## Global warming fraud explodes in UN's face

by Rogelio A. Maduro

On June 12, Frederick Seitz, the former head of the U.S. National Academy of Sciences, in a letter to the *Wall Street Journal*, attacked the latest climate change report from the United Nations as a fraud. A few weeks previously, the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) issued "The Science of Climate Change," the most comprehensive report to date on the subject of global warming. The document will be used by the UN and governments around the world to impose draconian policies to reduce emissions of "greenhouse gases" that allegedly threaten the Earth. Entire industries, including the electric power industry, will be severely affected.

The report, however, "is not what it appears to be," stated Dr. Seitz, one of the world's most respected scientists. Seitz expressed outrage, felt by a broad spectrum of scientists, at the behavior of the IPCC. He said that the report "is not the version that was approved by the contributing scientists listed on the title page." He emphasized, "In my more than 60 years as a member of the American scientific community, including service as president of both the National Academy of Sciences and the American Physical Society, I have never witnessed a more disturbing corruption of the peer-review process than the events that led to this IPCC report."

Significant changes were made to the document after it left the contributing scientists' hands, according to Seitz, and "nearly all [the changes] worked to remove hints of the skepticism with which many scientists regard claims that human activities are having a major impact on climate in general, and global warming in particular."

Seitz cited the following passages that were in the approved report but were deleted from "the supposedly peer-reviewed published version":

- "• None of the studies cited above has shown clear evidence that we can attribute the observed changes to the specific cause of increases in greenhouse gases.
- "• No study to date has positively attributed all or part [of the climate change observed to date] to anthropogenic causes.
- "• Any claims of positive detection of significant climate change are likely to remain controversial until uncertainties in the total natural variability of the climate system are reduced."

In other words, any statement in the report that ques-

tioned the truth of the global warming scare was removed after the final draft was signed by the scientists.

The promoters of the global warming fraud have become so convinced that they can foist any lie that they want onto the public, that for the first time, they have been caught completely unprepared by the uproar. In the past weeks, they have been tripping all over themselves, attempting to defuse the charges. The June 6 issue of the British journal *Nature* quotes one of the top controllers of the apparatus, Sir John Houghton, co-chair of the IPCC Working Group 1, hysterically claiming that these accusations are "a mixture of confusion and misinformation." Houghton acknowledges that changes were made to the documents, but says that they were only made to "background documents."

The article also reports that further charges of fraud have been leveled against the IPCC by John Emsley, a chemist at Imperial College, London, and a member of the European Science and Environment Forum, who argues that opposing scientists have been excluded from the IPCC process, thus blocking out alternative scientific views.

## Nature magazine: Truth is irrelevant

To cap it off, the June 13 issue of *Nature* has an editorial that acknowledges that "the complaints [of fraud] are not entirely groundless." With very tortured language and reasoning, *Nature* acknowledges that extensive changes were made to the document, and that "there is some evidence that the revision process did result in a subtle shift in the relative weight given to different types of arguments." Astonishingly, *Nature* then argues that it's not the science that's important, but that "climate change as a political issue deserves . . . increasing attention." The editorial states: "Charges by parts of the U.S. energy industry that a recent report on global climate change has been 'scientifically cleansed' should not be allowed to undermine efforts to win political support for abatement strategies."

In other words, scientific truth is irrelevant to *Nature*; it's the agenda that matters—and only evidence that supports the policies should be included.

On May 24, six of the leading advocates of global warming gave a press breakfast in Washington to announce their support for the IPCC report, which concludes that man is adversely affecting global climate. The "scientists" were led by George Woodwell, the man who was exposed as a liar in the 1972 hearings on DDT, where he was caught making the data fit his anti-pesticide ideology. This press breakfast was hastily put together by Fenton Communications, for damage control. The hoaxsters include Paul Epstein, from the Harvard School of Public Health, and Tom Karl, of the National Climatic Data Center. Interestingly enough, Fenton Communications is the same outfit that promoted the scare over the use of alar by fruit-growers in 1989.

The issue of fraud will certainly become hotter. Perhaps, that is what global warming is all about.

EIR July 19, 1996 Economics 7