

Swiss Nazis behind the mutiny in the PLO

by Mark Burdman

A cynical deal between the "Third Rome" imperialists of Moscow, the Nazi International ruling elites of Switzerland, and renegade factions in Israel's intelligence service lies behind recent efforts to place the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) under the full control of the deadly intelligence services of Syria and to destroy the PLO as an independent representative organization for Palestinian Arabs.

If this deal is not broken up through some new matrix of contacts initiated between the U.S. administration and the mainstream leadership of the PLO centered around Chairman Yasser Arafat, then the Middle East is set on a new course of war, terrorism, and religious fundamentalist upsurges. Under the operational control of Yuri Andropov's KGB, Syrian mafia leader Rifaat Assad's Praetorian Guards, and Libya's Colonel Qaddafi, a restructured and broken PLO would increasingly take on the posture and policies heretofore reserved for the so-called Abu Nidal gang, committing the assassinations and terrorist assaults in the Middle East and Europe that would be the convenient trigger for a new Israeli "pre-emptive" war move in the period ahead.

The present rebellion in the PLO has no more independence than a cocker spaniel. At best, certain of the griping dissidents are dupes manipulated in a game about whose stakes they have not the slightest comprehension. At worst, as *EIR* founder Lyndon LaRouche recently insisted, they are "no better than dogs" of the sort who gunned down PLO moderate leader Dr. Issam Sartawi in Lisbon, Portugal, in April of this year.

The Third Rome crowd in Moscow has an obvious interest in clipping Arafat's wings, and, if necessary, arranging his assassination, despite whatever "pro-Arafat" statements

"Red Czar" Andropov might make. The Third Rome expansionist push is currently operating according to two vectors: one, along the Moscow-Sofia-Belgrade-Kiev axis, is a pan-Slavic-tinged drive extending into Eastern Europe and beyond; the other, directed southward, is under the operational control of Soviet Deputy Prime Minister Geidar Ali Reza Aliyev, and can be called the Islamic Division of the overall Third Rome push. It is this vector of Soviet policy that is controlling, from the top, every aspect of the mutiny against Arafat's rule.

Moscow hardly shies away from using the worst elements of the reconstructed post-war Nazi International to carry out this policy, and here is where Switzerland, which has been appropriately dubbed "The Fourth Reich," comes in. After the last war, Swiss financiers like François Genoud and the patrons of the evil historical Geneva and Lausanne families incorporated into their international capabilities the former assets of the "minorities" divisions of the Nazis' intelligence apparatus, including the Second Division (Abteilung II) of Admiral Canaris's Abwehr and the Sixth Bureau (Amt 6) of Walter Schellenberg's 1943-44 Reichshauptsicherheitsamt.

The Swiss, historically and today, always tilt toward the perceived "stronger party." That perceived party today is Yuri Andropov's would-be Third Rome, and the Swiss conceive their role as creating as junior partner a Central European Reich as a buffer zone for the Soviet imperialist drive. In the process of creating this Mitteleuropa entity, the Swiss would be only too happy to blow the Mideast to smithereens, to put Europe under the effective energy blackmail of Mother Russia. If the American posture in the world is destroyed, through chaos and war leading to humiliating American backdowns

in the Mideast region, so much the better, in the eyes of the Mitteleuropa gnomes.

‘We have to establish the Reich again’

Personnel at the Nazi International-front Swiss-Arab Association have been quite frank in recent discussions about the implications of the recent “mutiny” inside the PLO.

The Swiss-Arab Association is led by a coterie of Swiss feudalists who, in most cases, converted to a cultist form of Islam during the past decades, in order to take operational control over such mystic homosexual brotherhoods as the Sufi International and its various Freemasonic offshoots.

One Association director indicated this month that the anti-Arafat fanatics have a significant base of support in Switzerland among two tendencies: the Lausanne branch of the Swiss Communist Party, headed by Swiss CP leaders Madame Belguermit and M. Porchet of the “Swiss Palestine” group, and the Lausanne circle of Nazi International financier François Genoud, the bankroller of Muslim Brotherhood International leader Ahmed Ben Bella of Algeria. Speaking of this curious alliance, the director explained: “They have the same aims, but different motivations. Genoud is a Nazi, he has the authorship rights to Göring and Goebbels. For him, it is simple: the Jews must disappear. For the Communists, the issue is that they want no more state of Israel, they want one single state of Palestine. They have the same policy as the Abu Nidal group, in effect.”

The deeper implications of the anti-Arafat “rebellion” were drawn by a good friend of Genoud in the Association. What is under assault, in reality, he said, is “the influence of Judeo-Christian civilization” in the PLO and in the Middle East more broadly. “Only the Iranian revolutionaries and the Muslim Brotherhood have understood this point,” Genoud’s friend, just returned from a mission in Iran, declared.

Genoud’s Nazi comrade forecast that “Arafat will be killed,” and replaced by a tendency identical to the late Grand Mufti of Jerusalem, Adolf Hitler’s best ally during the war in the Arab-Islamic world and, after the war, a prime asset of Genoud and the Swiss. “The spirit of the Grand Mufti is still there,” he declared. “It has new faces, new names, but it is there. Khomeini to a large extent is a true heir of the Mufti. Qaddafi is another one, he is a true romantic, a marvelous person.”

The same source, who had recently circulated an analysis in private based on the idea that the United States was a “Jewish republic that should rightfully be called ‘United Shmuelevitz Ashkenazi,’” elaborated the geopolitical implications of such shifts in the Mideast. “I tell you we have to re-establish the Reich, based on the idea of a neutral central Europe, a ‘Mitteleuropa’. . . . I have friends in East Germany with whom I am discussing this idea.”

The Mossad angle

The Mideast situation is exacerbated by the bunch of “Spartans” now hegemonic in Israeli governing circles. These

clever fellows think the anti-Arafat rebellion relieves them of the pressure to negotiate an honest settlement on the Palestinian issue. “Israel and Syria have a deal: partition Lebanon and hand the PLO over to Syrian control,” an Israeli source opposed to the Spartans told *EIR* the second week in June. “Syria wants to bully Arafat, to humiliate him, to make him know he exists only on Syrian sufferance; this helps the Syrians’ own visions of grandeur. Israel is only too happy to oblige: what could be better than to not have an independent PLO around that would potentially negotiate a general settlement with Israel in the region?”

This is the same kind of thinking that has led Israel into alliance with Khomeini’s butchers in Iran and into last year’s disastrous and costly invasion of Lebanon. The clever Spartans think they are buying themselves security, but as they are only pawns in the Third Rome-Fourth Reich game, they are selling Israel’s soul to the same devil who wants to destroy Israel in the end, to the François Genouds of Switzerland who simply want the Jews to “disappear.”

The fate of Bathsheba’s husband?

Caught in the interstices of the Soviet-Nazi alliance to destroy the Middle East, Arafat at this point would have to make some urgent strategic moves to save himself and his organization. Recent overtures by him to the governments of India (head of the Non-Aligned movement), Romania, Algeria, and Saudi Arabia, have provided some channels outside of Andropov control. However these or other moves could evolve into a process of dialogue with non-State Department-controlled American circles which would be crucial in re-establishing some prospect of independence for the PLO and a process of legitimate negotiations for the creation of an independent Palestinian state.

In the meantime, there must be a frank effort to strip the rebels of every shred of legitimacy. Rebel commander Abu Musa in Lebanon is a Soviet Marxist pawn in the game. Veteran dissidents George Habash of the PFLP and Nayef Hawatmeh of the PDFLP are respectively a mystic of the Greek Orthodox Church cults in the Mideast and a catspaw of the Soviet KGB. The Abu Nidal band are self-evidently extensions of the Nazi International global apparatus.

As for the PLO base, the choice before them was suggested by a sage familiar with the sweep of broad processes of history. “The PLO could soon go the way of Bathsheba’s husband,” the sage commented. “In the Old Testament story, King David wanted the sultry Bathsheba. But she was married. So King David sent Bathsheba’s husband to war, so that he would be killed and so King David would possess her love.

“The PLO is soon to become the modern version of Bathsheba’s husband,” the sage said. “Assad wants to send them into Lebanon to be cannon fodder to provoke a new Mideast war. Meanwhile, Assad stays at home with his beloved Bathsheba. The PLO should wise up,” he concluded. “Does it want to become a victim of Assad’s Old Testament plot?”