new German government policy as an "excessive abuse of market principles in the coalition's current attempts to turn the Treuhand into a *super-ministry* with priority given to industrial policy rather than privatization."

When this *Financial Times* verdict went to print, Rohwedder had already been dead for more than 12 hours.

The British attack on the Treuhand had its supporters in Germany: On Good Friday morning, terrorists had ransacked

and committed arson against an office of the Treuhand in Berlin, leaving a pamphlet behind that declared war on the "super-agency Treuhand, which has to be crushed."

And the sniper who killed Rohwedder three days later left behind a pamphlet from the Red Army Faction terrorist gang, which justified the murder as part of the struggle against the "Greater Germany imperialism," for which the Treuhand allegedly was a symbol.

## LaRouche: pawprints of an Anglo-American operation

Lyndon LaRouche had this comment on the Rohwedder assassination on April 2:

The assassination of the German official, Detlev Rohwedder, by terrorist mode last night, is of a pattern of several events, including the British-directed terrorist assassination of the banker Alfred Herrhausen in 1989, and the targeting of Jürgen Ponto and Hanns-Martin Schleyer in 1977, the period in which I was targeted by the same people. It is also characteristic of events of the early 1920s, especially the point that every leading Western signator to the Rapallo agreement, save Britain's Lloyd George, was assassinated, including the head of the German AEG Walther Rathenau. Then we go back to the 1880s, on into World War I and World War II.

The pattern here is consistent. Today, in speaking of who is responsible for the assassination of Herrhausen, Rohwedder, and so forth, we would say: the Anglo-American faction behind Nicholas Ridley, Sir Peregrine Worsthorne, and Conor Cruise O'Brien.

The issue is the Mackinder-Milner geopolitical issue, of Eurasian development in opposition not only to British perception of Anglo-American interests, but specifically as a *threat* to the integrity of the satanic New Age doctrine, which embraces the mind of Mrs. Thatcher, George Bush, et al. . . .

The thing to be emphasized in this, is the case of Czar Aleksandr II. Now, without going into great detail about the personality of Czar Aleksandr II himself, the facts remain that the czar intervened against Britain and France in 1862-63, to threaten to make war in Europe, if Britain and France continued their plan to use the British puppet, the Confederacy, to destroy the United States. That was conjoined with Aleksandr's reforms, a kind of stepwise revival of Peter the Great's Leibnizian reforms in Russia, and led to the emergence of the Hanotaux-Witte axis, with two railroads prominent in the whole process: the Paris-

Vladivostok railroad, and the Berlin-Baghdad railroad.

In 1880, 1882, in response to this agreement from Hanotaux, the circles of Aleksandr II and Count Sergei Witte, the Anglo-Americans reacted (particularly the British at that point; they got their American dogs in line later on) by beginning a wave of murders and support for Mazzinian type ultra-radical, disruptive operations, including general waves of assassination. The wave of terrorism was launched by the Anglo-Americans, using opponents of the Alexandrian reforms, for example, in Russia, the so-called neo-Petrine reforms in Russia. This led right in to World War I and World War II as a Thirty Years' War.

When, after World War I, certain forces typified by the Rapallo arrangement began to move again, in a natural, logical way, to try to rebuild a shattered Europe, a shattered Eurasia. Boom! Assassinations again. Again from the Anglo-Americans—very much American at this point.

Then we go into the postwar period. We have the fight against de Gaulle and Adenauer, which comes to a head at the same time as the assassination of Kennedy in 1963; the unleashing of radicalism in 1963 inside the United States, the LSD-25 operation, the Beatles, the whole kit-and-kaboodle. And again, these waves of assassination. . . .

We have the two forces. The so-called Anglo-American reform, flea trade (and I emphasize the "l" in flea), is the pantheistic, satanic doctrine of George Bush's new world order, which was really given to him by the British. That dogma is the enemy of freedom, the enemy of mankind. Wherever that dogma goes, the worst result must ensue. And simply because some Germans, just out of common sense, realize that infrastructure is important, that the economy doesn't function without infrastructure, and that Europe must have a rational system of transportation, cooperation, and so forth, the Anglo-Americans strike and say, "No," as they did from 1880 on, and as they did again from the 1920s, against the Rapallo authorship, and as they did with the British assassination of Ponto in 1977, the British assassination of Herrhausen in 1989, and what looks to be the pawmarks of an Anglo-American assassination operation against Rohwedder last night.

EIR April 12, 1991 Economics 7