Howard.

- 13. Memorandum and correspondence maintained in the offices of then-General Counsel to the Office of the Vice President, C. Boyden Gray, relating to LaRouche and his associates.
- 14. Memorandum and correspondence regarding the April 1987, U.S. Government initiated involuntary bank-ruptcy proceeding against three publishing companies operated by associates of LaRouche.
- 15. Documents reflecting active measures through U. S. Embassies and other government agencies abroad against the influence of LaRouche and his associates in Western Europe, South America, Asia, and Africa.

Pressure mounts on White House

Following is a selection of the letters most recently received by President Bush concerning the barbaric treatment of Lyndon LaRouche in prison. Official titles are for identification only.

Dear Mr. President,

I am writing on behalf of Mr. Lyndon LaRouche, who was sentenced to 15 years imprisonment, and who is currently serving this sentence in a Rochester, Minnesota prison. I understand that in spite of his age and his ill health, Mr. LaRouche has been forced to perform 7 hours hard labor daily in the prison kitchen, and moreover, he has recently been severely mistreated and has not received proper medical care. I feel very unhappy about this state of affairs, and if the conditions described to me are correct, I would like to appeal to you on humanitarian grounds to ensure that Mr. LaRouche is treated with more regard to his age and state of health, and that he be given a fair trial when the appeals court meets the 6th of October.

Sincerely, Abdus Salam Nobel Laureate in Physics, 1979 President, Third World Academy of Sciences

* * *

Dear Mr. President,

I have got news from press and colleagues of different

countries about the medical treatment Mr. Lyndon LaRouche has received during his stay in prison.

This news is rather worrying because if such behaviours are real, they would be against the principles of the medical profession and human rights.

If this is true, I beg you, dear Mr. President, to intervene with decision in order to stop such violations of human rights which are in contrast with all principles of a democratic country like the United States of America.

With my deep respect I am remaining yours very sincerely,

Prof. Franco Perraro Head Physician of Emergency Medicine Division City Hospital of Udine, Italy

* * *

Your Excellency,

Quite apart from my parliamentary post, which I hold as an honor, as an ordinary citizen of Peru I fully identify with the principles of our Constitution, which mandates in Article 1: "The human individual is the supreme purpose of society and of the State. Both are obligated to respect and protect him." Therefore, I am deeply concerned by any kind of human rights violation, no matter where, against even the humblest citizen of any country.

My Christian, humanist, and democratic principles oblige and compel me to ask for just treatment for any person tried on account of his ideas, especially when they are based upon social justice and in favor of peoples which—like mine—clamor for a solution to their economic, social, and political problems, to get out of a situation of unjustifiable postponement [of those solutions].

Therefore I cannot but be concerned with the case of Mr. LaRouche and his associates, a case known around the world. And, given the above, I invoke your intervention to duly protect the physical integrity of the aforesaid social fighters in the process they are undergoing, and that true and impartial justice be duly safeguarded, so as to honor those merits which have made the United States of America the standard-bearer of justice and democracy in the Americas. I also request that the proceedings of this case be publicly released worldwide. Let the "LaRouche case," in the end, add to the proud history of U. S. legislation, as an example and precedent of fairness for the legal orders of the other countries of the continent and the whole world.

Respectfully, Eliseo Roman Pimentel National Deputy, Peruvian National Congress

* * *

The jailing of Lyndon LaRouche has sent shockwaves round the world and through all patriots, nationalist politicians, and freedom-loving citizens.

We are shocked and amazed by the subversion of natural

EIR November 3, 1989 National 61

justice in the U.S.A.

The question we must ask is, "What are the real forces now persecuting Lyndon LaRouche in the home of the brave and the land of the free?"

Lyndon LaRouche is not in jail for what he has done, but simply because he is a brave and patriotic American standing up for American tradition and truth.

When President Bush releases Lyndon LaRouche, he will have proved he stands for the great American traditions of truth, justice, and freedom for all under God's laws.

We hereby petition President Bush to release Lyndon LaRouche from prison and completely exonerate him so he may continue his vital work for the salvation and guidance of world politics and economics.

We appeal to the American media to present the true facts behind the jailing of Lyndon LaRouche, and then the voices of all freedom-loving people will join us in protesting to President Bush and the U.S. administration against the denial of the basic constitutional rights of Lyndon LaRouche.

May Almighty God save and protect America and Australia and the whole world from the world crisis now bearing down on us all.

Ian Murphy, rancher, New South Wales, Australia Lindsey Williams, lecturer, author of Where's the Food?

* * *

Dear Mr. President,

I urgently request you to take measures to halt the prison conditions in the Rochester, Minnesota Federal Penitentiary, imposed on 67-year-old Mr. Lyndon LaRouche, which are seriously threatening his health, and moreover that a fair appeal of the case can be guaranteed.

Bonaventure Meyer President of the Swiss Association Pro Veritate Trimbach, Switzerland

* * *

Dear President Bush,

I received a most wrenching plea of assistance from Mrs. Zepp-LaRouche, whose husband is in state prison in Rochester Minnesota. I am not aware at this point in time why Mr. LaRouche was condemned or what U.S. laws he broke. That is immaterial here. The reason for my concern, is the treatment he is being subjected to by the prison wardens, which represents a blatant breach of the human rights the American state has pledged to protect.

As the president of the German Medical Association, I am gravely concerned for Mr. LaRouche's health. I appeal to you to look into the inhuman prison conditions in Rochester, to assure that no other prisoner ever has to go through a similar experience as described in the attached letter to me by his wife. Secondly, I ask of you on behalf of all supporters of human rights, to order Mr. LaRouche's transfer to another institution where he can gather his strength before his case is

heard before the Court of Appeals.

In the hope that you will step in to get the record straight, I remain your

Dr. Grosse-Ruyken President, German Medical Association

* * *

The November 1989 issue of Acres U.S.A. published a letter from Mr. LaRouche's wife, Helga Zepp-LaRouche, in which she describes how "the circumstances of my husband's conviction, which cast doubt upon the legitimacy of the entire U.S. legal system, have caused over 800 leading American legal experts to submit Amicus Curiae statements to the Court of Appeals. . . . Another 50 leading law experts from Europe have intervened into the case with Amicus Curiae letters, including former Austrian Justice Minister Klecatsky, Professor Waldstein from the University of Salzburg, and Maître Varaut from France. The international law expert Baron von der Heydte compared the LaRouche case with the Dreyfus Affair in France. More than 100 congressmen from Brazil have signed a public statement demanding justice for my husband."

After describing her husband's wretched circumstances and treatment, Mrs. LaRouche asks the editor of Acres U.S.A. "to bring all possible influence to bear upon President Bush, to intervene through all your contacts in the United States, in favor of my husband." The editor appended the following comments:

We have checked the facts of this case, and find them as stated.

Acres U.S.A. will have a followup on how the federal prison system has become a veritable Gulag for certain political and economic prisoners. For now, it must be noted that judicial handling of the LaRouche case has been similar to the handling of cases discussed monthly in our Unfiltered News column. Lyndon LaRouche—as have some farmers mopped up the floor with the prosecution in a Boston trial. The case should have gone to jury, which would have rendered an innocent verdict, a poll of jurors indicated. La-Rouche stood accused of conspiracy to defraud in raising political contributions. This is what the feds fall back on when everything else fails, Senator Robert Dole once said. By declaring a mistrial, the Boston judge could hand the case back to the federal prosecutors for transfer to another more favorable clime and a new trial. The new judge in Washington studied the case, issued an order that prevented LaRouche from using the evidence that so infuriated the Boston jury, and in effect performed a miracle by having the federal railroad to jail a political outsider run on time. Acres U.S.A. will debate what constitutes appropriate farm technology with LaRouche, but our opposition to some of his technocratic ideas is not the same as approving an outbreak of judicial gangsterism.