

which have given what remains of America's independent print and media outlets, especially in the African-American and Hispanic communities, unprecedented access to a Presidential candidate. In another first, dozens of international press representatives, from every continent, have also been allowed to participate, resulting in prominent international coverage of LaRouche's candidacy, especially his fight for a New Bretton Woods approach to transforming the financial and monetary system, and his opposition to the International Monetary Fund.

A heavy campaign schedule

On Jan. 6, LaRouche conducted a national webcast with health-care providers and state and local elected officials. On Jan. 22, he will continue the dialogue with a webcast of a town meeting on health care in New York City. On Jan. 23, he will speak live, via the Internet, with all his delegates in California, during the Democratic caucuses there.

But before then, LaRouche will make several public appearances in New England, and will escalate his use of the Internet, adding live video broadcasts to what have, up to now, been audio broadcasts. And, unlike the other candidates, who limit their Spanish-language webpages to "hot button" issues they deem "of interest" to Hispanic voters, all of LaRouche's webcasts are simultaneously broadcast in Spanish on the candidate's Spanish-language page.

And, LaRouche has instructed his political campaign organization to escalate the fight over the Voting Rights Act. At the same time that it prepares to appeal the legal challenge to the Voting Rights Act, Debra Hanania-Freeman has sent a letter, accompanied by a statement authored by LaRouche, entitled "Lying and Racism by a Cabal Inside the Democratic National Committee: the Implications" (see *EIR*, Dec. 17, 1999 and www.larouchecampaign.org), to about 12,000 prominent Democrats. In her letter, Dr. Freeman states:

"That the DNC's actions are racist and dishonest is undeniable. Sections of the relevant court files are included in Mr. LaRouche's attached statement, and they speak for themselves. But, what must also be understood, is that if this cabal is allowed to continue, if these racist and lying actions are not corrected, we Democrats will not only suffer a general rout in the coming November general elections, but even before then, our nation will be placed in grave danger during the worst financial and strategic crisis in its history.

"We cannot allow a racist cabal to run the DNC. And, the simple fact is that anyone who supports these racists in their actions to disenfranchise LaRouche Democrats, even if that support is merely by default, is equally guilty. One of the actions we are forced to consider, if the DNC continues to attempt to coerce and compel state Democratic Party organizations to violate the law by excluding LaRouche and Democrats who support him from the primary process, is a Federal civil RICO suit against the entire DNC. This conspiracy to violate the Voting Rights Act simply cannot be tolerated."

Hyde-bound racism and the Constitution

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Whether or not the evidence claiming Rep. Henry Hyde's (R-Ill.) long record of alleged adultery, proves true, or not, that same Henry Hyde is to be fairly described as "an immoral bastard" in much larger matters, including the matter of law as such.

Our nation has come into an immoral, concupiscent time, when the Nero-like editorial policy of *Playboy's* Hugh Hefner and the debate over the morality, or immorality, of degenerates such as Newt Gingrich, Henry Hyde, and Al Gore, does not reach beyond the province of the bedroom. In wider areas, beyond those domains of relatively petty, proven or merely alleged bedroom offenses, all sorts of major offenses, by even the same self-righteous perpetrators, against both man and God, were tolerated by Hyde, and that with a great show of cheerful indifference. The same spirit has been shown, even among putative leaders of the Democratic National Committee, by racist creatures of sundry patronages. One would suspect, from popular toleration for Representative Hyde's antics against President William J. Clinton, that a wild-eyed lynchmob of rabid pornographers had taken over the nation.

All of the relevant developments were paraded before the nation's mass-media in the matter of the attempted impeachment of a U.S. President, all in the name of events and allegedly democratic decisions occurring within the tiniest microcosms of personal discretion. Notably, the sordid conduct of Representative Hyde and his factitious accomplices in this affair, was premised upon their passionately avowed wish to effect a British parliamentary coup d'état, not only against a sitting U.S. President, but against the Constitution of our beloved United States.

Take in evidence the implicit racism of Henry Hyde. Hyde, addressing the Senate, in the case of H.R.H. Prince Philip, and Philip's conspiratorial and subversive organization Transparency International, vs. President Clinton, made much ado about "the rule of law." Hyde's expressed opinion on that occasion, was, and remains closely related, axiomatically, to the racist philosophy of the misbegotten Confederate States of America. In this matter, Hyde laid great emphasis upon the precedent of the so-called Magna Carta. That emphasis is a rope fit to effect the political hanging of Henry Hyde, on the grounds of grievous offenses against the most elementary principles of Christian morality considered in the large.

On this account, please recognize that the argument of the Democratic Party's current National Committee bureaucracy,

and of both attorney John Keeney and Federal Judge Sentelle, that the National Democratic Committee is “a private club,” is not only proven to have been a pre-1965 tradition of the rabidly racist, Woodrow Wilson current within the Texas, and certain other parts of the national Democratic Party. Indeed, the Voting Rights Act of 1965, was established as enforceable law, with the clearly expressed intent of President Lyndon Johnson and the U.S. Congress, to repudiate the odiousness of that racist tradition of treating state and national political parties as private clubs for the purpose of conduct of voters’ selection of candidates for office.

The “private club” doctrine, is, beyond reasonable dispute, an integral part of the tradition of racism in America. It is also, as Representative Hyde insisted before the U.S. Senate, an expression, then and now, of the purely heathen racism inhering in the tradition of the English Magna Carta, the tradition invoked by alleged adulterer Henry Hyde for the failed attempt at impeachment, on Hyde’s allegations of adultery, foisted upon the Congress of the U.S.A., against President Clinton. Put to one side the morbid Romanticism of mythologist Sir Walter Scott; look at the Magna Carta for the bestialist offense against man and God which it represented, in the time of England’s King John, and now.

In A.D. 1066, the Norman predators, bearing the tradition of imperial Roman law, invaded, conquered, and ravished the Christian population of Anglo-Saxon-Celtic England. These Normans, whose occupation savaged France, up till the Fifteenth-Century accession of France’s King Louis XI, brought many evils upon Europe as a whole, including the conquest and looting of Sicily, the so-called Hundred Years War, and the so-called Wars of the Roses in England itself. From the time of the great reformers, the Waibling (Hohenstaufen) Emperors of Europe, from the time of the Norman predator class’s imposition of the evil Magna Carta on England, the Romantic tyranny of the Norman barons and their like, was the greatest curse internal to European civilization as a whole. Indeed, the Wars of the Roses, were the inevitable outcome of the imposition and perpetuation of the system of baronial jungle law imposed upon the British Isles and Norman-dominated France combined.

That brutish, irrationalist, Norman tradition, is what Representative Hyde, praised, with his bare face hanging out, before the Senate’s impeachment trial against President Clinton.

Today, the tradition of the Norman predatory barons is faithfully served still, by those forces within the U.S. political parties, the Congress, and the Federal Court, who have massacred the U.S. Constitution in their avowed devotion to that legacy of baronial outlawry termed “shareholder value.” This was also the reading of John Locke, on which London’s puppet, the Confederate States of America, premised its alleged constitution. This perverted notion of law, under which all sorts of crimes are licensed, in and out of the bedroom, is not only the mother of racism in the U.S.A.; it is the whore which

breeds all varieties of evil genetically kindred to racism.

Such matters of law, should be seen clearly, so that we may be rid of evils of the like of Henry Hyde’s out-of-bedroom immoralities.

LaRouche Webcast

What is government’s role in health policy?

Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche, Jr. conducted an 80-minute webcast dialogue on Jan. 6 with 18 medical professionals. The audio was broadcast live on his campaign website, www.larouchecampaign.org. Here is an excerpt from his opening statement, in which he outlined five essential points.

... First of all, let’s consider the health-care crisis, a crisis which has been created largely, in the United States, in particular, by the spread of a dogma which is sometimes called “shareholder value.” That is, the former General Welfare policy, which is one of the fundamental laws of our Constitution, as set forth in the Preamble, and all Federal judges and members of Congress and Executive members should consider themselves *obliged to adhere to the Constitutional principle of the obligation to promote the General Welfare for all persons and their posterity*. That’s the mandate.

Shareholder value, which is kind of a perversion of Lockean values, has been introduced to undermine and *sabotage* the implementation of the policy of the General Welfare. That is a heritage of the Confederacy; it has no place in the Federal United States. But it is one of the major reasons we have the present health-care crisis. So this is, first of all, not just a health-care crisis; it’s a health-care crisis caused by an abomination introduced into the practice and philosophy of law of our government and private sector.

Secondly, unlike others who are talking about health-care insurance, my focus is upon the Hill-Burton method, where the Federal government, through Hill-Burton, intervenes to assist state and local and private institutions in combination in providing private health care, as needed, through institutional means. That is, on the assumption that physicians usually work with hospitals and clinics, and therefore, if we have in the hospitals and clinics adequate facilities to meet the health-care requirements of the general population, we then have the basis for bringing the physician into the picture to ensure the delivery of health care, as required, wherever it’s needed.

So, the Hill-Burton law, as amended by the enactment of