Editorial

Voters in bed with Trilateral Commission

It is about time American citizens refused to be led by the nose by the Trilateralites. Let's take a look at some of the leading members of this supranational, bipartisan conspiracy.

There are David Rockefeller and Henry Kissinger, who are responsible for the murder of far more people than the Hitler gang. Then there is Zbigniew Brzezinski, one of Kissinger's successors as National Security Adviser. Then there is Brent Scowcroft, a Kissinger protégé who is again the National Security Adviser under Bush.

Among U.S. Presidents, Jimmy Carter and George Bush were members of the Trilateral Commission. Gov. Bill Clinton, along with his sidekick, AFL-CIO President Lane Kirkland, who organized the AFL-CIO endorsement of Clinton, are members today.

The Trilateral Commission has had a 30-year perspective of turning the United States from an industrial republic into a post-industrial fascist state, and it is very close to realizing that program—in fact, some say that it has already realized it. It is useful in this regard to look at the case history of Los Angeles. At the time of the Watts riots in 1965, things were pretty bad in the inner city ghettoes of U.S. cities. They are infinitely worse now.

Organized crime has taken over the city streets, even in the U.S. capital, Washington, D.C. People in the ghettoes are victimized perhaps by the police, but they're victimized more routinely by gangs. The worst oppression in which they live is to have gangs prey upon them, terrorize them. U.S. cities are rotting—industries are closing down, bridges are falling down, and the schools are in tragic condition.

One question is, who is responsible? We can blame the Trilaterals. This was their policy. But it would be foolish to stop there. What about the American people who have allowed these conspirators to systematically destroy the United States since the 1963 assassination of John F. Kennedy?

The past is over, its mistakes cannot be undone. But what about today? American voters are about to repeat the process by accepting that their choice is between Trilateral Commission member George Bush, and Trilateral Commission member Bill Clinton. This time the mistake may prove fatal.

Thirty years ago, people in the United States had a very different sense of moral values. They believed in progress, not only for themselves, but also for everyone on this planet. They understood the need for building great projects and committing themselves to great undertakings. They believed that it was important for mankind for an American to step out onto the Moon. They believed in hard work; they believed in the family.

Lyndon Johnson's Great Society was a fraud. It was not meant to provide a better life for the ghetto poor, it was a pretext to withdraw funding from the kind of great projects which characterized the Kennedy era and gave hope to all Americans for a better future. Today there is a hoax being circulated, that the only way to increase productivity is by investing in new technologies at the expense of a safety net for the elderly and those unable to provide for themselves.

Americans need to turn off their television sets so that they can begin to think seriously about the problems which must be solved. They have to read the U.S. Constitution, the Bill of Rights, and the precepts of American System economics—found in the writings of Alexander Hamilton and Mathew and Henry Carey. They have to ponder the message of the Bible, that man was created in the image of God, and that all human life is a sacred trust.

They must reject the idea of the Trilateralites, that the rights of David Rockefeller and bankers are superior to the human rights of men, women, and children, and those yet unborn. They have to repudiate the brutality of present-day America, from the revival of executions to the withdrawal of entitlements. They have to reject the premise of Bush, Clinton, and Ross Perot that Americans must accept austerity in order to protect a social structure based on usury.

We only know of one declared U.S. presidential candidate who is against the Trilateral Commission and everything it stands for: Lyndon LaRouche.