Kissinger Watch by M.T. Upharsin



Kissinger Watch now a national institution!

Kissinger Watch is proud to announce that it is finding collaborators, co-conspirators, and informants all across the United States!

This is a sure sign of a crystallizing patriotic movement that could lead to a devastating crash for Dr. K's political career in the period to come.

From October 8-17, in five separate appearances ranging geographically from the American West Coast to central Pennsylvania, Fat Henry was greeted by demonstrations organized by his political opponents. In all cases, these opponents had been prealerted to his appearance by patriotic supporters determined to ensure that Fat Henry never again has the opportunity to achieve a position within a

U.S. administration.

The nightmares for Fat Henry began in Flint, Michigan, Oct. 8, as we reported last week, and continued on through an Easton, Pennsylvania, engagement before the Lafayette College Alumni Organization; a Denver, Colorado, engagement before the Moses Maimonides Center; a speech before a Yakima, Washington, audience; and a campaign speech on behalf of Sen. Charles Percy (R-III.) before the Peoria, Illinois Republican Club.

In each case, Dr. K. was met by feisty teams of representatives of the International Caucus of Labor Committees and the Schiller Institute founded by Lyndon LaRouche and Helga Zepp-LaRouche.

The theme of the LaRouche organizers was in all cases the same, but with notable variations for each case.

Fat Henry was challenged, in public, to explain his support for decoupling the United States from Western Europe, his agentry on behalf of the Soviet Union, and his lifelong hatred for the United States. In each case, the audience was saturated with leaflets on Kissinger's background as a Soviet agent of influence, and, in each case, LaRouche teams found substantial residues of support for sending Fat Henry into political retirement.

In Yakima, Washington, the roadway leading from his airplane to the speaking hall was filled with posters depicting Fat Henry as a "Nazi-Communist," replete with both hammer and sickle and the familiar Nazi paraphenalia.

As he began to speak, LaRouche representative George Hollis rose and challenged Henry with the allegation that the Soviets were supporting his return as secretary of state. Members of the audience were heard to be whispering to one another, "Is it true? Is it true?"

In Denver, LaRouche-representative Craig Holtzclaw asked Fat Henry about his attempts to hand Europe over to the Soviets, and about the opposition to his policies from U.S. Defense Secretary Caspar Weinberger. Holtzclaw concluded: "Do you think Lyndon LaRouche is behind this question?" In response, Fat Henry stuttered, "If you want to know about Lyndon LaRouche, ask the Anti-Defamation League." That demagoguery did not go over so well with the primarily elderly Jewish audience, many of whom were intently reading the ICLC-Schiller literature as Kissinger spoke; as for Fat Henry, he must undoubtedly have been aware that La-Rouche is entering into a multi-million-dollar lawsuit for libel against the ADL.

In Illinois, Kissinger accused a reporter from an Ibero-American newspaper of working for LaRouche, after a question on his sabotage of U.S. defense policies. "Are you paranoid?" the reporter asked, drawing interested attention from the press corps.

Kissinger may be garnering a lot of money from these appearances (\$30,000 for his stopover in Flint, the local press reports), but he is also more than ever the lightning rod for those Americans who associate him with the decline of U.S. power and morality over the last two decades.

This may speed up the process, rumored in high-level European circles, of the Soviets deciding that their favorite agent of influence has become expendable, and further deciding to pull the Kremlin files on his 1940s "indiscretions" on behalf of the Soviet intelligence services.

Other equally uncharitable individuals among Fat Henry's bankrollers and mentors in the West may soon be arriving at the same unpleasant conclusions.

EIR October 30, 1984 National 59