EIRSpecialReport

How Kissinger tricked President Nixon on Soviet beam weapons

by Lyndon H. L'aRouche, Jr.

The researcher, L. Talionis, who authored the following report, was commissioned by me to conduct a study of Henry Kissinger's part in shaping U.S. armscontrol policy during the 1960s and early 1970s. Therefore, his researches, and the following report, examine the development of the nuclear deterrence and armscontrol policies of the United States from the standpoint of the assignment.

The evidence is conclusive: Kissinger conducted himself treasonously, not once, but persistently. However, since the evidence does not prove either a) that the United States was at war with the U.S.S.R. during the indicated period, or b) that Kissinger was explicitly an agent of the U.S.S.R., nor c) that the Pugwash Conference circles were provably agents of the U.S.S.R., the evidence does not permit us to describe Kissinger's proven misconduct in public office as treason. As the trial of Aaron Burr reminds us: Burr had continued to be an agent of the British government since the period of the 1776-83 war with Britain, but since the prosecutors did not walk that evidence into the courtroom, the U.S. Constitution's strict definitions prevented Justice Marshall from defining Burr's violent crimes against the United States as treason per se.

On the matter of *treason*, the court must render a "scotch verdict." On the matter of that charge, the evidence submitted thus far permits Kissinger to walk free from the courtroom, the target of hateful scorn of widows and orphans.

Reading the following report by L. Talionis, many readers will agree Kissinger is not the actual "Iago" behind the treasonous acts against the United States: Kissinger is merely a prominent and witting accomplice of a man more profoundly evil than Adolf Hitler, the wickedest man of the 20th century, Bertrand Lord Russell. As Talionis's report documents, unless we pinpoint the fact that the Anglo-Soviet "back-channel" plotting through the Pugwash Conference—until President Reagan's March 23, 1983 annoucement of a new U.S. strategic doctrine reversed directions of developments—we do not see the master in whose interest Kissinger has been working against the United States to the present day.

Talionis has pinpointed the key documentation which proves the connection

EIR June 7, 1983

between Bertrand Russell's and Kissinger's activities. It begins with Russell's item in the October 1946 Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, and continues through the activities of an organization known as the World Association of Parliamentarians for World Government (WAPWG) through 1955. WAPWG, Talionis documents, was what he describes as the "fulcrum" used to establish the Pugwash Conference. It was through "back channel" negotiations conducted through the Pugwash Conference, that the British and Soviet agencies involved roped influential U.S. accomplices into complicity. That complicity was the channel through which the U.S. government, under Johnson, Nixon, Ford, and Carter, was consistently duped into tolerating the Pugwash policies of both Robert S. McNamara and Henry A. Kissinger. It was not until the adoption of a new U.S. strategic doctrine, during January-March 1983, that Soviet General Secretary Yuri Andropov screamed in rage, as he witnessed Pugwash policies—and the assured, future Soviet defeat of the United States—being swept aside.

Kissinger must be swept out of politics, but his personal importance must not be exaggerated. His evil is only that of the Queen of Hearts: "Off with his head!" Henry screamed in the matters of Chile's Salvador Allende, Pakistan's President Bhutto, Spain's Carrero Blanco, Italy's Aldo Moro, and many others. Kissinger could not even conduct his assignment to wreck the Middle East policies of President Reagan, recently, without taking the occasion to plunge into a West Bank "land scam" against both Arab sellers and Jewish buyers. He is a petty-minded, bloated little man, with the snakeeyed morals of a ghetto-neighborhood hoodlum. He is the "Mel Weinberg" of international politics. His pitiable efforts to exhibit his sheep-dipping at the Harvard University campus never went deeper than veneer. He has the concentration span of the homicidal mercenary that he is: he lacks the concentration span to manufacture or assimilate an evil as broad and deep as the centuries-spanning concoctions of a truly Satanic figure like Bertrand Russell.

Until one views the doctrines associated with Pugwash's nuclear deterrence policies from the vantage-point of their origin within the WAPWG, and from the mouths of Bertrand Russell and mass-murderer Sir Charles Darwin (the grandson of the famous Malthusian of *The Origin of Species*), the true

purpose and practical implications of the MAD doctrine junked by President Reagan are not grasped.

Witness poor, duped Leo Szilard and his address "How To Live With the Bomb—and Survive," at the Quebec Pugwash Conference of 1958, later published in a 1966 issue of the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists. Consider the proposals for peace which Szilard listed as essential parts of the nuclear deterrence scenario: 1) A "metastable" tension of mutural deterrence as a peacekeeping mechanism. 2) Limited nuclear war, to relieve the tension periodically, including permitting the U.S.S.R. to make a possible, occasional attack destroying a selected U.S. city. 3) A "New Yalta" redrawing of the political map of the world, as recently insisted upon by Kissinger's business partner, Lord Peter Carrington of Britain. 4) Local conflicts in the Middle East, including petroleum wars and general destruction of the Middle East.

It must be emphasized, that this plan for nuclear deterrence and strategic arms-control negotiations was already being worked out in discussions with Soviet representatives beginning with Nikita Krrushchev's consolidations of power, back during the middle and second half of the 1950s. This began over six years before McNamara and his harem of "whiz kids" introduced such doctrines, and more than a decade before Henry A. Kissinger became National Security Advisor. The Soviet leadership has been fully witting, on the inside of forcing nuclear deterrence and "détente" on the U.S. government and NATO since 1957!

When Henry Kissinger said, during the period before President John F. Kennedy ordered McGeorge Bundy to fire Kissinger from the administration, or under Nixon, Ford, and Carter: "Mr. President you've got to outflank the Soviets by . . . right now!" was it the Soviet leadership which was being "outwitted"?

To understand what was behind the world-government drive of Bertrand Russell as his WAPWG and Pugwash accomplices, beginning 1946, we must consider what Russell and his circle inside the British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS) knew about the inside of the Soviet Union, already at that time.

During 1943, in the setting of the defection of Vlasov's army to the Nazis, Josef Stalin went in to St. Basil's cathedral in Moscow, to strike a pact with the Patriarch of the Russian



Bertrand Russell with Cyrus Eaton (r.) and Mrs. Eaton at the 3rd Pugwash Conference in 1958.

EIR June 7, 1983 Special Report 19

Orthodox Church. Several understandings were reached, including the adoption of the slogan, "Great Patriotic War," and the policy of describing the war as a struggle of the Great Russian people to defend the blood and soil of the Motherland against the German transgressor. Out of this, over the period into about 1951, the hierarchy of the Russian Orthodox Church became integrated within the command of what became known more recently as the Soviet KGB.

Despite the summary set-back accompanying the aburpt demise of Lavrentii Beria, under Nikita Khushchev, and after, the present-day KGB developed a more sophisiticated and comprehensive approach to establishing itself as "the state within the state." Together with this went the growing power within the KGB of the Russian orthodox hierarchy, and coordinated shifts within the triad of power of party, military and KGB.

This transformation interacted with another shift. As late as 1949, in a text written for East bloc intelligence officers, Stalin wrote that Britain, and British SIS, were the chief adversary of Russia, and U.S. power significant as the muscle at the disposal of the British. Russian bitterness against the British dated from no later than the period of Pitt and Bentham, a popular view not discouraged by such experience as the Bolsheviks' opening the secret files of the Okhrana after the October 1917 revolt, nor discouraged by Stalin's experiences of the 1930s and 1940s. Gradually, especially under Khrushchev, there was a marked shift toward hatred against the United States, and a more sympathetic view of the British "lesser evil." It was Khrushchev's consolidation of power which made Anglo-Soviet negotiations through back-channels such as the Pugwash confrence possible. The Soviet Union was plotting with its British opposite numbers in such channels as Pugwash, to plan the long-range undermining and destruction of the United States.

The leading British circles intersecting Russell, together with their collaborators in Venice, have understood leading figures of this change rather well. At the close of the war in Europe, the long-term trend toward the Russian Church's virtual takeover of the Soviet state was already forseeable. Such a takeover signified an insurgency of the kinds of cultural outlooks associated with the Old Believers (Raskolniki), and, in particular, of the strange Pan-Slavic cult-doctrine first introduced successfully into Russia beginning 1520, the mystical doctrine that Russia, the heir of Kiev Rus, would establish a new world empire, a New Byzantine Empire shifting the religious center of Istanbul (Constantinople) to Moscow—or, just a short distance outside it. The new Pan-Slavic world-empire would be, according to the mystical cult-doctrine, The Third and Final Roman Empire.

The general outline of such a "world empire" is broadly identical in all crucial features with the design for a world-government, the proposed world-government which Russell demanded, which the WAPWG and associated World Federalistis were demanding, and which had been outlined in such influential locations as the doctrine around which Rich-

ard Count von Coudenhove-Kalergi designed the Pan-European Union. This is the key to understanding the common basis in ideological commitments for Russell's plotting with Moscow against the United States during the past, and Lord Peter Carrignton's "New Yalta" antics of the present.

Each and all of such assorted World-Federalist cult-doctrines, including the Russian "Third Rome" version, are modelled on the kind of confederation of semi-autonomous ethnic and religious "colonies" typified by the Persian, Roman, and Byzantine empires, and by the old Austro-Hungarian and Ottoman empires, or the British Commonwealth as well. This political design for world-government was formalized during the lifetime of King Philip of Macedon. The design was manufactured by a Middle-Eastern priest-cult dating, on record, from the ruling priests of Ur; the cult is known to the present day as variously, the "Chaldeans," "Magi-Magicians," or "Mobeds." In Greece of the classical period, they were known as the "Phoenicians," whose center of worldpower, until Alexander the Great destroyed it, was Hiram Abiff's Tyre. The documents, letters from Rhodes to Tyre's puppet, Philip of Macedon, containing the specifications for such a world-empire, survive. Those letters describe the design as both the "Persian Model" and the "Oligarchical Model."

The details of the model survive chiefly in Greek-language sources from the period, chiefly through writings of the Phoenicians' leading cult-center (and political-intelligence center) in Greece itself, the Cult of Apollo (Lucifer) at Delphi. The agents of that cult were known as the "Peripatetics." The most important of the surviving detailed specifications provided by these Peripatetic agents of Delphi, are the *Politics* and *Nicomachean Ethics* of Philip of Macedon's spy, Aristotle. No one who has failed to study the unexpurgated edition of Aristotle's *Politics* understands how the mind of a Bertrand Russell works. Roman Imperial law was based entirely upon the *Nicomachean Ethics*. The rulers of Byzantium—until the Paleologues—based their statecraft and law explicitly on following the instructions from (chiefly) these two indicated writings of Aristotle.

Together with the "Persian Model" went the concoction of synthetic versions of pseudo-Christianity and pseudo-Judaism called generically Gnosticism or Kabbalism. Gnosticisns signifies that these syncretic mixtures of Christian, or Judaic, labels with pagan cults, were designed either by the priests of Tyre and the Chaldeans Kabbalism, or the Egyptian-Roman mysterity-religion cult, the priests of the Roman imperial pantheon, the priests of the cult of Isis-Osiris-Horus. The first pseudo-Christian Gnostic cult was that which St. Peter and Philo of Alexandria joined forces to destroy at Rome during the fourth decade A.D., the hermeticist forerunner of Rosicruceanism, synthesized by Simon Magus (Magi = Magician). Beginning with the Emperor Constantine's program for corrupting Christianity from above, Gnosticism proliferated, dominating the state-controlled Church hierarchy under Byzantium, creating a Gnostic tradition within

20 Special Report . EIR June 7, 1983

the Eastern Rite, which spilled over into Western Europe (chiefly) through the Byzantine colonies at Venice and (later) Genoa, and is concentrated today in the monastary at Mount Athos, Greece. It was from Mount Athos that the concoction of the "Third Rome" cult was spread throughout the credulous of Russia during the 16th century.

The Russian version of Gnosticism is grafted onto the old Phrygian cult of Dionysos, the cultural root of endemic Russian nihilism. This cult is based on the worship of the "earth goddess," the "Great Mother," and on the sacredness of her soil and of the blood of the people to whom she has given that soil. Like the Nazi doctrine, and the plan for a Nazi world-empire of a ruling German race, hegemonic over the semi-autonomous regions of each subordinate race, the Russian Gnostic cult is savagely racialist—or, in present-day counter-intelligence lexicons, "integrist." Hence, the Soviet love-hate relationship to Islamic fundamentalism and its current practitioners.

Although contrary, "Westernizing," rationalist tendencies and currents, exist within the Soviet population and within the ruling triad of state power, it has been the "Third Rome" impulse which has been insuregent within Soviet foreign-policy and related matters since Khrushchev. It was sufficiently conspicuous of *EIR*'s intelligence functions, back during 1972, that we published an assessment of détente, characterizing the Soviet thrust of foreign-policy as one which could be described as a "New Constantinople" perspective.

As we have written, describing the resulting situation, in another location, the three-way relationship among the United

States, its British "ally," and Moscow, creates a spectacle in which the United States is given the role of the Queen on a giant, world-wide chess-board. The United States is the White Queen, being played by a two-man team of British and Swiss oligarchies, with a Venetian kibbitzer actually orchestrating the team's play. On the opposing side, is the Andropov-Pimen-Aliev team from the Soviet KGB. In the effort to beat the Russian team, the White team is attempting to trap the Red team by gambitting the White Queen. The Red team is reaching to make a move accepting the gambit.

We are approaching the end-game of Bertrand Russell's WAPWG and Pugwash projects. Both players are determined to destroy the United States; but, which team, White or Red, will check the other's King? Which, White or Red, will seize the prize of a world-federalist government?

This is the essence of Khrushchev's play against President Kennedy, at Vienna, in the Berlin crisis, and in Khrushchev's orchestration of the events of spring, summer, and fall 1962, forcing the President into the Cuba Missile-Crisis. That is Andropov's launching of his replay of the Cuba-Missiles-Crisis tactic from as soon as he occupied his present office. These are only important "plays." To understand the "plays," one must know what game is being played. To understand nuclear deterrence, and the "environmentalist" policy of turning the United States into the impotent wreckage of a "post-industrial society," one must understand the "great game" which was set into motion by Bertrand Russell's item, published in the October 1946 Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists.

Part I: The Pugwash Papers

Kissinger imperiled U.S. national security: suppressed evidence on Soviet E-beam program

by L. Talionis

As outlined in an extraordinary evidentiary document submitted on April 8 by NDPC Advisory Board Chairman Lyndon Hermyle LaRouche, Jr. to Vice-President Bush and members of the Senate, former National Security Adviser Henry A. Kissinger acted together with Soviet and British government officials during 1960-72, and most specifically during the 1969-72 SALT I negotiations, to suppress factual intelligence in his possession on U.S.S.R. strategic defense commitments of a nature most vital to U.S. national security.

The evidentiary document contains the initial substantive results of a security investigation launched at the request of Mr. LaRouche three weeks before President Reagan's his-

toric repudiation on March 23 of three decades of U.S. "Mutually Assured Destruction" posture, in favor of a beamweapons-based strategic doctrine of *Mutually Assured Survival*.

According to rapidly accumulating hard evidence brought to light, Henry Kissinger and close allies in the U.S. policy advisory community acted in collusion with Soviet and British political, military, science, and intelligence circles to keep former President Richard M. Nixon in total ignorance of most substantive indications of firm Soviet commitments to develop strategic defense laser and related directed-beam weapons: systems not only not proscribed by the disastrous

EIR June 7, 1983 Special Report 21