Editorial

Look who's pushing drugs!

Some eyes should be opened, particularly in the Reagan administration, by the lead editorial of the so-called "conservative" Washington Times on Sept. 27. The editorial—entitled "Heroin: Can It Be Worse?"—argues extensively for the legalization of the mind-destroying drug.

The *Times*, which is owned by Reverend Moon's Unification Church, has been extremely successful in making itself known as the "conservative alternative" to the flaming liberals at the *Washington Post*. But when it comes to drugs, it seems that the differences aren't really so great. The *Washington Times*' editorial comes at the end of a series of articles on drugs of the same style that appeared in *Pravda West* (the *New York Times*), and comes through with a more "radical" endorsement.

We quote: "The argument, usually dismissed as crackpot, is that by legalizing the acutely addictive drug we would simultaneously eliminate organized crime from heroin traffic, curtail widespread police corruption, and knock down the stratospheric price of regular fixes. This last consequence, economically probable, would greatly abate the desperate muggings and burglaries in the daily life of our cities and suburbs. Alas, a small but growing number of responsible observers is taking up the argument.

"A time for exceeding caution, to be sure. We must resist panaceas and neat ideologies. Nevertheless, we wonder if the impulse to throw tax dollars at heroin and assign ever more legal force to its eradication is not itself the product of modernist ideology. Only in this generation have we viewed the State as the most efficient instrument of individual health and social welfare. As we have entrusted more to the State, we have vitiated personal responsibility as the agent of community well-being.

"Conservatives have fought that ideology almost everywhere it has proved to be manifestly counterproductive. The War on Poverty, the minimum wage, energy regulations, affirmative action, spending on schools—it is now possible to tick off countless examples of well-intentioned programs that have exacerbated the very problems they were designed to alleviate. Should the government's costly and overwhelmingly futile war on heroin now be added to the list?"

It seems that the newspaper has some explaining to do. For, indeed, the major reason that the war is "futile" is that the major financial and political forces in the United States are committed to *sabotaging* it.

In particular, the Washington Times itself has: 1) refused to support the relevant police measures against the financial institutions who push drugs; 2) run major campaigns against Ibero-American governments, such as Bolivia, who are attempting to fight drugs; and 3) backed the IMF austerity programs for Third World countries which force those countries into the arms of the drug-pushers.

Let's not kid ourselves. By refusing to support the government measures which would wipe out drugs—measures that are currently being demanded by all the major drug-producing nations of Ibero-America—the likes of the *Washington Times* is working hand in glove with the Soviet Union. The Soviets, and their separatist-terrorist surrogates in Ibero-America, carry out many of their operations through the drug trade. Then, the drugs serve the double purpose of destroying the next generation in the United States.

To those who might be surprised to find Rev. Moon—the "anti-communist's anti-communist"—in bed with the Soviets, we need merely point out the long history of collaboration between the Nazis and the Communists. Both governed by Gnostic belief-structures, they are natural allies in the subversion of mankind's fight for scientific progress.

From this standpoint, we should also not be surprised that the *Washington Times* has been a major conduit for disinformation about the "crumbling Russian empire" and the "Christianization" of Russia. Should those who collaborate with the Russians in pushing drugs be trusted in this matter?

As far as we're concerned, this is just the beginning of the investigation of the connections between the "anti-communist" drug pushers and the Nazi-Soviet drug mafia. But we expect it to be a fruitful one.

64 National EIR October 8, 1984