Editorial

What they are really worrying about?

The July 30 London Sunday Times has a column from their man in Washington, James Adams, headlined: "Queen Blamed for American Unrest." Its ostensible topic is the Waco hearings, but it is really yet another British tantrum over the growing influence of Lyndon LaRouche in international policymaking circles.

Typical of the standards of truth-telling in the land which produced that great fraudster Isaac Newton, we have the following quotation from the article: "According to the latest edition of the *New Federalist*, a journal that has been dropping on people's front lawns in Washington in the past few days, the [Oklahoma City] bombing was not carried out by the right-wing militias as everyone suspected: It was part of a conspiracy involving Buckingham Palace.

"According to the journal put out by Lyndon LaRouche—in jail for tax fraud [sic]—the Queen and the Duke of Edinburgh are behind a European effort to destabilize the Clinton Presidency and it is they who have created the climate of distrust and division in America."

On the same day, the London Sunday Express ran a piece with the title "Plot to Destroy the U.S." It begins on the same note: "Prince Philip is the mastermind of a dastardly plan to destroy the U.S.A.

"His cover is the sinister World Wildlife Fund, which he founded as an international intelligence organization to consolidate the wealth and power of the royal family." The column by one Tom Utley goes on, "The Prince and his cronies, behind the Oklahoma bombing, are now targeting the Clintons. . . . These are the serious views of a group of American conspiracy theorists, set out in the *New Federalist* magazine [sic]."

It is now nine months since this magazine published "The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor" (EIR, Oct. 28, 1994), which exposed how Prince Philip set up the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) as an instrument for political warfare in the service of British imperial interests. This documentation was covered in New Federalist, the weekly newspaper of the LaRouche political movement.

Utley also references the December 1994 EIR feature story which included the article, "Great Britain's

Known Role in Assassinating U.S. Presidents." This was also reported on in *New Federalist*, to which Utley refers, writing, "The magazine claims Philip is carrying on an evil family tradition—the Windsors having assassinated Presidents Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley, and Kennedy."

It is interesting that both newspapers misidentify New Federalist as a magazine, but that is a lesser point compared to the dishonesty of the coverage which seeks to caricature a true bill of indictment against the Windsors. Moreover, both articles were obviously written to order, since they are so similar in content. It is rather like the case of two students who each have the same bizarre answer to an examination question. Obviously, there is cheating involved, otherwise how did these two newspapers come up with virtually identical stories on the same day? Surely if it was the distribution of the newspaper New Federalist which was the stimulus, at least one of the journalists would have described it properly.

Coupled with the *EIR* cover stories, which have documented the evil role of the British royal family at the center of an international, oligarchical conspiracy, we have also exposed how they use the press for the kind of black propaganda which was the trademark of Josef Goebbels. In this regard, we have identified the role of such agents of the royal family as Lord William Rees-Mogg, Sir Peregrine Worsthorne, and Ambrose Evans-Pritchard.

Adams and Utley are far lower down in the intelligence hierarchy, and apparently theirs was simply the task of putting their names to a pre-packaged bit of venom.

In the present period of the impending blow-out of the world financial system, it is obvious that the British no longer ignore LaRouche's growing influence. While they might have hoped that the judicial frameup and railroad of LaRouche and his associates might have consigned them to oblivion, that has not been the case—far from it. Now the British are forced to admit that their main enemy today is Lyndon LaRouche, who is supported by teams of historians and honest investigative journalists.

72 National EIR August 18, 1995