hence, it is not remarkable, if Mr. Philby is indeed working on the Soviet side of these operations, that Soviet policy toward West Germany should so precisely dovetail with British intelligence special operations policy.

You see, gentlemen of the London Times, we know that Mr. Kim Philby possesses one vital piece of past information which, if he were a genuine Soviet agent, he would have long ago confided to his present nominal patrons. He has many pieces of such information, including facts concerning the Communist Party USA and similar affairs; however, the specific fact to which we allude is summed up in one name: Canaris.

Mr. William Stevenson may write as many semifictional cover-story best-sellers like A Man Called Intrepid as his publishers will tolerate, but his efforts to attribute to the British intelligence cracking of the "enigma code" the actual role of British agent Admiral Canaris don't work. You see, we know that Canaris was a British agent from no later than the time British intelligence rescued him from Chilean internment early during World War I and that Canaris was subsequently a special protege of the Versailles Allied Control Commission, which placed Canaris in his key position within Weimar German intelligence.

Now, if Kim Philby had ever ceased to be a British agent at bottom, he would have spilled to the Soviets the full story concerning Canaris and some related matters. This would have aided the Soviets in finally comprehend-

ing the fact that Hitler was not a German national creation, but a then-waning protege of Hjalmar Schacht imposed upon 1933 Germany on orders from the same financial circles of New York City and London who are, in fact, presently behind the wave of terror being launched against not only West Germany, but many other nations and targets within nations.

In return for your immoral insults against the people of West Germany, gentlemen of the London *Times*, we blow your MI-6's key Soviet agent, Mr. Kim Philby. With that, we recommend that you reexamine yourselves and contemplate the growing mood in France to decorate the front pages of Le Figaro and other periodicals with the time-tested slogan, "Perfide Albion!"

BRD Press Links Brandt To Terror

Friday, Sept. 9

Handelsblatt, West Germany's leading commercial daily, editorializes that "Many conversations held on Tuesday (Sept. 6) reflect the certainty that murder and threats of murder are not a promising lever to be applied to the economy. Whatever gap the terrorists might forcibly create, there is no dearth of men who in the national interest are ready time and again to close the phalanx of defense readiness. And there will continue to be journalists who — without special security protection — will remain determined to lash out against and fight terrorism, whatever the dangers may seem to be."

Sunday, Sept. 11

In an interview in the mass circulation Sunday paper, Bild am Sonntag, Carl-Dieter Spranger, Bundestag member from the opposition Christian Social Union and a leading member of Bundestag Interior Committee, attacked the various legal reforms in West Germany that have made it easier for terrorists to operate. Spranger said that "liberalizing" lawyers' access rights to prisoners is an attack against the police who are doing their duty. in addition, computer fetishists like Horst Herold, the director of the Federal Criminal Office, the main proponent of computerizing intelligence while he was still police president of Nuremberg, are responsible for all the mistakes. "Nothing has come out of the computer."

Bild am Sonntag reporter Hans Habe continued this attack by switching the target to periodicals that condone terrorism." Stern magazine and the weekly Die Zeit are recalling the good old days of the extraparliamentary opposition (APO) through the person of Michael Juergs, former APO member and now...a leading writer in Stern magazine's cultural section...Countess Dömhoff, the editor of Die Zeit, says that all the reforms and emancipation would not have happened if there were no wave of protests in the sixties...a fine reform it is that needs Molotov cocktails and the destruction of printing houses for its realization...Why in 1968, Stern reporter Juergs was writing that Herbert Marcuse hoped Willy Brandt would transform his ideas into practice. And whenever Rudi Dutschke (the West German equivalent of Mark Rudd - ed.) needed money, he got it from such established people as Der Spiegel publisher Rudolf Augstein and Gerd Bucerius.

"There is no justification for the APO. Terrorism is nothing but the illegal offspring of the old APO, and do not tell it otherwise."

Bild am Sonntag editor Peter Boehnisch commented that even though West Germans were angry about the French government's refusal or inability to extradite Klaus Croissant, a terrorist lawyer involved in the assassination of banker Jürgen Ponto, "we should not forget that it was people like Heinrich Böll and Gunther Grass, ministers and writers who supplied the ideological backgrounds for terrorism...These are the killers, and not the communists."

Monday, Sept. 12

W. Hertz-Eichenrode writes in *Die Welt*: "It took Böll, Scharf, Albertz and Gollwitzer five days after the kidnapping of Hanns-Martin Schleyer to find words for an appeal to the terrorists...It must nevertheless be asked if this is really a turnaround on the part of prominent public figures, and if this is their rejection of their own role as sympathizers. When Böll and the three theologians remind the kidnappers of their aims, this might possibly pass as an attempt to address the terrorists psychologically. But this is followed by the statement that more killing 'will have unforeseeable consequences for our entire country.' What is this supposed to mean?

"It can be read on public record — in an article in *Die Zeit* by Willy Brandt in December, 1974. Already at that time he was writing about a pogrom mood and insanity, about a psychosis which will have its accomplices and useful helpers. To get down to essentials, a campaign was to be launched to deny the existence of any political lines of connection between Baader-Meinhof and 'critical-rational thinkers,' so therefore there must be some 'secret cooperation' between 'leftist camouflaged terrorism and right radical aberrations.' In other words, terror does not come from the left, it is only 'camouflaged as leftist,' the real danger is the right-wing radicals, and the major concern is that our country will turn into a police state...

"This idiotic diversionary maneuver in favor of the real sympathizers could be ignored if it did not also correspond with the lines along which Willy Brandt and apparently Böll and the three theologians are thinking. The fate of the Weimar Republic has not been forgetten. It is true that left-wing extremism can provoke right-wing extremism. But that does not make left extremism more harmless, but rather even more dangerous...

"It can be perceived that long-time sympathizers of the terrorist scene are constructing a big lie in the dangerous form of a stab-in-the-back legend. This is a