SALT Ratification Requires White House Organizing

With 90 percent of the crucial issues for an agreement already worked out, according to the chief U.S. arms negotiator Paul Warnke, the completion of a SALT (Strategic Arms Limitation Talks) agreement rests on the Administration's political determination to complete

FOREIGN POLICY

the agreement and see it through the Senate. Washington observors are concerned that the Administration has not already begun to prepare the climate for acceptance of the SALT treaty, by making clear to Americans that SALT is to our benefit, as it will mean the reduction of international tensions , and open the way for better U.S.-Soviet economic relations. An interview with a source close to one of Capitol Hill's strongest supporters of a SALT treaty exemplifies this concern.

Q: Isn't it true that the Administration must begin organizing for a SALT agreement now, explaining to the Senate the advantages of it?
A: Yes, without that a SALT agreement is in trouble.

Q: How does the Administration have to organize for the agreement?
A: The Administration should go public, the President, his advisors and supporters. They have to stress that there are two options, that there were worse agreements than this one, that in 1974 when Ford negotiated it was worse, and we have moved away from that. We cannot get a better treaty than the one we have.

We don't have forever to get an agreement as the technology keeps moving forward. Therefore, it has to be made clear that it is a choice between this agreement and no agreement. I think the American people want us to put a lid on this agreement. This agrument has to be repeated whenever technical questions are raised. The Administration could get into these technicalities if they act too gingerly on this. They could end up responding to the nitpicking, like on the verification issue. It is possible for someone to say that a particular part is not verifiable, but the question is if the Soviets could get away with any significant violation of an agreement. The Administration will lose the treaty if they get into nitpicking with Senator Jackson.

Q: Do you believe the American population wants a SALT agreement?
A: Yes. There is a difference between this issue and the Panama Canal treaty question. There was really no constituency supporting the Panama Canal treaty. But with the arms control issue it is very different. The polls say that 80 percent
very strong.

Q: What is the best way to organize support for the treaty. Should the fact that it opens the way for economic deals be stressed?
A: There is some danger in this as Nixon oversold detente. It is better to confine ourselves to a description of the significance of the agreement, that detente can proceed in areas of mutual benefit—in arms control, in trade. SALT should be sold on the basis that it reduces the burden of fear and the cost spent for the arms race.

Q: Are you happy with the way the Administration has proceeded to explain SALT to Congress?
A: The Administration doesn't know what to do. They should learn that they should be lobbying already. It gets them behind if Paul Nitze can blast it and the Administration doesn't answer.

London Plans Spring Terrorist Offensive Against USA

A weeks-long investigation that included interviews with several leading British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS) control agents stationed in the United States confirms that an effort is now underway to launch a wave of terrorism against the U.S. during the spring months.

LAW ENFORCEMENT

That effort was publicly announced on April 10 by the London *Economist's* Robert Moss in a *Daily Telegraph* column. He proclaimed that nothing can be done to stop terrorism and that no nation in the advanced sector can consider itself immune to "Soviet-backed" terrorist violence. Within a week of the Moss piece, virtually every London-connected journalist and political spokesman in the U.S. was mouthing the same line.

At a speech in Princeton, N.J. on April 17, Carter Administration energy czar James Rodney Schlesinger announced his open collaboration with the Institute for Policy Studies' environmentalist terrorists around planned disruption of energy installations on "Sun Day " In a speech that included a direct attack on the U.S. Constitution as a "formulation for frustration,"

chlesinger stated: "Terrorism is unappetizing, but we can't do anything about it until after it has happened...It may be true that a number of groups organizing for solar energy tend to get very emotional about nuclear energy, but in terms of their organizing for solar energy, I'm willing to work with them."

Two days later, *New York Times* columnist James Reston, in effect, made a threat against the lives of President Carter, Secretary of State Vance and other American officials — noting, with Moss, that they are all "vulnerable" to terrorist attack (see this week's International report for excerpts). A broad array of Institute for Policy Studies and other terrorist networks have been put into motion behind this propaganda barrage.

Most law enforcement officials will immediately comprehend the significance of the fact that the May 3 "Sun Day" nationwide demonstrations in all crucial features are being run as a replay of the spring 1976 "Peoples Bicentennial." That so-called "nonviolent" celebration served as the public relations umbrella under which virtually every IPS left-radical terrorist gang was mobilized, handed drugs, weapons, and explosives, and provided with the appropriate government and industrialist targets of attack. Then as now, the issue of nuclear energy development served as a particular target for the openly zero-growth, anti-progress stormtroopers.

In fact, the only significant operational difference between the aborted 1976 London-IPS effort and the present Sun Day deployment is that in 1978, IPS agents and British Malthusians like Schlesinger have so penetrated the domestic policy infrastructure of the Carter Administration that the terrorist buildup is being organized and financed with taxpayers money! Schlesinger — working in tandem with Senators Charles Percy (R-Ill.) and Edward Kennedy (D-Mass.) — has succeeded in gaining official Administration and Congressional endorsement for the Sun Day fraud. ACTION, the federal government's antipoverty umbrella controlled from the top to bottom by IPS, has been budgeted to the sum of $180 million, a significant portion of which has already been funneled into the IPS "grassroots" terrorist and terrorist-support groupings including the Midwest Academy, ACORN and Massachusetts Fair Share.

Fascist Movement by 1980

The current terrorist operational plan has two features. In the short term, the intention is to build a climate favorable to terrorist violence, that is, one in which large sections of the U.S. population accept escalating levels of social chaos as inevitable. Within this climate, as the Reston column threatens, London maintains the option for a political assassination campaign against such targets as the President, Secretary of State Vance, and other administration officials or supporters who have recently demonstrated some willingness to block London's Malthusian program for America.

"Sun Day"

At the heart of the immediate threat of terrorist violence is a series of planned "environmentalist" disruptions at U.S. military installations and nuclear power sites beginning in the last week of April and extending into the May 3 Sun Day activities. In preparation for these incidents, a number of London's environmentalist field organizers have been touring the country for the past month, speaking before audiences of regional industrial leaders. These speeches invariably contain an ultimatum that unless all U.S. efforts towards mass commercial implementation of nuclear energy are terminated, widespread terrorism will ensue — including the threat of a patently fraudulent "nuclear terrorist incident."

Speaking last month before a group of aerospace executives in Seattle, Worldwatch Institute's Dennis Hayes ran down a litany of such threats including the preposterous "scenario" of environmentalists planting a nuclear bomb in a car parked underneath the World Trade Center, thereby holding all of lower Manhattan hostage!

Interviews with other London SIS mouthpieces establish that, in addition to the environmentalist operation, other plans for terrorism in the U.S. center around the unleashing of black, Puerto Rican, and Chicano (Mexican-American) terrorist cells — all funded and controlled through IPS and IPS-connnected London psychological warfare centers such as Ivan Illych's CIDOC in Cuernavaca, Mexico, and the Lincoln Detox Center in New York City's South Bronx. Both of these institutions, heavily staffed by psychiatrists from London's brainwashing research center, the Tavistock Institute, and IPS personnel, have been exposed both by this press service and by government law enforcement officials as breeding grounds and safe houses for terrorists.

While these terrorist networks are being readied for action, it would be wrong to assume that the objectives of their London controllers are limited to a two-to-six-month time frame of escalating chaos. As the accompanying interview with convicted terrorist bomber Sam Lovejoy — now one of the leading "organizers" for Schlesinger's Sun Day — makes clear, London is building and financing the environmentalists with the more longterm perspective of building a classic fascist (i.e., anti-capitalist) movement within the U.S. to operate as a bludgeon against necessary industrial policies and to serve as a zero-growth constituency for British agents Mondale, Schlesinger and Kissinger.