FBI Director Webster lies treasonously on international narco-terrorism

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The author is a candidate for the 1988 U.S. presidential nomination of the Democratic Party, and a prominent expert on international terrorism.

There is no possibility, that FBI Director William Webster committed an "honest error" in his statements on terrorism to the Los Angeles Times. Judge Webster lied, pouring out one lie after the other, in the portions of the interview published by the *Times*. Judge Webster must resign, on condition that a man even worse than Webster himself, Deputy FBI Director Oliver "Buck" Revell, is not considered as Webster's replacement.

The worst of Webster's numerous lies, is his assertion that "narco-terrorism" does not exist. Since the first U.S. terrorist group, Mark Rudd's and John Jacobs' Weatherman bombers, the core of terrorist groups has been recruited from the the "drug counterculture." Every major terrorist organization spilling into the United States from Central and South America, from the Sendero Luminoso and M-19 on down the scale, is based logistically on the cocaine, marijuana, and heroin trafficking into the United States and Europe. Notably, Hinckley, the would-have-been assassin of President Ronald Reagan, came out of a rock-drug counterculture background.

The evidence is so massive, so consistent, that any FBI official who argues that "narco-terrorism" is not the major component of terrorism in the Americas, is self-exposed both as a bald-faced liar, and even much worse than a mere liar. Webster

that Cuba is not involved in drug-trafficking into the United States.

One of the central figures of drug-traffic into the United States, is the wanted fugitive Robert Vesco. The Bulgarialinked Vesco is a partner with the pro-Hitler Colombian drugtrafficker, Carlos Lehder, and operates under the protection of Fidel Castro's Cuba, where Vesco maintains a residence. Colombia's M-19 terrorists, although originally an operation linked to extreme right-wing Gnostic circles in Colombia, have been consistently linked to Cuba openly since their first major terrorist operation, and they are integral to the Colombia drug-lobby.

Why did Webster lie in an effort to cover up for the drugtraffickers? Is it because Webster was an appointee of the President Jimmy Carter whose administration promoted the growth of the drug-traffic into the U.S.A. from South America? Or, is there a deeper, nastier motive? Do Webster's motives for lying have something to do with his own and "Buck" Revell's connections to Kenneth Bialkin's Anti-Defamation League (ADL)? Not only is organized-crime-linked Bialkin Vesco's attorney, but the ADL has been functioning for at least seven years as a leading part of the organized drug-lobby inside the United States. The ADL is also an official "dirty tricks" conduit for the FBI and other corrupted elements of the Department of Justice, working closely with such professed drug-lobbyists as Dennis King and Chicago's "Chip" Berlet, aa well as the drug-lobby's accomplices at NBC-TV. The ADL is also directly allied politically with major elements of international terrorism.

In Colombia, Peru, and elsewhere, one of the major political allies of the drug interests, is the U.S. State Department-funded AIFLD (American Institute for Free Labor Development). AIFLD is an organization set up as a joint operation of the international division of the AFL-CIO and such offshoots of the drug-trafficking British East India Company as J. Peter Grace's family business interests. AIFLD runs dirty political operations, including attempted coups, in Central and South America, through a network of corrupt labor officials in those countries, labor officials repeatedly caught up to their ears in collaboration with drug-operations. The central figures involved include AFL-CIO President Lane expose Kirkland and Kissinger crony Irving Brown. Does this have something to do with the protective "fix" used by drug-connected interests inside the U.S. government? Is this at least an important part of the reason that Webster and "Buck" Revell have blocked action on a number of terrorist incidents which have occurred recently inside the United States?

Although the use of terrorism did not begin with the late Yuri Andropov's appointment as head of the Soviet KGB, modern international terrorism was launched in 1969, under Andropov, and through the ministers of the Warsaw Pact nations. From the beginning, the coordination of international terrorism was run through the Syrian intelligence service,

60 National **EIR** February 28, 1986 with the intelligence services of Bulgaria and East Germany the major conduits through which KGB operations interfaced Syrian operations.

The Soviets did not originate the international drug-traffic. The Venetian Levant Company took over the international drug-traffic from Arab slave-traders. When the Levant Company was transformed into the British and Dutch East India Companies, during the seventeenth century, Britain and the Netherlands moved into the business, and so did those New England "blue-blood" families which were partners with the British East India Company in the China opium-trade. The drug traffic is a Syrian tradition, from a time long before the establishment of the Ottoman Empire. Under Andropov as the head of the KGB, the Soviets moved into the international drug-traffic, becoming a partner of existing drug-trafficking operations.

Andropov used the drug-traffic and narco-terrorism as a weapon of subversion against the United States and its allies, and also used Soviet profits from the drug-traffic for building up financial warfare operations against the West, as well as funding of expanded KGB operations.

These facts are ABC to every major Western agency specializing in Soviet counterintelligence. Webster and Revell, like Robert Moss, Clare Sterling, and the Jonathan Institute crowd, are each and all lying outrightly.

Webster and Revell must be fired, and the drug-lobbylinked ADL disassociated from its connections to the Department of Justice, FBI, State Department, CIA, and DIA. Webster has put himself out on a limb by lying so outrageously. He should have been dumped long ago; now he has provided a conclusive case for his summary expulsion from government.

Documentation

Webster: 'No such thing as narco-terrorism'

The following are excerpts from the Los Angeles Times article, published Feb. 14 under the headline, "FBI Chief Confident in Terrorism Fight; Warns Against Panic, Says Problem is Dealt with Appropriately." The article is based on an interview with FBI Director William Webster.

FBI Director William H. Webster said that the only way "terrorists can destabilize the United States, is if we panic ourselves, and take extraordinarily repressive measures against a problem that is, at present, being fully addressed. I know there is a potential for great pressure to bend the rules and do what is necessary" to prevent terrorism outbreaks in the United States, Webster said at breakfast with the *Times* Washington Bureau, and "we have to keep reminding our-

selves that the terrorists win whenever the terrorists succeed in busting up a system in a free society."

"Terrorism expertise is becoming a cottage industry," Webster said. "And it is very easy for everybody to say it will be here next month or next year, and if they are wrong nobody will remember.

"It is hard for me to say it isn't coming next month, because it might, but on the basis of the evidence we have, we believe we are dealing with the problem appropriately, and . . . certainly are not panicked by what is taking place at the present time."

Webster also disputed the suggestion, heard both at the White House and in Congrees, that terrorists and drug traffickers have joined forces to undermine the United States and other democracies.

"Words like 'narco-terrorism' tend to exacerbate the realities as we know them," Webster said. "I also do not believe that the hard evidence links the two, that we're in a situation where the terrorists have become drug dealers, or the drug dealers have become terrorists."

"Words like 'narco-terrorism' tend to exacerbate the realities as we know them," Webster said. "I also do not believe that the hard evidence links the two, that we're in a situation where the terrorists have become drug dealers, or the drug dealers have become terrorists."

Citing Cuba, Webster said there is "good evidence that particular individuals in governments have from time to time sweetened their own pockets by accommodating both drug traffickers and terrorists. But I think it's a mistake to say that Cuba is in the drug-running business or that Cuba is using drugs to support terrorism."

Webster's remarks seem to contradict his boss, President Reagan, who said in a Jan. 2 press conference that "The link between the governments of such Soviet allies as Cuba and Nicaragua and international narcotics-trafficking and terrorism is becoming increasingly clear. These twin evils, narcotics-trafficking and terrorism, represent the most insidious and dangerous threats to the hemisphere today."

Webster, noting that 40% of terrorist incidents "affect U.S. persons or institutions abroad," said: "I don't think we should buy the proposition that it's inevitable that we be subjected to the kind of terrorism that other countries have experienced."