population is African-American, it is estimated that more than 32% of the District's Democratic voters are African-American and, it is widely accepted that LaRouche's support is probably strongest among African-American voters.

Disenfranchising D.C. voters

The same question has presented itself in a far more egregious way in Washington, D.C., where the issue of voter disenfranchisement is a volatile one. Although the nation's capital has a population of over 570,000, some 67% of them African-American, it was not until the civil rights revolution of the 1960s that Washingtonians gained the right to vote in Presidential elections. In 1964, Washingtonians began to cast three electoral votes for President. In 1971, they finally got to elect a non-voting delegate to Congress; and, in 1974, they were granted home rule and could vote for a mayor and City Council. But, under Gingrich's Conservative Revolution, more than 20 years after Congress finally relinquished the control of the District which it had exercised over most of its history, out of distrust of the city's large black population, Gingrich's Republican majority turned control of the capital city's finances over to a federal financial control board, seriously curtailing the already limited home rule.

The Democratic National Committee, on the other hand, accords the District all the rights and privileges of a state. The central committee is referred to as the District of Columbia State Democratic Committee, the Democratic Mayor is accorded the status of a Governor, and the District delegation of 38 delegates and four alternates to the National Convention is larger than that of many states. Nevertheless, Don Fowler has ordered D.C. State Democratic Chairman William H. Simons to prevent any delegate candidate pledged to Lyndon LaRouche from obtaining the petitions necessary to qualify for the ballot. So far, Simons has complied with Fowler's order. But, the fact that many of those seeking to file as LaRouche delegates are prominent, longtime African-American Democratic activists, combined with Simons's failure to consult other members of the State Democratic Committee regarding his decision, has caused an open and heated controversy.

What is at issue, as in the Louisiana case, are not Lyndon LaRouche's rights; LaRouche has already been certified as the only Democratic Presidential candidate, besides President Clinton, whose name will appear on the May 7 primary ballot. What is at issue, however, are the hard-won rights of a majority African-American population, protected under the Voting Rights Act, to participate in the election and support the candidates of their own choosing, especially at a time when home rule itself is under serious attack.

The question that must be asked is, is DNC Chairman Don Fowler completely insensitive to such questions of racial injustice, respecting African-Americans? If the answer is perceived to be yes by African-Americans, Hispanic Americans, and others, the results could be disastrous for the Democratic Party in November.

Whitewater's Starr runs coverup for Bush

by Edward Spannaus

According to data released by the congressional General Accounting Office, Whitewater special prosecutor Kenneth Starr is spending money at the clip of about \$1 million a month. In the first 14 months of his investigation, running through September 1995, Starr had spent \$13.6 million, and in the six months since then, he has probably run up a tab of over \$20 million. This is on top of nearly \$6 million spent by the first Whitewater special prosecutor, from whom Starr took over in mid-1994.

As we reported two weeks ago (see *EIR*, March 29, p. 65), even some of Starr's strongest supporters are beginning to express their doubts as to whether Starr's inquisition will actually be able to bring down President Clinton. Since then, Starr has come under criticism from conservative circles for his legal conflicts of interests from his moonlighting for other clients.

But, Starr's job is not just to go after Clinton; it is also to protect his former boss, George Bush. Suppressing the real story about the gun- and drug-smuggling operations run by Bush's networks in Arkansas in the 1980s seems to be Starr's highest priority. Despite all the efforts of the get-Clinton crowd to pin the Mena airfield drug-running operation on Clinton, anyone who knows the score knows that this was an operation run from the highest levels of the federal government during the Reagan-Bush administration, directly out of the National Security Council where Oliver North carried out the instructions of his real boss: then-Vice President George Bush.

A Bush appointee

When Bush became President in 1989, he appointed Starr as his Solicitor General in the Justice Department. The firing of the first Whitewater special prosecutor, Robert Fiske, in 1994, was undoubtedly triggered by the need to ensure that someone totally loyal to the Bush machine was in the position to tread the delicate line between trying to frame up Clinton while, at the same time, maintaining the coverup of the Mena operation.

Starr got some additional help in this in the fall of 1994, when another special prosecutor, Donald Smaltz, was appointed to investigate Agriculture Secretary Mike Espy. Espy was accused of having received various trivial gratuities, such as free football tickets, from agricultural interests such as Arkansas chicken magnate Don Tyson. In February 1995,

EIR April 12, 1996 National 73

LYNDON LAROUCHE ON TELEVISION

CBS-TV Thursday April 18 9:30 p.m. Eastern/Pacific 8:30 p.m. Central/Mountain

(call your CBS affiliate to verify local broadcast time)

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., candidate for the Democratic Party's Presidential nomination, will address the nation in a half-hour broadcast on the issues of foreign policy.

Call 1-800-532-4550 (toll-free)

To reach the LaRouche page **ON THE INTERNET**

http://www.clark.net/larouche/welcome.html

To reach the campaign by **ELECTRONIC MAIL**

larouche@clark.net

Paid for by the Committee to Reverse the Accelerating Global Economic and Strategic Crisis: A LaRouche Exploratory Committee

Smaltz hired Ted Greenberg, the Justice Department's top money-laundering expert and one of the dirtiest operatives in the Justice Department's permanent bureaucracy—something which was inexplicable from the standpoint of the minor offenses of which Espy was accused.

Already in October 1994, the marching orders for the Smaltz-Greenberg team had been issued by the Hollinger Corp.'s Ambrose Evans-Pritchard in the London *Sunday Telegraph*, in a piece entitled "Bill Clinton and the Chicken Man," in which the British intelligence stringer complained that the American press had been overlooking this aspect of the Whitewater scandal. Evans-Pritchard claimed that Tyson had been under investigation in the 1980s for smuggling cocaine stuffed inside chickens. Before long, Smaltz and Greenberg were leaking stories that tied Clinton to Tyson and to drug-dealing and money-laundering.

Lawyers for Tyson Foods went to court and managed to clip Smaltz's wings in the summer of 1995, but on April 1 of this year, the federal appeals court which appoints special prosecutors expanded Smaltz's authority to broaden his investigation to encompass unidentified "associates" of Espy.

Just ten days earlier, on March 22, the same three-judge panel of appeals court judges had issued an order expanding Starr's mandate as well, allowing him to expand his investigation into the so-called "Travelgate" affair. This panel is headed by Judge David Sentelle, who had been observed lunching with North Carolina Republican Sens. Jesse Helms and Lauch Faircloth only days before his panel fired Fiske and replaced him with Starr. Not too long after that, Faircloth hired Sentelle's wife to work in his office. Faircloth has been the most hateful and vociferous of the Republicans on the now-defunct Senate Whitewater investigating committee, at times making even Al D'Amato look like a moderate.

Gross conflicts of interest

While spending all this money, Starr isn't even on the job full-time. It has been known since his appointment that Whitewater has only been a part-time job for him, but in the past week or two, this has become a matter of public attention. The Wall Street Journal and the Washington Times, both zealous backers of Starr's operation, ran articles on March 27 drawing attention to Starr's continued involvement in high-profile, outside legal cases. The Wall Street Journal piece, whose headline indicated that Starr's legal work "raises eyebrows," focussed particularly on Starr's representation of Brown and Williamson Tobacco Co. in a class-action lawsuit being argued before a federal appeals court in New Orleans; Brown and Williamson is owned by BAT, the British-American Tobacco company.

In fact, Starr has many more clients, which were listed in a Washington Times article on the same day, under the headline "Starr's Billings Prompt Unease." The list includes: Philip Morris, the Republican National Committee, Victor and Steven Posner, Hughes Aircraft, Amoco Oil, Chiquita Brands (formerly United Fruit), and the Lynde and Harry Bradley Foundation.

The Bradley Foundation not only funded Starr to argue the Wisconsin school-voucher case, but it funds most of the British-inspired Conservative Revolution think-tanks in the United States, including the Acton Institute, the American Enterprise Institute, the Competitive Enterprise Institute, Empowerment Network Foundation, First Freedom Coalition Educational Fund (a personal project of former Attorney General Wiliam Barr), Free Congress Research and Education Foundation, Heritage Foundation, Hillsdale College (a key Mont Pelerin outpost), Hudson Institute, National Strategy Information Center (created by Prescott Bush, Sr.), Progress and Freedom Foundation (Newt Gingrich's operation), and Reason Foundation (which pushes privatization). Bradley also funds British operations directly, including the Atlas Economic Research Foundation of Sussex, England; Cambridge University; and something called the "Social Affairs Unit, London, England."

Another recipient of Bradley largesse is the American Spectator Educational Foundation, Inc. A Bradley spokesman said the foundation put up the money for the *American Spectator* magazine to "research and write" its first big article against President Clinton—a sensational piece based on lurid tales from Arkansas state troopers.

74 National EIR April 12, 1996