Racism of Gore Campaign Exposed!

by Nancy Spannaus

The late-April filing of a document by the Gore 2000 campaign, in an attempt to prevent the certification of 23 "uncommitted" delegates and 11 "uncommitted" alternates duly elected in the Loudoun County, Virginia Democratic Presidential Caucus on April 15, finally provides hard-core proof of what the LaRouche Presidential campaign has asserted all along: The Gore campaign is behind the racist move by the Democratic National Committee to nullify the Voting Rights Act.

From August 1999 on, attorneys for the Democratic National Committee (DNC), led by its lawyer Jack Keeney, Jr., have sought to nullify the Voting Rights Act of 1965, in pursuit of their objective of keeping longtime Democratic Party Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche off the ballot. Just as Keeney hoped, the Stone Age majority on the U.S. Supreme Court on March 27, affirmed the Democratic Party's right to act as a private club, and effectively nullify the Act.

Hiding behind the robes of the racist Supreme Court, and the DNC, the Virginia Democratic Party — among other state Democratic parties — proceeded to bar LaRouche from a position on the ballot in this year's Presidential caucuses. As a result, LaRouche supporters filed "uncommitted" slates, under protest, and won over 60 delegates statewide.

Even this was too much for the Gore campaign, however. In Michigan, Gore's supporters had used fascist thug tactics against LaRouche supporters in the caucuses. In Virginia Beach, the Gore campaign prevailed upon local leaders to literally *throw out* the "uncommitted" delegate submissions of more than 60 people, which the LaRouche campaign had submitted. In Loudoun County, where LaRouche lives, the local Democrats let the election go ahead, with the result that the LaRouche supporters won 36% of the vote.

So, the Gore campaign decided to directly copy the tactic of the Hitler plebiscite, such as the one held in Austria in 1938. Then, the Nazis, using terror, simply removed the opponents of Hitler from the voting rolls, so that he could win an overwhelming victory. Now, the Gore campaign has moved to "disqualify" the opposition—"uncommitted"—so that Gore can get a 100% victory in the caucuses. The Gore campaign has come out in the open in Loudoun County in support of the racist policy of nullification of the Voting Rights Act—on behalf of the national Gore campaign.

Of course, Al Gore is unelectable as President. His sweep

of the Democratic primaries and caucuses, especially in the face of the crashing financial markets, is a virtual guarantee of the election of George W. Bush.

Now that Gore's racism is exposed, are Virginia Democrats going to continue to go along with his fascist thug tactics? Are they ready to commit political suicide once again? Do they really think that Wall Street's Al Gore is going to defend them against the brunt of the financial collapse?

The Loudoun Caper

The exposure of the Gore campaign came with the filing of an appeal by the Loudoun County co-chairs of Democrats for Gore, David Whitmer and John Flannery, to the outcome of the April 15 local caucuses. The appeal seeks to replace all of the elected "uncommitted" delegates to the 10th Congressional District, and State, Conventions of the Democratic Party, on the excuse that they were "fraudulently" elected.

The appeal was clearly directed from the national Gore campaign, which had a leading official, Paul Sweet, on the scene during the vote in Loudoun. Sweet was visibly in shock about the number of LaRouche supporters who came out to vote, and was constantly repairing to his cell phone, and giving instructions to former Loudoun chair Whitmer, who proceeded to take pictures of LaRouche supporters organizing and observing the election process.

The brief puts no daylight between the position of the racist Supreme Court majority, and the Gore campaign's position. From the start, it argues that "private associational rights" for the Democratic Party against membership by "undesirables," outweigh Constitutional considerations. The Supreme Court's decision to let stand the ruling of Stone Age Judge David Sentelle (which said that the Democratic National Committee, and state committees acting under its directions, need not comply with the pre-clearance procedures of the Voting Rights Act of 1965), is embraced approvingly as justification for the exclusionary practice.

Unlike many local Democrats, Whitmer and Flannery go whole hog in kissing the feet of the DNC racists, even including DNC chairman Joe Andrew's preposterous, lying determination that LaRouche is "racist" and "anti-Semitic," in their justification for exclusion. That they know this to be a lie, which is challenged by leading African-Americans, among others, is shown by their reference to the call for inclusion of LaRouche on the Virginia ballot, which was made by prominent African-American Virginia Delegate William P. Robinson.

Gore apparently cannot stand the thought of LaRouche's supporters having even one delegate at a District Convention where resolutions are considered, and where the "Anybody But Gore" sentiment is likely to be increasingly dominant. Like a typical fascist thug, as he showed in his abusive behavior against Malaysian Prime Minister Mahathir bin Mohamad in November 1998, Gore doesn't tolerate anyone in his way. Is this what the "Democrats" really want?

66 National EIR May 5, 2000