

and of both attorney John Keeney and Federal Judge Sentelle, that the National Democratic Committee is “a private club,” is not only proven to have been a pre-1965 tradition of the rabidly racist, Woodrow Wilson current within the Texas, and certain other parts of the national Democratic Party. Indeed, the Voting Rights Act of 1965, was established as enforceable law, with the clearly expressed intent of President Lyndon Johnson and the U.S. Congress, to repudiate the odiousness of that racist tradition of treating state and national political parties as private clubs for the purpose of conduct of voters’ selection of candidates for office.

The “private club” doctrine, is, beyond reasonable dispute, an integral part of the tradition of racism in America. It is also, as Representative Hyde insisted before the U.S. Senate, an expression, then and now, of the purely heathen racism inhering in the tradition of the English Magna Carta, the tradition invoked by alleged adulterer Henry Hyde for the failed attempt at impeachment, on Hyde’s allegations of adultery, foisted upon the Congress of the U.S.A., against President Clinton. Put to one side the morbid Romanticism of mythologist Sir Walter Scott; look at the Magna Carta for the bestialist offense against man and God which it represented, in the time of England’s King John, and now.

In A.D. 1066, the Norman predators, bearing the tradition of imperial Roman law, invaded, conquered, and ravished the Christian population of Anglo-Saxon-Celtic England. These Normans, whose occupation savaged France, up till the Fifteenth-Century accession of France’s King Louis XI, brought many evils upon Europe as a whole, including the conquest and looting of Sicily, the so-called Hundred Years War, and the so-called Wars of the Roses in England itself. From the time of the great reformers, the Waibling (Hohenstaufen) Emperors of Europe, from the time of the Norman predator class’s imposition of the evil Magna Carta on England, the Romantic tyranny of the Norman barons and their like, was the greatest curse internal to European civilization as a whole. Indeed, the Wars of the Roses, were the inevitable outcome of the imposition and perpetuation of the system of baronial jungle law imposed upon the British Isles and Norman-dominated France combined.

That brutish, irrationalist, Norman tradition, is what Representative Hyde, praised, with his bare face hanging out, before the Senate’s impeachment trial against President Clinton.

Today, the tradition of the Norman predatory barons is faithfully served still, by those forces within the U.S. political parties, the Congress, and the Federal Court, who have massacred the U.S. Constitution in their avowed devotion to that legacy of baronial outlawry termed “shareholder value.” This was also the reading of John Locke, on which London’s puppet, the Confederate States of America, premised its alleged constitution. This perverted notion of law, under which all sorts of crimes are licensed, in and out of the bedroom, is not only the mother of racism in the U.S.A.; it is the whore which

breeds all varieties of evil genetically kindred to racism.

Such matters of law, should be seen clearly, so that we may be rid of evils of the like of Henry Hyde’s out-of-bedroom immoralities.

LaRouche Webcast

What is government’s role in health policy?

Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche, Jr. conducted an 80-minute webcast dialogue on Jan. 6 with 18 medical professionals. The audio was broadcast live on his campaign website, www.larouchecampaign.org. Here is an excerpt from his opening statement, in which he outlined five essential points.

... First of all, let’s consider the health-care crisis, a crisis which has been created largely, in the United States, in particular, by the spread of a dogma which is sometimes called “shareholder value.” That is, the former General Welfare policy, which is one of the fundamental laws of our Constitution, as set forth in the Preamble, and all Federal judges and members of Congress and Executive members should consider themselves *obliged to adhere to the Constitutional principle of the obligation to promote the General Welfare for all persons and their posterity*. That’s the mandate.

Shareholder value, which is kind of a perversion of Lockean values, has been introduced to undermine and *sabotage* the implementation of the policy of the General Welfare. That is a heritage of the Confederacy; it has no place in the Federal United States. But it is one of the major reasons we have the present health-care crisis. So this is, first of all, not just a health-care crisis; it’s a health-care crisis caused by an abomination introduced into the practice and philosophy of law of our government and private sector.

Secondly, unlike others who are talking about health-care insurance, my focus is upon the Hill-Burton method, where the Federal government, through Hill-Burton, intervenes to assist state and local and private institutions in combination in providing private health care, as needed, through institutional means. That is, on the assumption that physicians usually work with hospitals and clinics, and therefore, if we have in the hospitals and clinics adequate facilities to meet the health-care requirements of the general population, we then have the basis for bringing the physician into the picture to ensure the delivery of health care, as required, wherever it’s needed.

So, the Hill-Burton law, as amended by the enactment of

Civil Rights legislation during the 1960s, is the standpoint to which I propose we return for a cornerstone, for a reversal of the present downward trend in health-care policy.

The third thing we have to consider, is, what is the role of the Federal government in health care? What should the Federal government do, and not do, relative to the state governments, to other public institutions, and to private institutions? What's the division of labor and how should that function?

[Fourthly,] we should consider the global nature of the health crises involved in this. What's the actual health crisis, in terms of delivering the kind of medical care and related hygienic sanitation, which is needed to deal with the spread, for example, of new and old types of epidemic diseases and pandemics, as well? The so-called AIDS, or HIV crisis, especially in Africa, typifies the spread of diseases, including many, such as tuberculosis, and so forth, which had been coming under control, which are now spreading again in forms for which populations lack immunological potential.

So, we're plunged into a global crisis of death, like that which hit Europe during the 14th century, unless we stop this. So, it's not just a matter of getting health care to people, not just no longer killing people who are senior citizens, or otherwise urgently in need of care, but it's a matter of a general problem of sanitation, epidemic disease, and so forth. And the health-care system is one of the major weapons, together with sanitation, for defeating these pandemic and epidemic problems.

And, finally, we have to consider the question of what are true medical costs. Now, the general pattern today is, in terms of medical insurance, that what a physician gets in payment for providing a form of care, is much less than the same physician would have received for the same degree of care, quality of care, 10 to 20 years ago. So therefore, when people say that medical costs are rising, they are not exactly truthful. What's happened is, there's been a great inflation, general price inflation, in things which affect medical care, such as real estate costs, and other costs, an inflation which has been concealed, especially since 1983, by a fraudulent method used by the Federal Reserve System, and also the U.S. government, including what's called the Quality Adjustment Index, so that when the government says, or the Federal Reserve says, that inflation is at a certain price, they're probably 30-40% out of line.

And therefore, in reality, in terms of delivered effect, people today are much worse off than they were many years ago. That the present inflation rate, recent inflation rate over the past 30 years, has been greatly understated, and that's one of the reasons why—it's not that medical costs have been climbing, in real terms; they have not been climbing. In real terms, physicians and institutions are receiving less in payment, per patient treated, than they were 20 and 30 years ago. The difference is largely a result of price inflation of various kinds. . . .

Endorsements

The world looks to LaRouche for leadership

Europe

Italy—Rosario Alessandrello *has communicated a statement of support for the Presidential candidacy of Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. Alessandrello is the chairman, Tecnimont Corp.; chairman of the Italy-Russia Chamber of Trade; board member, Mediocredito Centrale; board member, Italian Confederation of Industry (Confindustria).*

He backs LaRouche "not only because he is the man who more than anyone else has helped to conceptualize the perspective of a New Bretton Woods and a Marshall Plan to rebuild the world economy, a plan today known as 'The New Silk Road,' but above all because his Presidential campaign aims to rebuild and reorganize, around the idea of General Welfare and mutual advantage among social classes and sovereign nations, the consensus from the overwhelming majority of the American population, those 80% of the citizens who, with passive resignation, share 40% of the national income. In my view, this is the only workable answer to the gravity of the crisis."

Alessandrello stresses the coherence between LaRouche's policies, and the "Stavropol Project" for eastern Europe, in which Alessandrello had played a major role in 1988 as a manager of the Ferruzzi-Montedison group. The projects were shut down when Raul Gardini, chairman of the group, was "suicided" in the context of the "Clean Hands" operation to destabilize Italy. Here are excerpts from the lengthy statement.

Between 1988 and 1991, thanks to the support of the Italian government and thanks to an initiative by the late Raul Gardini's Ferruzzi-Montedison group, Italy was ready to make its contribution to ensure that, within a few years, Russia and Ukraine could take decisive steps to achieve food self-sufficiency.

On Oct. 19, 1988, we signed an agreement with the Soviet government to start a pilot project that aimed at building a giant integrated agro-industrial complex. . . .

The plan, called "Project Stavropol," aimed to agro-industrially develop an area of more than 500,000 hectares (equal to the combined Italian regions of Lombardy and Veneto), stretching between the Caspian and Black Seas, in the Caucasus region of Stavropol, near the territories today martyred by the Chechnya war. . . .

Starting from the collapse of the Berlin Wall, if the "Food