EIRNational

Shut down Hollywood—a national security imperative

by Gerald Rose

The speech below was delivered on Sept. 1 before a joint conference of the International Caucus of Labor Committees, the philosophical association founded by Lyndon LaRouche, and the U.S. Schiller Institute, in Alexandria, Virginia. Although some of the particulars concerning the Democratic presidential race have changed, the outlines traced by Mr. Rose remain fully valid.

If civilization is to survive, by next year Lyndon LaRouche will be out of jail and on his way to the White House.

How is this result possible?

There are two parts to this proposition. First is the issue of civilization's survival.

George Bush, philosophical genocidalist

As was referred to in the previous panel, we are witnessing the most gigantic genocide in history. That is not an accident. It is because George Bush and the people behind him want it this way. This was all implicit in the National Security document which we uncovered, titled "NSSM 200." In an upcoming book, we will document that the Bush family, and most emphatically Prescott Bush, along with Averell Harriman actually taught the Nazis eugenics. It was they who not only invited Ernst Rudin and other Nazi party officials to the first Congress on Eugenics in August 1932, but arranged through the Bush Walker shipping lines to bring them over. At the meeting, Rudin was elected, at Harriman's prompting, the president of the International Federation of Eugenics Societies. It was Rudin who wrote the sterilization laws for Nazi Germany.

Not only was Prescott Bush a fanatical eugenicist, but he, along with Averell Harriman, were the sole business partners of the Nazis during the entirety of Wolrd War II and were one-third owners of Union Bank when the Nazi Party owned the other two-thirds.

So there was no mistake when George Bush in 1969 invited William Shockley to address the Republican Task Force on Earth Resources and Population. In the 1960s, Shockley was famous for statements such as this: "Because those blacks with the least Caucasian genes are in fact the most prolific and also the least intelligent, then genetic enslavement will be the destiny of their next generation." Shockley was specifically arguing against any social welfare program, on the grounds they "may be encouraging dysgenics—retrogressive evolution through disproportionate reproduction of the genetically disadvantaged."

After the Nazi horror which exposed the logical outcome of what this eugenics movement was, it was no longer tolerable to call it by its proper name. So George Bush took the lead in Congress to push what was called euphemistically, "family planning." (It should be noted that Margaret Sanger, the creator of the birth control movement, was a fanatical eugenicist.)

In 1968 Pope Paul IV issued the encyclical *Humanae Vitae*, in which he said, "Let it be considered also that a dangerous weapon would be placed in the hands of those public authorities who take no heed of moral exigencies. . . . Who will stop rulers from favoring, from even imposing upon their people the methods of contraception that they judge to be most efficacious? . . . No solution to these difficulties is acceptable which does violence to man's essential dignity. . . . The only possible solution is one which envisages the social and economic progress both of individuals and whole human society."

Just days after *Humanae Vitae* was issued, George Bush announced, "I have decided to give my vigorous support for population control in the United States and the world," adding, "For those of us who feel so strongly on this issue

58 National EIR October 18, 1991

the recent encyclical was most discouraging."

What is not understood is that the genocide, which is far from being an egregious mistake, is being pursued as the Policy of the U.S., as documented by NSSM 200, by hard-core ideological racists who supported the Nazis because of their racial policies. Already under this policy, 25 million women in Brazil have been sterilized, many under coercion and others without any knowledge that it was being done.

In a 1988 official government report, as part of a larger report on "Discriminant Deterrence," in the concluding section entitled "Confronting the Challenge," the authors state: "Some analysts argue that if 100 million people were infected, total deaths from AIDS in the 1990s could be 50 million. The number of infected then could double several more times after that and wipe out some countries in 10 to 20 years. If the number infected increase to 20% of the world's population, the delayed deaths could begin to cancel global population growth."

In terms of sheer magnitude, it is rigorously provable that George Bush is 100 times worse than Adolf Hitler, and as you would suspect, the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, the ADL, supports him in this. The reason nothing is being done about AIDS, is because they want it. They could not be more explicit. The reason Bush invaded Panama and then Iraq was in fanatical pursuit of these ends.

Yet the most egregious thing that George Bush did was to demand that Lyndon LaRouche be placed in prison for life. As we have heard today, the only man on this planet who could solve these problems is now in a jail in Rochester, Minnesota. To free LaRouche and put him in the White House could be civilization's last chance.

The 1992 campaign

Bush has a very clear campaign strategy. It is modeled on the Roman Emperor Caligula's famous saying, "Let them hate me, but let them fear me."

In our recent deliberations it has become clear that Bush is conducting a reign of terror as his strategy. This was evident when he thought, in the 1988 electoral campaign, that Gary Hart was gaining in the polls. Very soon after there was a scandal that wrecked Hart's campaign. Kennedy, it was mooted, was losing 30 pounds so he could put his hat in the ring. And he was hit with a major scandal. Jay Rockefeller mysteriously declared he was not ready and he would not be running this year. Gephardt, who had angered Bush earlier this year, was all of a sudden leading the fight to support NAFTA [the North American Free Trade Agreement] and sold out his whole labor constituency. One wonders what happened to him? Jimmy Carter, who was thinking about a possible presidential ticket with Douglas Wilder, was shot at and found his name very soon after mentioned in the BCCI scandal. He has not pursued his intention. Jesse Jackson has been told not to run, and Wilder, who was contemplating putting his name in nomination, was hit with a scandal. Al Gore, to our surprise, recently announced, for family reasons, that he also would not run.

The Iowa populist Tom Harkin seems to be the only serious threat, and he is that because he is a more fanatical Zionist than Bush.

What is the Democratic Party today?

Why then is there no serious opposition by the Democratic Party? This becomes a most interesting question. In my recent visit to the West Coast, I had a series of meetings with trade union and activist layers of the Democratic Party. What emerged was a fascinating picture. The UAW official I met with was thunderstruck by the position that the Democratic Party congressmen took during the fight against the NAFTA legislation. He could not believe that Gephardt actually led the way for its passage.

Then I met with what is known as the progressive wing of the Democratic Party, who were up in arms about how they were completely sold out during the fight against U.S. entrance into the Persian Gulf. They were speechless when, at the state convention, Tom Hayden was forced, by the Zionist lobby, to lead the fight for support of the intervention. This particular person tried to jump Hayden during this convention for this perfidy.

Next I met with Hispanic activists. It is well known that at least 40% of eligible voters now in Orange County [contiguous to Los Angeles] are Hispanic, and inside the Orange County Democratic Party only 10% of party seats are held by Hispanics. They are completely disenfranchised by a voting bloc of Zionists and black machines that vote together to veto their representation. These Hispanic activists were very angry also about the complete support for NAFTA the party displayed. The old Roosevelt coalition of ethnic urban working class and minorities to defend each other on social issues has completely broken down.

In fact, as I shall prove, the Democratic Party at the top is the same apparatus as the Bush machine and in fact, is the enforcement arm of it.

The case of Minnesota

We have a case study of the Minnesota Democratic Party which is paradigmatic of the essential problem. Leading into World War I and immediately after, there was a mass strike upsurge which was led by what was known as Citizen Non-Partisan Leagues. In the state of North Dakota, under the banner of "Wild Bill" Langer, this new formation was able to take over the state. These people resented the alliance that America had concluded with Britain and hated the monopolies and Wall Street bankers. They had very severe limitations on their positive approach, but they had all the right enemies.

This grouping, called the Non-Partisan League, in 1918 ran in the Republican primary in Minnesota and came very close to winning. In their next attempt they founded the

EIR October 18, 1991 National 59

Farmer-Labor Party and became the second largest party in the state, behind the Republican Party, and gathered more votes than the Democratic Party. In Minneapolis-St. Paul and Duluth, the most corrupt gangster machine in the country was being run by Jewish bootlegggers—the latter dubbed "the Kosher Nostra."

The kingpin was a mobster by the name of Isadore Blumenfeld (a.k.a. Kid Cann.) This machine was the illegal liquor mafia which ran Canadian Bronfman booze during Prohibition. With the proceeds of illegal money, the mayor of Minneapolis and St. Paul, along with the police chief and the prosecutors of both cities, were totally owned by the mob such that these two cities were known as the two most corrupt cities in the country. This network was run by Meyer Lansky. This was later revealed during the Kefauver investigations, but the FBI never followed it up.

As a series in *New Federalist* newspaper will document, this Zionist mafia machine took over the Farmer-Labor Party and by the 1930s, formed the Democratic Farmer-Labor Party of Minnesota. This party created the Humphrey machine, which was the great protector of Kid Cann.

The way we discovered this was that Skip Humphrey, the present Minnesota Attorney General, went on a rampage against some LaRouche-associated companies in what seemed to be an already-closed civil suit. What surprised us was how rabid he seemed to be. As many of you know, Humphrey was reversed by six judges and had to drop this foray. As we looked into the incident, what emerged was remarkable. The old Zionist drug and booze network completely funded his electoral bid in 1988 for the Senate. We are talking about gambling interests in Las Vegas and motion pictures in Hollywood, along with the top Zionist law firms in the country. These are the top levels of Dope Inc. This network was never touched by the FBI.

So we have the spectacle that Skip Humphrey, who is totally funded by organized crime, will next year be the head of the state prosecutors' organization of the United States. Every single major Democratic Party candidate is bought and paid for in this way.

The case of Hollywood

The case of the Hollywood mafia is even more extraordinary. In an upcoming series in *New Federalist* we shall document that the entire popular entertainment industry is run by organized crime, predominantly Russian and eastern European Jewish immigrants. Time Warner, Inc.: \$10.83 billion of capitalization, 35,000 employees; MCA, which owns Universal Pictures and Universal City Studios: 17,000 employees; Paramount Communications; and half a dozen other multimedia entertainment companies are owned completely by organized crime.

The most powerful man in the Hollywood mob today is a Chicago lawyer and former Lansky mouthpiece, Sidney Korshak. Sidney Korshak was the major funder of the Brown machine in California, organizing several fundraisers for Jerry Brown. But more importantly, Paul Ziffrin is Korshak's right-hand man, and for years ran the Democratic National Committee of California.

It is not without some truth that columnist Pat Buchanan, during the Iraq war debate, labeled Congress "Israeli Occupied Territory." This Zionist mafia which runs the Democratic Party is literally the enforcement arm of the \$500-800 billion of dope money which is now the sole prop of the Bush international monetary system.

As the series in New Federalist graphically details, every attempt that the honest journalist in Minnesota made to expose this was met with in each case an assassination and no convictions. The state legislature was so corrupt that it passed a "gag law" that did not allow journalists to accuse either Floyd Olsen, the prosecutor of Hennepin County, and later governor of the state, of the most obvious corruption. Nor did it allow even Isadore Blumenfeld to be exposed.

This police state apparatus was backed up by the court system. The country is run by one corrupt apparatus called "Dope, Inc." This is one *Nomenklatura* which owns the party system and the courts. If there are any illusions that the Democratic Party is the party of defense of working people or farmers and the poor, this would be a suicidal delusion. Our job is to rid the Democratic Party of Dope Inc., and toward that end, we will reissue the book by that name. We are talking about a thoroughgoing revolution in this country to bring it back to law. It is no different than what the East Germans had to do in order to break thugs of the Honecker regime.

Now is the time to act

This is more feasible than one would normally think. What we have witnessed over the last several days inside the Soviet Union and the republics indicates that in no time before in postwar history, has there been a more clear impulse toward freedom, simultaneously with the total discrediting of free market Jeffrey Sachs-type policies.

Europe has on the agenda the scrapping of the whole Anglo-American policy toward Poland, Yugoslavia, and now most emphatically Russia. Bush and his whole apparatus have been completely paralyzed over the last 10 days, to the extent that they have been unable to even recognize the Baltic republics. Never before has the issue of LaRouche been more clearly posed directly to the freedom movements around the world.

The image we should have is that we so transform the political process in the country that we have the kind of forces that will surround the Democratic Party convention and we won't let them out until they nominate LaRouche.

Yet how do we do this? Two underlying assumptions must be destroyed. One is that the free market is the basis of prosperity, and the other is that while Bush is "bad" on domestic issues, he is "good" on foreign policy. It seems that looking at it from outside the United States, the worse the

60 National EIR October 18, 1991

atrocity that Bush commits, the more he is liked. After Panama, his popularity went up. After the genocide in Iraq, it seemed to rise. His demand for the death penalty in his recent Omnibus Crime Bill is hailed.

Unless we address effectively this underlying, literally Hobbesian, view, in which Hobbes states that man in a state of nature is an animal who is in a war of "each against all," then we can't change the situation. We must address the underlying axiomatics. These axiomatics come from our culture. Would it surprise you to find out that the rock-drug-sex counterculture, which produced this view of man, was a product of Hollywood?

All ideas that man is not *imago viva Dei* [the living image of God], but that the material world is only mechanical and does not change by subjective causality, turns man, in his day-to-day activity, into an animal.

It probably would shock you to know that this dualistic view of man is the basis for communism and that Hollywood was an explicit project to destroy the Christian view of man by avowed communists. First, most people do not understand the real evil Marxism represents. During the McCarthy period, the whole thing got deliberately garbaged up. In Marx's own words, in a little known early piece called, "The Holy Family," he describes the essence of communism. "To speak precisely and in a prosaic sense, French Materialism has two directions, one of which has its origins with Descartes, and the other with Locke. The latter is primarily a French formative element and proceeds directly into socialism. The former, mechanical materialism, proceeds into true French natural science. Both directions criss-cross each other in the course of their development. . . . In his physics, Descartes ascribed self-creating force to matter and conceived of mechanical motion as its living act. He completely separated his physics from his metaphysics. Within the compass of his physics, matter is the only substance, the only basis of being and knowing. French mechanical materialism took up Descartes' physics, but not his metaphysics. His students were professed anti-metaphysicians or physicists. . . . By dissolving metaphysics with his skepticism, Pierre Bayle not only paved the way in France for the reception of materialism and the philosophy of common sense: He also announced the advent of atheistic society . . . Bayle was the last metaphysician in the spirit of the 17th century and the first philosopher in the spirit of the 18th century."

While this is a lecture unto itself, it is important to highlight it in this context. In an extraordinary series of upcoming articles, Michael Minnicino has proven beyond any doubt that the Frankfurt School consciously set out to destroy western civilization. Georg Lukacs, the man who set up the Frankfurt School, was one of the leading Comintern theoreticians, who from his first utterance when he joined the Communist Party, said "Who will save us from western civilization?" In Minnicino's article, he states, "Lukacs identified that any political movement capable of bringing Bolshevism to the West would have to be, in his words 'demonic'; it would have to possess the religious power which is capable of filling the entire soul; a power that characterized primitive Christianity." However, Lukacs suggested, such a messianic political movement would only succeed when the individual believes that his or her actions are determined by, "not a personal destiny, but a destiny of the community" in a world "that has been abandoned by God."

Lukacs was clear that as long as the West believed in the divine nature of the individual soul, that Bolshevism would never take hold. Through a startling process, the Frankfurt School became the theoreticians of Hollywood and popular culture. It was they who created the "political correctness" movement that has swept our universities.

In the book *Dialectics of Enlightenment*, two other members of the Frankfurt School, Max Horkheimer and Theodor Adorno, attack the underlying basis for Christianity. They attack the idea that freedom is the individual's search for truth of God's creation. As Lyndon LaRouche has pointed out in "Project A," [published by the Schiller Institute in 1991 in the trilogy, The Science of Christian Economy] it is the individual's direct unmediated relationship with the universe as a whole and the ability to act with that knowledge efficiently on the past, present, and future, in the present, that defines man's primary existence. Freedom is defined by the individual's search for that universal truth regardless of prevailing public opinion. This is the opposite of the collective soul. Adorno and Horkheimer explicitly reject the possibility of such truth, of natural law. They say that freedom is only achieved by the arbitrary use of will since there is no such truth. In a bourgeois world, the highest form of art is the rejection of all form, and even tonality. Irrational destruction is equated with freedom. They criticized Hollywood for not being radical enough. It was not until Aldous Huxley, along with the CIA in the MK-Ultra project, consciously drugged America, that Horkheimer and Adorno's dream was able to be realized particularly in music and now on the silver screen. It would shock you how explicit the aims of Hollywood actually were.

It is not possible to even talk about national security as long as Hollywood is not stopped. Unless the U.S. returns to classical culture, performed classically, then there is no possibility to save this generation. Our job is to expose ruthlessly the chains of illusion that bind our fellow citizens to the idea that if they do not change the way they think, we will be coming into a period where the breakdown of the existing authority will be manifest. If we do not retreat into some form of "American Exceptionalism," if we are effective in elevating our fellow man to a true Christian view of themselves and others, then civilization can be saved.

As I stated in the beginning, if civilization is saved, then we shall all greet Lyndon LaRouche as he is taken out of jail and installed in the White House to lead America into a Renaissance.