GOP, Dems turn to LaRouche leadership

A survey of local newspaper editorials, coupled with opinions of state and local leaders of the Democratic and Republican parties, demonstrates the overwhelming agreement of the American people to be against the "China card" lunacy of the Carter Administration and the destabilization being run against the Middle East. In response to the nationwide, around-theclock mobilization by the U.S. Labor Party to put their national chairman, Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. on national television to discuss how to survive and eliminate the Carter lunacy, support is coming from regulars of the other two parties.

Republican support for the LaRouche television broadcast was clinched with the performance by Senator Jake Javits on "Face the Nation" last week, where he demanded that Congress use the War Powers Act to threaten nuclear war against the Soviet Union. Reached for comment on the Javits war cry, dozens of state and local GOPers concurred: "Javits scared the hell out of me.... Nothing Javits says surprises me, but he doesn't speak for the party."

But, in fact, mainstream Republicans, including every announced GOP presidential candidate, have like Javits pursued a confrontationist posture against the Soviets. Capitulating to the geopolitical warmongering of Henry Kissinger and Javits's Zionist lobby networks will run aground the leadership potential of the Republican Party. It was capitulation to Kissinger, the Mont Pelerin Society, and others by those who initially collaborated with the U.S. Labor Party in 1976 to overturn the massive electoral fraud which allowed Jimmy Carter and the lunatics around him into the White House in the first place.

The reaction among Democrats to the war danger is, so far, more muted. The thread of sanity among Democrats runs through industrial labor leaders — steelworkers, building trades, longshoremen, and the Teamsters — who all agree that Carter is behaving like a madman. But out of false deference to "party unity," there exists a dangerous silence from these layers.

The immediate question is how long the world will

Republicans call for an American foreign policy

We reprint below a statement issued two weeks ago by Peter Fletcher, a Michigan member of the Republican National Committee.

The recent disastrous downturn in American foreign policy demands a public response. President Carter's "jumping through the hoop" at People's Republic of China Vice-Premier Teng Hsiao-ping's command, and the U.S. actions in effectively destabilizing an American-allied Iran, are perilously dangerous. This perception is shared by our allies in continental Europe and Japan.

President Carter allowed Teng to take every possible occasion to attack the Soviet Union without response. Carter put the nation's signature behind a Chineseauthored communiqué directly attacking the Soviet Union, implying our nation's joining an anti-Soviet military alliance with the Chinese.

In Iran, our actions have been to undermine the stability of a prodevelopment government which is supported by our European allies. Instead, we are effectively backing the installation of the anti-progress, anti-Western fanatic Khomeini.

American foreign policy ought to be based on American principles and interests: a world community

of republics peacefully cooperating in the economic and scientific development of the globe. This is why our allies in West Germany and France created the European Monetary System. This is the necessary basis for all American foreign policy.

The possibility of our pursuing this policy is seriously endangered by President Carter's recent actions. I urge those American leaders who know this to come forward and state their views before it is too late. If such a warning is not delivered, we face the possibility of war.

Peter Fletcher, Michigan member of the Republican National Committee

Seven Bergen County Republican Committee members, New Jersev

Ed Bivens, former National Head of the National Black Republican Council, Inkster, Michigan

Thomas C. Waldren, former Councilman, Roseland, New

State Representative George Klicka (R.), Wawautosa, Wisconsin

State Representative James Lewis (R.), West Bend,

Carl Shipley, former Republican Party National Committee member, Washington, D.C.

State Representative Davis Hopkins (R.), Wisconsin Stella Nichols, District Central Committee head, Republican Party, Washington, D.C.

survive without a national voice of opposition to Carter's policies.

The following is a selection of local press opinion which represents American patriotic opposition to warmongering as a national policy, distinct from the usual Kissinger-type "loyal opposition" flight forward into thermonuclear war. The statements and signatures presented here, are a sampling of a building public mandate for peace — a mobilization that national network media has refused to report.

Seattle Post-Intelligencer editorial, Feb. 20: ... Peking's claim that its "punitive" military strike against Vietnam is retaliation for Vietnamese border provocations is patently false. It is the classic excuse for aggression By playing the American card, the Chinese communist leaders undoubtedly hoped it would deter Russia from attacking China when Peking invaded Vietnam This situation carries in it the germs of a world conflict.

Buffalo Evening News editorial, Feb. 20: ... Indeed, if one were sitting suspiciously in Taiwan, it would not be difficult to conclude, as an official government spokesman does, that everything that has happened in Southeast Asia in the past two months is part of a chain reaction touched off by the U.S. recognition of China yesterday's inconceivable pipedream; today's ominous reality.

Phildelphia Inquirer editorial, Feb. 19: The Chinese took a calculated risk that the Soviets would not intervene militarily... but starting a fight is easier than stopping it — considering the immediate stakes in the struggle.... The Chinese leaders should withdraw their troops without delay. There has already been too much bloodshed and the lesson should be for the Chinese, the Soviets, the Vietnamese and for all people that armed invasion, for whatever reason, carries no guarantee that the fighting will not spread and escalate with the danger of the ultimate horror — nuclear war — ever present.

Baltimore Evening Sun editorial, Feb. 20:... One American embarrassment so far is nevertheless trouble-some. This is the fact that the Chinese attack, well advertised while Teng Hsiao-ping visited the U.S., shot forward within days after his return to China — suggesting Teng carried home in his pocket a U.S. go ahead card

In addition, the Philadelphia Inquirer, the Philadelphia Bulletin, and the Scranton Times all ran a letter to the editor from U.S. Labor Party Chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche Jr. urging the concerned citizens of the United States to extricate the nation from "the war danger created by the abandonment of the principles of the Founding Fathers" by reorienting U.S. policy toward the European Monetary System.

Teamsters grope for

The negotiations between the trucking industry and the International Brotherhood of Teamsters for a new national master freight agreement are reportedly going "nowhere fast." Sources close to the talks in Washington indicate that there have been almost no substantive discussions of basic issues in over three months of negotiations.

Trucking industry officials are said to be sitting back, waiting for the Teamsters to make the first move. They are supremely confident that the combination of pressure from the Carter Administration and their own "tough" bargaining position will eventually wear the Teamsters down and force them to agree to a contract somewhere within the Administration's "7 percent wage-price guidelines."

With the media and Administration figures like "inflation czar" Alfred Kahn rightly terming the MFA the key "pacesetter" contract for 1979, a Teamster capitulation to the wage-price guidelines would severely cripple labor's ability to resist new austerity demands.

Such a capitulation would also set into motion internal destabilization scenarios gravitating around the activities of the two small countergangs within the Union, PROD, Inc. and the Teamsters for a Democratic Union. Wildcat strikes and the disintegration of the centralized national leadership would quickly follow, breaking the over 2 million member union into semiautonomous local fiefdoms.

TDU-PROD leaders, many of whom experienced major setbacks in recent local union elections, are known to be counting on the Teamster national leadership to stumble into this trap; so are their controllers in the Kennedy machine.

In recent weeks the media has gone overboard to portray the Teamsters as "ready to deal." Many of these claims are leaks from Carter Administration sources. The normal form of these leaks has the Teamsters trading off any number of things — from trucking deregulation to criminal prosecutions of Teamster leaders by the Justice Department — in exchange for a contract that Kahn could say "falls within the guidelines." The media has seized upon Teamster President Frank Fitzsimmons' recent cautious approval of the Administration's "wage insurance" program as further evidence that the Teamsters will "deal."

Faction fight

Contrary to this noise and wishful thinking, the Teamsters leadership has not yet decided on an actual