went by without a stir. Sandhu, allegedly the leader of the Khalistan Liberation Forces, is wanted in India in connection with crimes including a bus bombing in September 1993 that killed 8 people and wounded 29 others. But while many Khalistani terrorists live and carry on their drug- and gunrelated crimes within India from Britain and Canada, these activities are seldom linked by Delhi while dealing with either of those nations.

Because of such a pronounced bias, India's security has been heavily compromised. British Special Armed Services personnel were at the time of writing poring over the nittygritty of Kashmir's security arrangements, while advising the Indians on a commando raid, ostensibly to get four foreign hostages being held by Kashmir's Al-Faran guerrillas released. At the same time, Indian security personnel were fuming against the political leadership for bringing in the foreigners to look into the topography and security of a sensitive area such as Kashmir. In Sri Lanka, where Washington gave India a free hand to shape things, India has made a mess. The Tamil Tigers, who are a part of the international drug-and-gun crowd along with the Afghansis who are involved in terrorism in Kashmir, were nurtured and trained by the Indian security agencies in the early 1980s. The recent jailbreak, which saw 43 Tigers escape from an Indian jail, only shows how badly the security of this nation has been subverted.

The other development concurrent with such a bias, or because of it, is India's continuing neglect of its Navy. Influenced heavily by the geopoliticians of the British genre who would like to confine India to the status of a land power, India acts like a small nation looking north as the source for all its troubles. Its endless preparation to fight the final battle against Pakistan—a tiny nation compared to India—and its efforts to build up a tangible defense against China, have left its long coastlands unmanned and highly vulnerable. It is this vulnerability which has been fully exploited by the Tamil Tigers to build up its assets from India's southernmost state of Tamil Nadu to the upper states of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, and Orissa. It is widely known that the Tigers bring arms from Singapore—a British and Israeli gun-shipment point—by ship to Jaffna, the northernmost part of Sri Lanka, separated from India by the 21-mile-wide Palk Strait.

More importantly, its feeble Navy has made India less of a strategically effective nation in its efforts to help maintain peace and stability in the Arabian Sea and the Indian Ocean. This has isolated India even within Asia, and has turned it into a non-player in the events and security developments occurring around it. While a closer naval relationship between the U.S. Navy and the Indian Navy could help provide stability in the areas surrounding India and allow India to emerge as a nation responsible for maintaining peace and stability in the region, Kissinger and his ilk will continue to push for the isolation of India by creating an environment of threat in India's north.

Greenpeace is linked to Earth First!

This press release was issued by 21st Century Science & Technology magazine on Aug. 10:

Just as the Washington, D.C., bureau chief of Greenpeace was insisting in a letter to the *Washington Times* that his organization was based on "non-violence," a state court in the German city of Hamburg ruled on July 28 that "the collaboration of Greenpeace with the terrorist organization Earth First!" cannot be denied. A three-judge panel, presided over by Judge Krause, issued the ruling in case 3240556-94, a libel suit brought by Greenpeace.

The Hamburg court ruling is one of several blows that have punctured Greenpeace's friendly, money-raising facade. Greenpeace has been especially frantic to hide the truth about its real agenda since the weekly of Lyndon LaRouche's political movement, *Executive Intelligence Review (EIR)*, documented in its issues of Oct. 28, 1994 and Jan. 13, 1995 how Greenpeace—which brags about its "independence"—is in reality just the "direct-action" arm of the international environmentalist movement, run top-down by Prince Philip and the House of Windsor and its allies for the purpose of reducing the world's population and destabilizing political opponents of the European nobility. This exposé was excerpted in the Winter 1994 issue of 21st Century.

At the same time, the "non-violent" Greenpeace has been proven to be working both openly and stealthily with Earth First!, a group that advocates using terrorist tactics to achieve its environmenalist agenda.

The national press has picked up on the story that a "hit list" appeared five years ago in the underground newspaper Live Wild or Die, which is distributed by members of Earth First! Two of the top three names on this "Eco-F—ker Hit List" have been recent victims of the so-called Unabomber.

A copyrighted article in the Aug. 3 issue of the Sacramento Bee states that the information on Earth First! was documented by Barry Clausen, a private investigator in Seattle who had infiltrated Earth First! in the early 1990s. Clausen also provided detailed documentation of the connections between Greenpeace and the terrorist Earth First! Many Greenpeace leaders, he found, are also leaders of Earth First! and the two groups hold joint environmental actions. This information was the subject of the lawsuit Greenpeace brought in Germany.

The July 28 German court ruling concerns a small publishing company in Wiesbaden, Böttiger Verlag GmbH, which is known for its publication of scientific material de-

bunking environmentalist hoaxes, including a German-language edition of 21st Century's popular book, The Holes in the Ozone Scare: The Scientific Evidence That the Sky Isn't Falling, by Rogelio Maduro and Ralph Schauerhammer. Böttiger also publishes the newspaper of the political movement in Germany associated with Lyndon H. LaRouche, as well as LaRouche's books.

Greenpeace brought a libel suit against a press release issued by Böttiger inviting the press to a viewing of "The Man in the Rainbow," a documentary produced by the Danish state television network, TV-2, and broadcast in Denmark on Nov. 14, 1993.

Produced by Nordisk Film, with Icelandic filmmaker Magnus Gudmundsson as special consultant, the film exposes how Greenpeace was transformed from a small action group into a multinational business corporation with a multimillion-dollar annual budget, how Greenpeace bribed officials of several governments in order to pack the International Whaling Commission with member nations that would support the controversial ban on commercial whaling, and how

Greenpeace maintains close connections with the eco-terrorist organization Earth First! The film also includes interviews with Greenpeace's former accountant, who discusses financial irregularities and secret bank accounts in the names of individual Greenpeace leaders.

More troubles for Greenpeace

Adding further to Greenpeace's worries are similar lawsuits in Paris that it lost, and two other recent court decisions in Germany that ruled against Greenpeace, one that concerned Greenpeace's Earth First! terrorist connections and a second that concerned the founder and former head of Greenpeace Germany, Monica Griefahn, now the environment minister in the state of Lower Saxony, who was accused of using her influence to get business contracts for her husband.

Greenpeace has a history of squelching exposés of its unsavory activities by telling lies, strong-arming opponents, and using its multimillion-dollar budget to force critics into costly lawsuits. But now, the cracks in its friendly public image are getting too big to hide.

Who told the truth about the war in the Balkans?

The defeat of Serbian forces in occupied Croatia on Aug. 4-6 called to *EIR*'s attention the discrepancy between our record on this matter, and that of "the competition." While *Newsweek* and other so-called major media were proclaiming the defeat of Bosnia (the headline shown here is from Aug. 24, 1992), *EIR* was mobilizing international support for Bosnia and Croatia, demanding a change in the British-dominated policy of the western powers. (*EIR*'s headline is from May 22, 1992.)

On July 31, 1992, we published the text of a call by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, president of the Schiller Institute in Germany, titled "Appeal to the Governments and Parliaments of Europe: Stop the Genocide in the Balkans!" Far from accepting the propaganda that the Serbs had won the war, it called for Europe's govern-



ments and parliaments to take urgent measures to stop the Serbian assault and to build up the defense capability of Croatia and Bosnia.

On Sept. 25, 1992, EIR analyst Konstantin George wrote: "It should be stressed that the policies of the Serbian leadership are not only criminal, but stupid. They may seize every Bosnian 'stronghold,' but all they will have achieved is the basis for a long and brutal partisan war behind their lines of conquest, in occupied Bosnia. That partisan war has already begun.

The myth of 'Greater Serbia' will soon be shattered by the reality of a Serbian 'Vietnam' in Bosnia's mountains and canyons."

More recently, in our Dec. 9, 1994 issue, Michael Liebig rejected British propaganda to the effect that the Bosnian fight is a "lost cause," and quoted former Croatian Defense Minister Gen. Martin Spegelj: "I am an optimist, and repeat that a shift in the relation of forces to the benefit of the victim of aggression is unstoppable, even if there will be setbacks."