Editorial

Wen Ho Lee Is Free: But The Nation?

With hyperinflation and financial blowout looming, we confront the two meanest, dumbest candidates for President in the past century—each the simple creature of Wall Street's and the City of London's financial policies and view of mankind. Now, we are shockingly reminded how weakened and shaken the Presidency itself has already become.

This week President Clinton has been shown to be directly opposed by Al "Whore" Gore over media and video-game violence, and child violence; and directly opposed by Attorney General Janet Reno over the disgraced, disgraceful prosecution of physicist Wen Ho Lee.

Reno does not answer to the President, in reality, but to the FBI and the Justice Department's permanent bureaucracy, exemplified by Wall Street's Jack Keeney and Mark Richard, and other DOJ political attack-dogs who go back to the reign of J. Edgar Hoover. She publicly defended as "fine," the prosecution which the President, on the same day, admitted was a "disturbing" political frameup of Lee, on which he, the President, had been hornswoggled for a year. "I always had reservations about the claims that were being made denying him bail," Clinton said. "... One day he's a terrible risk to national security, and the next day they're making a plea agreement for an offense far more modest than what had been alleged."

Judge James Parker, in setting free Dr. Lee after nine months in solitary confinement, was much more blunt, saying that the Executive branch had "embarrassed America and its citizens" with the persecution of Wen Ho Lee. Judge Parker told Lee, "with great sadness, that I feel I was led astray last December by the Executive branch of our government through its Department of Justice, by its Federal Bureau of Investigation, and by its U.S. Attorney for the district of New Mexico."

Now it is completely exposed that Dr. Lee did *not* commit espionage, or threaten in any way the security of the United States of which he is a citizen, and that the Justice Department knew, throughout, that there was

no evidence he had done so. Now those Congressional Republicans who, last year, were howling for the hide of Dr. Lee and other "foreign spies in the national laboratories," will make grandiose and lying attacks on the President for the failed political frameup they themselves demanded and Wall Street's FBI Director, Louis Freeh, shamelessly executed.

Al "Whore" Gore has been caught, again, in exactly the same kind of attack on the President, the Presidency, and "America and its citizens."

You heard Gore claiming that he—not Bill or Hillary Clinton—was the greatest protector of American children from media violence, and taking credit for the Federal Trade Commission's devastating new report on the subject. But the truth immediately surfaced: Gore has repeatedly promised his Hollywood-billionaire backers his total compliance on this crucial issue. He made his own wife crawl before Hollywood moguls on the subject. Even after the Littleton, Colorado massacre by teenagers addicted to Satanic video games financed by those moguls, Gore bucked the President and First Lady and tried to prevent the Federal Trade Commission from producing its report. This week, Al "Whore" and Joe Lieberman will collect another \$8 million or so from the same Hollywood purveyors of porn and violence to children.

Let everyone who has complained for years about the failings of this Baby Boomer President, learn again what Lyndon LaRouche has repeatedly warned throughout his own campaign for the Presidency. You are the ones who have been hornswoggled. Since the 1998 drive to impeach President Clinton, his ability to-99 determine strategic or domestic policy for the nation, has been drastically weakened in the face of the snake-like behavior and policies of Gore's backers, and of the Justice Department and FBI. Still less, is Clinton prepared to face the hyperinflationary financial blowout looming during the last months of his Presidency. That depends upon the leadership of LaRouche, and of LaRouche Democrats in Congress; it depends on you.

EIR September 22, 2000