Mother Russia by Rachel Douglas

Russian Church and Russian State

An outlet of the KGB and Communist Party doth protest too much about our revelations on the Kremlin and the ROC.

EIR's writers were labeled "troglodytes" by Izvestia, for daring to call for an end to the terror of the Mutual Assured Destruction doctrine. But never, until now, has Moscow said anything for or against our charge, that the Soviet State is structured on the Byzantine model, run from a Kremlin whose guiding idea is that of the Russian Orthodox Church and the Tsars—the myth of Moscow the Third Rome.

That changed with the July 31 issue of the weekly Literaturnaya Gazeta, notorious for its links to the KGB. One week after the release of the EIR Special Report, "Global Showdown: The Russian Imperial War Plan for 1988," Lit Gaz ran a long article by novelist Aleksandr Nezhnyi on the restoration of the ancient Danilov Monastery in Moscow, to be the new administrative center of the Russian Orthodox Church/Moscow Patriarchate.

"Western propaganda," complained Lit Gaz, "is circulating absurd inventions and provocational fabrications. The Russian Orthodox Church is declared to be a state church. . . ." As the only example of such "propaganda," the weekly cited "an article published not long ago in the West German Neue Solidarität, [from which] one can learn that 'the state has sent student brigades and teams of specialists' to work on the Danilov Monastery restoration."

The cited article was based on the five-part series in this column last spring, on the 1,000th anniversary jubilee of the Russian Orthodox Church, coming up in 1988.

The editorial introduction (the paper's editor is Communist Party Central Committee member Aleksandr Chakovskii) asserted, that the Soviet State's decision to return the monastery to the Patriarchate, in 1983, was merely "testimony to the normal relations between State and Church." Having protested against the characterization of a "state church," the Central Committee- and KGB-linked Literaturnaya Gazeta then printed the words of Nezhnyi, which surpass, in explicit celebration of the Church's integral place in the Soviet state, anything we have previously presented in this column!

Nezhnyi writes, said Lit Gaz, on "one of our traditional themes—the preservation of monuments of our native history and culture." That theme is a Russophile watchword: The Rossiya Society, the 14-million strong vehicle for Russian nationalist activism, is officially in the business of "monument preservation."

Nezhnyi set the mood: "There are times, when just one look suddenly reveals unexpected features in a seemingly familiar picture, and shakes the soul. . . . So it happened with me when, having spent a few hours within the walls of the Danilov Monastery, unhurriedly surveying its churches, which are acquiring an appearance worthy of them, and making the acquaintance of the restorer-architects and master stonemasons, who, in the space of four months, have raised a nearly 40-meter-high belfry above the front church, I found myself a few days later in the administrative headquarters of ZIL [a Moscow auto plant], and from the twelfth story on the opposite, right bank of the Moscow River, in a dense clump of industrial buildings, caught sight of that same belfry, those same churches and walls, and nearly gasped with amazement. The tangibility of the march of time . . . gained even greater force, from the barely perceptible movement of the black river water flowing beside. It had quietly streamed, from one end of the city to the other, from century unto century. . . . In ancient times, when it flooded, it had come up right under the monastery walls-now, . . . it only preserved in some deepest reflections, perhaps, the little hill and the wooden church on it: around 1282 its construction was ordered, by the son of Aleksandr Nevskii, Price Daniil of Muscovy.

"... the work of the restorers is permeated with such conscientiousness, such striving for the truth, such passionate desire to revive what was pointlessly lost."

Nezhnyi interviewed Metropolitan Aleksii of Tallinn and Estonia, the Moscow Patriarchate administrator in charge of the Danilov project. "We aim to complete it by 1988, the 1,000th anniversary of the adoption of Christianity by Rus," said the priest.

"The Russian Orthodox Church," Aleksii beamed, "by acquiring a new administrative center, will obtain the possibility of better organizing its activity and more fully carrying out its assignment. Here there will be church conferences, . . . international meetings. . . . Without a doubt, the Church will have the possibility to develop, in still greater breadth, its patriotic activity, which was so brilliantly manifested during the years of the Great Patriotic War, and to activate its peacemaking efforts, which have won it world renown."