Documents Released

How the Soviets demanded the shutdown of LaRouche

Warren J. Hamerman, the chairman of the National Democratic Policy Committee (NDPC), was widely quoted throughout the international media for his charge that the Oct. 6 raid against Lyndon LaRouche's associates was an attempt on the eve of the Iceland summit by White House Chief of Staff Don Regan to appease Gorbachov, who had "demanded LaRouche's head on a platter." On Oct. 14, Hamerman released the following statement at a Washington, D.C., press conference, along with 20 pages of documentation from Soviet sources:

Today I am releasing documentary proof of the massive record in the Soviet Union's own literature that the government of Gorbachov had made known their policy priority before the Iceland summit to silence Lyndon LaRouche and his organization as an integral part of the Iceland pre-summit. They intended for Mr. LaRouche and his organization to be served up on a platter as part of a Western capitulation agreement on the SDI. They view LaRouche as a growing, politically dangerous rallying point and symbol of uncompromising Western resolve to research and deploy the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) on a crash program basis. The Soviet policy statements cover the period from the spring of 1983, immediately after President Reagan's March 23, 1983 proclamation of the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI), to the immediate days before the Leesburg raid, when their denunciations of LaRouche intensified and became explicit "instructions" to U.S. authorities to crush LaRouche on the pretext of so-called financial "irregularities."

Many journalists in Reykjavik were aware that Executive Intelligence Review (EIR) correspondents in Iceland, particularly in a direct exchange with Soviet Academician Velikhov while the negotiations were ongoing, had the only cor-

rect evaluation of *the* single substantive issue in the presummit: the outrageous demand by Gorbachov that President Reagan should kill the SDI in the cradle.

In the Soviet mind, Lyndon LaRouche is the "intellectual author" of the SDI policy, even though Dr. Edward Teller later became publicly a true additional champion of the policy. In the Soviet mind, since Lyndon LaRouche was the first figure in the West to publicly call for an SDI layered-defense system of laser and other advanced directed energy beam devices well over a year before any other spokesman, it is LaRouche who must be eliminated as the symbol of the SDI.

As had been exclusively the analysis of Lyndon La-Rouche and EIR before and during the summit, the only issue that the Soviets had been concerned with was trying to force President Reagan to give in and dismantle the SDI. However, President Reagan did not submit to the man with the mark of the beast on his brow in Reykjavik. Therefore, Gorbachov blew up the summit over the SDI. All of the negotiating items other than the SDI which the Soviets had supposedly been interested in, as events unfolded, in fact were demonstrated to be irrelevant. The Soviets were totally uninterested in these other issues. Thus, Lyndon LaRouche's evaluation of the Soviet policy considerations at Reyljavik prevailed.

To understand the Soviet response in Iceland, therefore, you have to follow the pattern of Soviet hysterical denunciations of Lyndon LaRouche ever since President Reagan's March 23, 1983 announcement of the SDI policy on national television.

In the two-month period prior to the Oct. 6 Leesburg raid—which has been characterized by professional law-enforcement officials as an "overkill" deployment by two orders of magnitude of excessive force—the Soviets began a relentless campaign in their media which culminated in an

60 National EIR October 24, 1986

File civil rights claim against FBI raiders

Attorneys for the corporations ransacked in Leesburg, Virginia, Oct. 6 are preparing a civil-rights suit for injunctive relief against the illegal search and seizure of documents in the raid. The corporations will demand that the FBI raiders be enjoined from either publicizing or using the documents illegally seized. The corporations will also seek multimillion-dollar damages.

In specific, the search can be shown to have violated the First Amendment rights of the individuals whose property was seized. On the whole, the search can be described as "a general warrant," in the sense that everything in sight was seized, to be examined later.

The violation of First Amendment rights was particularly evident through the seizure of lists of names which were used strictly for political organizing or business functioning, not for "fundraising." The FBI can now be expected to call and harass these individuals, as it has done with other names provided.

Privacy of individuals was also violated by the theft of such things as payroll checks, which are of absolutely no use to the raiders.

Other categories of illegalities in the search include the following:

- 1) Seizure of documents which fall under the rubric of attorney-client privilege;
- 2) Xeroxing of documents which were not taken, and were undoubtedly not covered by the search warrant in any way, shape, or form;
 - 3) Denial of the right for a legal observer;
- 4) Seizure of documents belonging to organizations which are not even mentioned in the search warrant, such as the National Democratic Policy Committee.

Certain of the seizures can also be considered "punitive," like the seizure of corporate checkbooks, which are of no use to the raiders, but whose loss causes difficulties for the corporations.

The self-serving role of the state of Virginia will also come under scrutiny, due to the lack of probable cause for a search, as reflected in the state's search warrant.

explicit series of policy statements in the days before the raid.

This final phase of the Soviet Union's semi-official demand for LaRouche's head on a platter can be seen in the following chronology of high-level policy statements:

- The Aug. 7, 1986 issue of the Soviet weekly Sovetskaya Kultura published a major article entitled "About A Fraud" devoted to a denunciation of Lyndon LaRouche for running a large "illegal" financial network engaged in "all sorts of tricks with credit cards." Sovetskaya Kultura's editor-in-chief, Albert Belayev, is a known KGB-connected protégé of the late KGB boss and Kremlin leader, Yuri Andropov. Belayev, before coming to Sovetskaya Kultura earlier this year, had been, first, deputy head, and then head of the Soviet Central Committee's Cultural Department since November 1972.
- On Sept. 15, 1986, the Soviet weekly Novoye Vremya, which appears in 11 languages (including New Times in English) carried a 5-page slander spread against Lyndon La-Rouche and his collaborators and organizations in the United States, the Federal Republic, France, Sweden, etc. The New Times diatribe appeared under the banner headline: "Nazis Without the Swastika" and contained the evaluation that the LaRouche "groups are extremely dangerous and must be closely watched by the world public so that their aims and ambitions do not become reality."
- The Sept. 30, 1986 edition of Sovetskaya Kultura contained a major policy article denouncing LaRouche as a dan-

gerous and "growing" political force in the United States. Less than one week before the Leesburg raid, this Soviet article asked:

"How is it possible that the LaRouchites can act so openly and fearlessly?... Why isn't the Internal Revenue Service interested in the affairs of a man who received millions of dollars from publications and in the form of contributions, but has not paid any taxes, claiming he doesn't know who pays for his estate in Virginia?... Rouche and his followers are zealous supporters of the notorious 'star wars' program of the Reagan administration."

• On Oct. 3, Soviet leader Mikhail Gorbachov himself, in his speech at the unveiling of a memorial to Ernst Thaelmann in Moscow utilized the formulation of the Sept. 15 New Times five-page attack to target the La Rouche movement as a strategic hostile force to the Soviets.

The para-military raid on Leesburg occurred three days later. The force deployed was excessive and redundant. The raid itself was carefully staged as an international media event. There is no substance to any of the charges but the implementation on a crude scale of Soviet demands to "make an example" of Lyndon LaRouche for his role in design and defense of the SDI. To this day, there has not been a single indictment, let alone a conviction, of Lyndon LaRouche. He is innocent, according to every constitutional and judicial standard in American law. According to Soviet justice, however, he is guilty and condemned to death.

EIR October 24, 1986 National 61