Brzezinski Is Lying!

And Washington Post joins him in sabotage of SALT, Detente

In a series of harshly worded public statements, Senator George McGovern, Cuban Vice-Premier Carlos Rafael Rodriguez, and Soviet Foreign Minister Andrei Gromyko have put a challenge to National Security Council chief Zbigniew Brzezinski and CIA Director Stansfield Turner to substantiate their claims of Cuban involvement in the invasion of Zaire last week. There is in fact no evidence of Cuban and Soviet involvement in the rebellion. For all their talk about three-inch-deep pages of evidence, Brzezinski and Turner — and the British and Britishlinked press — have been caught in a lie.

Their lies have not only sacrificed the truth, but the political integrity of the United States President, and are aimed at putting the United States on a course leading to a showdown with the Soviet Union in Africa.

The facts of the Shaba rebellion were documented in the last issue of the *Executive Intelligence Review*: "The Zaire Rebellion Came from Brussels," not Cuba, a fact which every reputable newspaper in the world has acknowledged. The rebellion and the mounting red scare hysteria surrounding it — emanating most loudly from Henry Kissinger, the British press, and their allies in the Administration — was a direct deployment to embroil the Carter Administration in a new Vietnam in Africa, and close off the U.S. from participation in the Grand Design for world development initiated by the Schmidt-Brezhnev accords of May 6.

Amid Kissinger and Company's shrieks of consternation over Soviet and Cuban aggression in Africa, the USSR leadership this week delivered a clarion statement of its commitment to international disarmament and advanced sector-wide cooperation for development. The May 31 edition of the Soviet Communist Party daily Pravda focused the issue on energy development, offering Soviet cooperation "on a constructive basis with other states in research on new sources and forms of energy." For further excerpts from the Pravda article, see below. This proposal, unprecedented in the post

Pravda: Stop Arms Race With Nuclear Energy

In what one Sovietologist observed was the most sweeping offer of cooperation made by the USSR to the U.S. in 20 years, the May 31 edition of Pravda, the Soviet Communist Party daily, carried a policy statement called "Practical Ways to Stop the Arms Race." The Soviet proposals asserted that nonproliferation must not be an obstacle to the peaceful use of nuclear energy, one of the major prospects for solving the global energy shortage, and urged international cooperation for its development:

The Soviet Union is ready to cooperate on a constructive basis with other states in research on new sources and forms of energy. Only recently, we declared our readiness to participate, together with the United States, European countries, and Japan and other countries, on an international project on the "Tokamak" thermonuclear reactor, the aim of which is to produce energy in excess of the energy expended....

As is known, the Soviet Union already provides a number of countries services for enriching their natural uranium in Soviet facilities. In order to promote broad peaceful use of the atom for the solution of energy tasks, the Soviet Union is prepared to continue to provide such service under the corresponding international safeguards.

The offers presented in Pravda were also the essentials of the USSR's official proposals to this week's United Nation's Special Session on Disarmament. Concluding the Soviet Union's proposals was a political statement of the necessity for international disarmament:

....The Soviet Union believes that the steps proposed (above) are a bare minimum. Without their implementation the arms race will not be halted.

At the same time these would be substantial steps. Their implementation would actually mark a turning point in the entire course of international developments: from the arms race and military tensions to military détente and a radical lessening of the threat of war.

And all these steps are feasible....On most of them talks are already in progress and some are nearing completion. With regard to other steps such talks could be started in the near future.

Willingness to move decisively ahead and the political will are required to end the arms race. Today the responsibility of each state for the present and the future of the peoples is so great that a state failing to cooperate in meeting this historic challenge would be committing a crime against humanity.

EXECUTIVE INTELLIGENCE REVIEW

INTERNATIONAL 1