2. The strategic issue

ou the U.S. citizen should not consider yourself truly competent to judge what might appear to you to be, superficially, the important current problems of the day, until you have looked at the matter more deeply than you have been accustomed to do. You should have learned from recent painful disappointments, that now, as in that pre-Civil War time to which President Abraham Lincoln referred, "most of the people" are fooled "most of the time."

Before you judge today's most extraordinary global crisis, you must, first, come to understand certain deeper, underlying issues, issues of which you have either been uninformed until now, or which you might have preferred to ignore. To come to my point, you must now consider those often neglected, but most crucial of the centuries-old, underlying issues. These are issues of which most of today's citizens have been unaware, but which, nonetheless, have continued to dominate and shape the internal and strategic situation of not only today's U.S.A., but all of the modern history of the world at large.

You are living at a time which you are now in the process of being forced to recognize as being the closing phase of the greatest crisis the United States and the world have known during the entirety of the now closing century. As of this moment I write, during the recent fifteen months, you have been living inside the terminal phase of a world-wide crisis, the worst crisis of this century. At this moment, an entire world financial system is about to begin disintegrating. If the G-7 group of national governments — which includes the U.S. government — continues to behave as it has done consistently since 1995, not only entire national financial systems, but even physical economies of now leading nations, including the U.S., will also begin to disintegrate.

Some nations, as in Africa, are already evaporating from the political map, while British, Israeli, and even U.S.A. weapons-traffickers pour new masses of weaponry into this cockpit, increasing the chaos which, in Africa alone, is measured, during the recent several years, as a genocide of black Africans totalling more than six millions victims who have not only died in this new Holocaust, but died in an unspeakable manner. More nations will evaporate in similar or worse form within the short to medium term. We are at the brink of the threatened eruption of a chain-reaction of local and widening wars, even combat involving exchanges of thermonuclear missiles, in the greater Middle East region, and elsewhere.

Until this terminal phase of the crisis erupted, fifteen months ago, most of you, like your government, like Wall Street, acted as if the most important things actually happening, world wide, simply did not exist, or, would not be permitted to occur. Foolish fantasies about economic recoveries just around the corner, and so forth, were reported, periodically, as currently prevailing popular opinion. You were still living in a dream-world. Most of you were occupied with what you saw as local or personal issues, or as what the usually lying major media told you were the leading issues. For most of you, reality was still far, far away, or even in some different universe than the universe which included you. Most of you were as a traveller on a space-ship Planet Earth. It was your personal conditions on that space-ship, or, for some, the distance of one's seating place from the head table, which occupied your attention. Where the space ship was actually going, you simply ignored; or, you found it more convenient, more comfortable, to put such questions away from your mind.

As I have indicated to you, in the opening section of my statement, this present planetary disaster did not happen suddenly. It was building up during more than a quarter-century. As I have also told you many times before, the general nature of the present crisis was foreseeable, and its worst effects could have been prevented many years ago. I, for one, did foresee them, and reported publicly and repeatedly what I saw; and, I have been right all along. You did not react to that reality when I pointed it out, then. You minded your own business, and tried to deal mostly with local issues, or other particular matters on which you chose to fix your attention. Now the crisis of which I warned has come in the timely manner I said it would. Finally, you are forced to look at, and act on the real issues, issues you have been stubbornly committed to ignoring, all these years, until now.

The issues are not really much different today, than they were ten, twenty, or thirty years ago; the difference is, that suddenly, after all these years, you are being forced, finally, to pay attention to the real issues, which were there all along. It is not so much that the world has suddenly changed, as that onrushing reality which you have been avoiding for many years too long, has now struck.

These issues which you must now turn to face, are the same old policy-issues which have continued to define the opportunities and dangers facing all of extended modern European civilization, since the beginnings of the modern nation-state republic, during the middle through later Fifteenth

Century. It is only from the standpoint of understanding the central one of these continuing strategic issues, and only by recognizing the way in which that one issue underlies all others, that we, together, can define a competent grasp of, and efficient approach to the crucial particular issues of today's modern life, both inside and outside today's U.S.A.

You can not go on living the way you have been doing. We have come to the time when reality must finally be faced. Let us do so, together. Come meet your future, in recent history.

At this moment of writing, I must introduce the following point. This point is based upon the most rigorous, strictly scientific reasons, but that does not mean it is merely an academic question. Understanding the point I develop in this present section of my statement, is crucial for any competent understanding of the make-or-break issues of today's practical, so-called "down-to-earth" politics.

As I shall demonstrate this connection at an appropriate point in this section of my statement, the earliest competent dating of the beginning of modern civilization, is approximately the middle of Europe's Fifteenth Century. That dating, as I define the A.D. 1438-1492 interval, is a precise one; no competent understanding of any crucial developments in the past six hundred years' history of Europe and the Americas were possible, without a reasonably exact comprehension of the implications for that specific, Fifteenth-Century dating. That was the time of a fundamental, revolutionary change in the leading principles of law and social relations generally, within and among nations, a change which separates feudal forms of society from modern civilized life.4 All issues of strategy, within extended European civilization, since that date, are posed by the conflict between those who defend that change, and those, like the authors of the impeachment process against President Clinton, who oppose the principles of law on which modern civilization is based.

Today, seeing that connection is indispensable for understanding the battle between modern society and such fanatically desperate relics of feudal tradition as both the present "new Confederacy" minority within the U.S. Congress and also the recently exposed allies of that "new Confederacy" faction, among the Wall Street accomplices of Vice-President Al Gore.⁵ That is indispensable for understanding the same influences which earlier produced Adolf Hitler's Nazis, and which are the root of the motives and the degree of passion energizing the present domestic and foreign threats to our

republic from the "new Confederacy" and its Wall Street allies.

This same understanding of the legacy of feudal tradition even within our U.S. Federal republic, will make clearer to the sometimes perplexed citizen, exactly why the military policy of Vice-President Al Gore's Principals Committee toward the bombing of Iraq, is not only morally and strategically wrong, but, from a military standpoint, utterly incompetent, even criminally stupid or insane. Think of the constituency supporting that military adventure of the Principals Committee, as though that Principals Committee were a hare-brained, rabid feudal rabble, playing its parts on a Broadway or Hollywood stage. Think of them as wearing, not the feudal clothing more suited to that Committee's relatively barbaric habits and customary state of mind, but cloaked anachronistically in late-Twentieth-Century styles in military and civilian costumes.

The related point which must be emphasized in any discussion of all of the important internal and foreign-policy issues facing the U.S. today, is that the process leading to the establishment of the U.S.A., dates specifically from revolutionary political developments which occurred during the mid-Fifteenth Century. For reasons related to the inability to resolve the nature of the nation-state and constitutional law within the geography of European states themselves, the establishment of the form of a truly sovereign nation-state republic occurred only in North America, as this emerged out of the War of Independence and subsequent establishment of a constitutional Federal union.

Although all of the principled conceptions expressed in the founding of that independence and Federal union were developed within Europe, and exported into the U.S.A., the European nations were unable to free themselves from the relics of feudal oligarchism in the degree achieved in the founding of the U.S.A. It was the success of the establishment of the U.S.A., first in the developments of 1775-1789, and, later, the reforms effected under the initiatives of President Abraham Lincoln's leadership, during 1861-1876, which led to many successful imitations of the form of modern agroindustrial nation-state republic which first emerged in the U.S.

EIR February 19, 1999 Special Feature 29

^{4.} The distinction between feudal and modern society signifies, here, a scientifically precise distinction in the functional meaning of a lawful ordering of the society, a clear break, like the distinction between marsupials (feudal) and placental (modern) orders of mammals.

^{5.} For example, the scandal featuring the neon-lit Gore campaign-funding connections to D.E. Shaw and Gore's Russia crony and accomplice Viktor Chernomyrdin, as this connection to the Wall Street LTCM scandal overlaps Gore's, Wall Street's, and Gore ally "Dick" Morris's connections to the "new Confederacy" faction in the Congress.

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., "Why General Shelton Must Retire Now," *Executive Intelligence Review*, Jan. 15, 1999.

^{7.} The same features of today's "new Confederacy" rabble in the U.S.A., is key for understanding the roots of the anti-Semitism of Hitler's Nazis. This is readily understood by those familiar with the legal status of respectively wealthy and poor Jews in Central Europe, in particular, until the partial reforms instituted by Prussia's Frederick II, and by Austro-Hungary's Emperor Joseph II. The "Fruehmenschen" and related practices of the "new Confederacy" currents within the FBI and other parts of the U.S. Department of Justice, typify the quasi-Nazis of today, just as the Jew-haters of post-1923 Germany typify the "new Confederacy" characteristics of Hitler's Nazis. The Justice Department connection is illustrated by the case of Special Prosecutor team member Hickman Ewing's earlier, racist role, in Gore's Tennessee, in a noted "Fruehmenschen" case in that state.