Reorganizing Israel

Sorry about that, Shimon

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The Democratic U.S. presidential contender wrote the following article on March 25.

The telephone rang. I had to interrupt my presidential campaign to address Israel's current problem. For poor Israel's sake, I shall stick my neck out once more.

The question currently on the mind of Israel's patriots, is, stated most bluntly: How does Israel arrange to have the triumvirate of Shamir, Peres, and Rabin slip discreetly into political retirement for a while, without creating a situation in which Ariel "Sammael" Sharon and David Levi unleash their hungry hounds of Hell upon the poor country?

The background to the problem is this.

About 1967, people connected to organized crime's Meyer Lansky began moving in on Israel and the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency, simultaneously. Prime Minister Golda Meir not only threw Lansky out of Israel, she bounced him around half the world, into a waiting Florida investigation. Nonetheless, Lansky's cronies more or less accomplished their purpose, both in Israel and the U.S.A.

The result today, is that both governments have been savagely subverted by what are called "secret governments," known today as "Project Democracy" in the U.S.A. Essentially, the "secret government" operating inside Israel is the same thing as "Project Democracy" inside the United States. Essentially, "Irangate" and the Pollard affair are one and the same operation.

Inside Israel, the problem is, that the cronies of the gangsters have most of the money in sight. Lansky's old circle of friends controls the money-laundering side of the weaponstrafficking which is practically Israel's only surviving source of revenue today. With this money, Lansky's old circles have bought "political protection," which means buying up large chunks of the Israeli political system, as well as pretty much owning Sharon personally.

Inside the United States, that means not only Lansky's old Hollywood and other interests. Lansky and his mentor, Arnold Rothstein, were pretty much creations of the Vincent Astor well known to both Teddy Roosevelt's crowd and Franklin Roosevelt. As the case of the Anti-Defamation League's (ADL's) Kenneth Bialkin shows, the old organized-crime network is closely integrated with what is known to insiders as the "Jay Lovestone" apparatus. That includes the League for Industrial Democracy (LID) and the international department of Lane Kirkland's AFL-CIO. This is the AIFLD organization, a joint operation of the Lovestonites and certain bankers. This, together with degraded, mercenary rogues from the old intelligence establishment, is the core of "Project Democracy."

Worse, through connections such as Russian agent Armand Hammer, both "secret governments" have nasty connections to Moscow. Not only is Bialkin's crowd linked to Castro and Moscow through Bialkin's buddy, Robert Vesco. On the ground, in Central and South America, AIFLD and its European-based ICFTU buddies deploy in political support of forces linked both to drug-trafficking and to Moscow.

About everything nasty one could imagine is tied up near the middle of this mess, and one should not be altogether astonished if the ghost of "Sherlock Holmes'" A. Conan Doyle, as well as Robert Graves's "White Goddess" should pop up next. (The Israeli archeologist best qualified to explain those theosophical connections, died surprisingly, regrettably, while reading the Sharon report.)

This "Project Democracy" crowd and its Lansky connections is deeply entangled with the "junk bond" business now threatening to blow out the U.S. banking system from the inside. Also, the Israeli side of the crowd has been running a spy-ring against the U.S. government, pretty much with political protection from elements of the "Project Democracy" crowd. So, the combination of the Ivan Boesky "junk bond"

44 International EIR April 3, 1987

scandal, the Pollard case, and "Irangate," has threatened to blow up the Israeli triumvirate of Shamir, Rabin, and Peres.

Israel's problem is this. Since Peres refuses to help in exposing the Israeli side of the business, there is very little hope that Israel will clean up the Pollard affair connections as long as the triumvirate remains the government. Unless the triumvirate can be replaced by a government of popular and strong personalities, the fall of the triumvirate could lead to a takeover by the Sharon-Levi combination, which would be like replacing Faust with Mephistopheles.

The Pollard and Irangate affairs are not going to vanish; they are going to become bigger and bigger, especially as one junk-bond and inside-trader swindle after another blows up in major bankruptcies in Wall Street, London, and West Germany. Sooner or later, the pile-up of these scandals means that Israel's triumvirate will fall from power; the harder the triumvirs attempt to maintain the cover-up, the bigger the fall will be when it comes. The grin on the face of "Sammael" Sharon gets bigger and bigger.

The question on the minds of the senior-ranking Israeli patriots is, how to construct an emergency government, not only to replace the "three wise monkeys," the triumvirs, but to prevent Sharon from coming to power.

On principle, it could be done. Ezer Weizman, Abba Eban, former President Navon, and numerous others make up a list of qualified eligibles. The problem as I see it, is that such a government could not be stable unless something is done to make the shekel stable. That "something" would have to be supplied from the United States. If I were President of the United States, a "New Marshall Plan" for the Middle East would be policy, and that would provide Israel a workable alternative to the gun-runners' control of Israel's principal sources of revenues. The immediate problem is, that the United States seems to be incapable of adopting any sort of constructive new policy at the moment, until the raging fight over the "Project Democracy" issue is brought to its proper conclusion.

The stability of a new, patriotic government of Israel depends upon the government's ability to deliver a workable improvement in the sad and worsening condition of Israel's internal economy. An investment boom in industrial and agricultural technologies would do it, especially one tied to cooperation with Egypt. However, unless a new government is able to perform in this way, a significant further collapse of the shekel could create the conditions for Sharon's takeover, and implementation of a form of economy modeled upon the state of Mussolini's Italy about 1935.

For world Jewry, and Israeli most emphatically, the present situation has ominous resemblances to 1931-32 in every respect. Hitler was unleashed by Hjalmar Schacht. Without the 1931-32 financial crisis, Hitler could not have come to power, and the monstrous circumstances suffered by European Jewry during the 1930s and the war would not have been possible. That is the lesson of the Holocaust; don't let a

Schachtian or Keynesian "political solution" to a general financial collapse come into existence ever again. The hyenas and jackals which are inevitably unleashed to herd populations into submission to the policies of a Hjalmar Schacht and August von Knieriem will kill Jews and other handy minorities *en masse*, just because of the nature of such beasts unleashed.

Israel has two acceptable options. One is to create an honorable, patriotic government which is perhaps doomed to be eaten by Sharon's hyenas and jackals. At least, Israel would go down with honor, at least saving the honor of Jewry, which is the least unacceptable of all great tragedies. The other alternative, is to establish such an honorable government, and to succeed.

It is necessary to look directly into the evil eyes of Hitler's foster-mother, either Hjalmar Schacht or the author of Auschwitz, August von Knieriem. If the policies of Schacht become again the Western world's response to the breaking global financial crash, then Israel is doomed in any case. Defeating today's Schachts and von Knieriems, is the key to preventing the rise of new Hitlers. That has been the continuous policy of my adult life, a policy I heartily recommend to Israel's elders today.

For 2,000 years, Judaism survived. For over a decade, European Jews faced Hitler's holocaust. Which heritage should be the basis for Jewish policy today? Shall the Jew resort to those ethics which made Jews a power for good in the world, or shall Israel retreat to a kind of narrow nationalism, pursuing the idea of Jewish national survival by means which are foredoomed to fail? All good and informed people of the world love the memories of Moses Mendelssohn and the great writers of Poland's Yiddish renaissance. The Jew's survival lies in the hands of the Jew's friends, those who believe that the sweet memory of Moses Mendelssohn, for example, is something which must be defended and preserved for the future benefit of humanity as a whole.

That is not only the Jew's fate; it is the fate of us all. Jewry, as a minority, is a microcosm. So is each of us, if we have the sense to know this. As microcosm, and nothing more, we are a fragile, ephemeral existence. We must be something more than a pitiable, existentialist microcosm. We must be, as Friedrich Schiller elaborated this, both patriots and world-citizens. We must be an efficient force for good within the macrocosm. At the least, we must dedicate our individual existence to the good of our nation and its posterity. Yet, no nation can survive unless that nation rises above being something to itself, and finds a necessary purpose for its own existence in furtherance of civilization as a whole. To impart such a noble, higher purpose, efficiently, to the existence of our nation, is the highest, truest patriotism.

Such is the conundrum confronting Israel's internal affairs in today's crisis. The world's decay imperils us? Then, how must the world be altered to minimize, and hopefully remove, this peril?

EIR April 3, 1987 International 45

I propose that leading forces in Israel join publicly with me on the leading international issues which threaten Israel's security today. This will be rejected as "unthinkable" among those guilty of shallow thinking on the subject; it would mean a break with certain among Israel's so-called U.S. supporters, such as Kenneth Bialkin's ADL. However, as Israel's best military commanders know, the best flanks to exploit are those actions which the adversary either considers unthinkable, or has simply overlooked. If the solution to Israel's predicament were to be found among those options deemed easily acceptable, there would be no serious crisis in Israel today.

Begin with a very clear issue: the AIDS pandemic.

In North America and Western Europe, it is regrettably true, that most among the governments and other influential agencies are lying their heads off about the contagiousness of the disease, and the extent of the spread of the infection so far. This monstrous lying is prompted in part by homosexual and other forms of theosophical cults of the sort to which Robert Graves, as well as the late Aleister Crowley and Aldous Huxley had affinities. It is also the result of reluctance of governments to be "panicked" into the magnitude of public health and biological-research expenditures the situation requires. The cults fear that affirmation of traditional family-centered values would lead quickly to a reverse paradigm-shift, thus setting back that "Age of Aquarius" force which earlier supplied us such paragons as Hitler.

Given the population-density of Israel, and also certain characteristics of sections of the total inhabitants of its territory, AIDS is a greater potential threat to the existence of Israel than anything but a nuclear-chemical warfare assault. Stringent public health precautions, including prohibition of certain types of personal behavior, are national-security imperatives. Mobilization of relevant biological research, which ought to be promoted as one of Israel's leading industries in any case, is also a national-security imperative.

Microcosm and macrocosm: This is a global issue of the first rank, in respect to which all who contribute to a solution are indispensable to all humanity.

The world is in the worst crisis Europe has known since the 14th century. The legendary Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse are unleashed, chiefly, by the combined effects of two wicked economic policies, "neo-malthusian" "post-industrial" dogmas, and a revival of the Lombard insanity of the 14th century in the guise of a "floating exchange-rate" monetary system. The only remedy is the establishment of a just world economic order, based on return to policies of fixed parities of currencies, and technological progress in an energy-intensive, capital-intensive mode. Objectively, even those who shriek the loudest, in protest against such reforms, have no choice but to accept this, unless they are utterly demented: The present international financial system is now caught in an accelerating spiral of collapse.

Microcosm and macrocosm: This is the external crisis

which determines the internal economic condition of Israel, at least in the largest degree.

We are in the gravest of strategic crises, faced with a greater peril than during any previous war of this century. Do Jews not remember the Russian Raskolniki? Do we not know the cultural imperatives of Matushka Rus? It is not nations which are threatened, but the very existence of civilization. The Russian can be contained, on condition that Matushka Rus is not gratuitously threatened, and that Moscow perceives she might risk destruction in the case Moscow unleashed an imperial adventure.

In addition to threats from states as such, there is an ongoing destruction of civilization by witchcraft and other lurid and often satanic derivatives of old Chaldean cults of the sort generally classifiable under "theosophy" today. Our children are being destroyed by these cults, to a degree which threatens to render meaningless our attempts to protect our nations from other threats.

I am easily understood, if I speak of the defense of Western European Judeo-Christian culture and civilization. We old ones share certain moral values which we hold to be universal in their application, standards of justice for all nations and persons. If we lose that, which in Israel and elsewhere is associated with the Jewish ethic, we have lost everything.

Decisions of global implications, decisions which will determine the future outcome of all humanity, must be made during the weeks and months ahead. If the right decisions are not made during this period, there will be no chance to do so effectively later on. All men and women of good will must be joined, around the world, in this common endeavor; those of us in relatively leading positions must join together to rally the more numerous to what must be done.

Israel's precarious existence has always depended upon a global movement. Beginning about 1967, the spark began to go out of that movement—the spark of a Jewish conscience, and Jewish ethic, united with the good will of Christians and others. Today, a new movement must take the place of the old, a broader movement for justice for all peoples, within which the Jewish conscience must take its leading place. In this context, the Jew everywhere must be renewed morally, with the pride of bearing and acting upon that conscience.

I have no divine message, to assure that this approach will succeed, but my arm is moved by the faith that it must succeed. The right course of action, so situated in global perspective, must be directed to inspire the jaded and disenheartened to the right fighting spirit for the cause of the good. There lies our only hope of success.

This is the *punctum saliens* for Israel, and for us all. Let us do what must be done, not because its success is guaranteed, but because it is the right thing to do. Let us then mobilize to ensure that it does succeed, goaded by certainty of the catastrophe we invite, if we fail.

46 International EIR April 3, 1987