

Therefore, I read the libellous editorial thrashing of Sr. Ferrer with an appreciation of the desperate nature of the situation which apparently motivates his reckless disregard for truth. Nonetheless, it remains an act in reckless disregard for truth.

The libellous aspect of the attack on me in your publication, is concentrated in the three following paragraphs, following the indicated sub-heading.

### ‘Source of Inspiration’

“What was most surprising about her [Cong. Elisa Carrió’s] speech—as *Ámbito Financiero* wrote last Monday—was her source of inspiration. LaRouche is a shady leader of the U.S. extreme right-wing, whose bizarre style and racist harangues, similar to neo-Nazi groups, have placed him on the fringes of his country’s political life.

“Imprisoned for several years for fraud and tax evasion, LaRouche filed suit before U.S. courts and the media at the end of the 1980s, in which he accused Wall Street, the ‘Jewish banks,’ ‘Washington politicians,’ and international communism, of conspiring against him, and boycotting his run for the Presidency of the United States. For decades, he has been running for President in any election there was, as a Democratic Party pre-candidate in the Southern states, or as an independent.

“His writings focus on a supposed conspiracy originating in Babylon, whose natural goal was to dominate the world, and which is carried out by ‘Judeo-Masonic’ lodges. The primary agents of this millenarian plan, would be the British Crown (the primary target of LaRouche’s denunciations), Wall Street, and the Washington bureaucracy. His constant allegations of the strangest conspiracies, published in scandal-sheet media, has earned him a certain unusual notoriety. Among other things, he has charged that the Oklahoma City attack was organized by the Queen of England and that the Ku Klux Klan is in reality the armed wing of the B’nai B’rith.”

In his diatribe, Sr. Ferrer makes explicit reference to my website, to which any responsible publication would have gained access, prior to blindly repeating simple lies about my person and policies. The documentation of proof that those paragraphs are predominantly false, was amply available to Sr. Ferrer, had he any efficient desire to write truthfully. Therefore, since he states falsehoods concerning me which he should have known to be false, he has proceeded in reckless disregard for truth.

However, he has aggravated his libellous behavior, by putting himself, perhaps unwittingly, on the side of the most dangerous and powerful fascists rampant in the world today, the circles of that H. Smith-Richardson Foundation who sponsored the primary circulation of the specific set of falsehoods which Sr. Ferrer has recklessly adopted. H. Smith-Richardson is the principal funding conduit for that circle of so-called utopians, such as the infamous Samuel P. Huntington, who

have proposed to redirect the military policies and strategic objectives of the U.S. into practices modelled upon those of the Nazi Waffen-SS.

It happens, that all of my principal U.S. adversaries in the U.S. political and military establishment, including the major print and broadcast media associated with those circles, are part of the same faction in the U.S. associated with the H. Smith-Richardson Foundation. This is also the faction which has been crushing the states of South and Central America, over more than thirty years, especially since 1982, through the monetary and financial policies imposed under that same floating-exchange-rate system. It should be sufficient to compare the rank of Argentina’s economy over the course of the past century, and, most emphatically, since 1982, to see how the peso was driven down into its presently perilous situation.

I am a friend of Argentina. Sr. Ferrer has insulted a friend of his nation. More responsible offices in *Ámbito Financiero* owe me, and also the people of Argentina, a public apology.

—Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

## LaRouche: Argentina Must Be Allowed To Reorganize

*During his Jan. 24 webcast, U.S. Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche had the following exchange with a prominent judge from Argentina:*

**Q:** Good afternoon, Mr. LaRouche. . . . I’m interested in asking you the following: Since you know about the crisis situation, which my country is going through at this time—where we are facing a great moral crisis, as a result of the lack of credibility of the political and other institutions of the country. And another crisis—an economic crisis—as a result of the greed of the international and national bankers. I see you know about this, because I’ve been listening very carefully to your words today. This situation has placed us at the edge of a national explosion, and is threatening the very national tranquillity, the national peace, in our country. I would like to ask you the following, based on your vast world experience, in the following sense: What are the economic measures that could be implemented today, so that the present government of our country can manage to calm the state of excitation in the country? Because the freedom and the tranquillity of my country is greatly endangered at this time.

**LaRouche:** Okay. Well, first of all, we have a bankrupt system. The bankruptcy was imposed by the IMF and related institutions. So, the “person” who caused the bankruptcy is largely the IMF system, in its post-1971 form; that can be

easily documented. That's *fact*.

The bankruptcy was aggravated by putting in a man with the curious name of *Cavallo*—which I would translate as *Cabala*—who played a key role in aggravating the damage beyond belief, with his administration and similar administrations. But essentially, the guilty party, is, essentially, the IMF system, in the way the floating-exchange-rate system was administered in respect to the countries of Central and South America. And, Argentina was a special target for destruction, because Argentina was, in the last century, at various points, third- and fourth-ranking in the world in standard of living, productivity, and so forth. Despite all smears, it was a great economy. And, therefore, that is an insult to those in North America, who think that South Americans have to be stupid and incapable. Therefore, Argentina is a striking example of what a country in South America is, potentially. And it's hated for that reason.

But, the essential thing comes back—the same, basic problem: The IMF *caused* the bankruptcy of Argentina. A bankruptcy, which presently, *if the present conditions are enforced, Argentina will be biologically destroyed, as a nation!* And, maybe in a horrible way.

So, therefore, if there is any perception, of a principle of natural law, operating in the minds of the people of the United States—in the President's, and so forth—the point is: Argentina must be given the liberty to reorganize itself in bankruptcy, in the necessary way. That would mean: number one, the creation of a new currency, outside the existing system, and with no ties, or obligations, to the existing system. The currency would be largely used to ensure domestic security, economic security, and so forth. You would have to accompany this, with a series of very strict, protectionist measures. And you would have to get into a complex of trading agreements—long term and medium term—with adjoining countries, such as Brazil (you have some facilities for this, already), and others.

And there must be a general understanding, that Argentina must be given the opportunity to work through such an agreement. We can no longer be concerned, with those who are responsible for administering the destruction of a nation. That is a crime! A moral crime! If you impose upon a nation, policies which bankrupt it, which threaten its people biologically, which threaten genocidal effects, then your financial claims, on account of that system, *have no moral authority, per se*. Therefore, your claims are subject to reexamination, from the standpoint of bankruptcy proceeding, under the principle of the General Welfare.

So, nations must take a view that the principle of the General Welfare must be applied to the bankruptcy of Argentina. That Argentina must declare itself bankrupt, and declare that its government is taking, as a sovereign agency, responsibility for reorganizing its affairs. And seeks the cooperation of other sovereign countries; and bringing things into a durable

order, in the medium to long term. As part of that, Argentina requires a new, independent currency, not contaminated by the corruption of any of the previous currencies. And, therefore, things should stand at that.

But, the context in which that decision should be made, also has to be considered. *The entire system is bankrupt!* Argentina is not an isolated case: *The entire system—including the United States—is bankrupt!* Including Europe, is bankrupt! Including Africa, is more than bankrupt! The only non-bankrupt nations are a few in Asia, like China, and India, and so forth. They're not bankrupt. But, the rest of the world, is, actually, essentially, bankrupt—as a Japan bankruptcy would show, in terms of the United States. So, therefore, since we're all in a bankrupt system, what we have to think about is the set of rules, under which we reorganize ourselves in bankruptcy, in order to create a recovery from this bankrupt condition. Any bankruptcy. In the case of Argentina, we must apply that general policy-perception to Argentina, and say, "Go ahead, Argentina. We will now cooperate with you, in *your* bankruptcy reorganization. Go ahead: Create a new currency; manage it well; take whatever protectionist measures you have to do; discuss it with us; and we will try to work out ways to cooperate with you, in the recovery of your economy."

That is the only way, in which this thing can be approached.

## LaRouche on Argentine Nationwide Radio, For National Bank Strategy

*U.S. Democratic Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche was interviewed by Argentina's Radio Nacional, on its "1110" program, on Jan. 29. Radio Nacional broadcasts throughout the country, and over the Internet. The full interview follows:*

**Q:** Some of the media, including *Ambito Financiero* and others, have characterized you as being in the ultra-right-wing. In the case of Argentina, you're proposing the formation of a National Bank. Could you say exactly how that would work?

**LaRouche:** Well, this is based on the same principle as proposed by the first U.S. Treasury Secretary, Alexander Hamilton. That, contrary to most Twentieth-Century U.S. practice under the Federal Reserve System, the U.S. Constitution prescribes that the Congress has the unique sovereign responsibility to authorize the issue of currency by the Executive branch of government; that is, by the President. Now,