Editorial

Antidote to the 'Get Saudi' Madness

The Washington furor over the revelation of Richard Perle's Defense Policy Board's drive to organize an attack on Saudi Arabia, confirms *EIR*'s published "case study" of July 19.

The March 2002 international support for Saudi Arabian Crown Prince Abdullah's peace proposal, triggered a desperate response from the neo-conservative utopians and right-wing Jabotinskyites inside the Anglo/American/Israeli combination. Instead of pursuing peace, these maniacs escalated their campaign for a complete U.S. break with Saudi Arabia, a destabilization, a campaign of villification, and indeed, even a war by the United States against the ruling House of Saud if necessary.

The most explicit rejection was spelled out by Max Singer, a radical Malthusian and one of the heads of the Hudson Institute, which is now at the forefront in the drive against Saudi Arabia. On May 9, in an article for the *Jerusalem Post*, "Free the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia," Singer wrote, "It is well within the power of the United States to make it possible for the EP (Eastern Province) to become . . . a new Moslem Republic of East Arabia."

Singer's May 9 article was hysterical against "the 'peace plan' of Crown Prince Abdullah [which] has put the Saudi Kingdom at the center of Middle Eastern diplomacy." That "peace plan," and Saudi Arabia itself, have to be broken up, he demanded, with a military operation that takes back "the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia, which lies along the shore of the Arabian Gulf and which contains all of Saudi Arabia's oil fields."

As of yet, no official of the Bush Administration "molehill" has dared to publicly espouse this policy in his or her own name. However, the Hudson Institute, which Singer founded, has been upgraded in influence, and is a sign of that dangerous—and treasonous—current inside the administration. Conrad Black, the British Commonwealth's billionaire magnate who owns the Hollinger Corp., the London *Daily Telegraph*, and the *Jerusalem Post*, joined the Hudson Institute board, as did the leading mole in the Bush Administration, Richard Perle, chairman of the Pentagon's Defense Policy Board.

Fragmenting and conquering the Arab/Muslim world has been a goal of this geopolitical faction for which Henry Kissinger, Zbigniew Brzezinski, and Princeton Professor Bernard Lewis are leading spokesmen, and Saudi Arabia stands in their way. As both a longtime ally of the United States, and home of Mecca, the religious center of Islam, it has the capability of becoming a force for peace between the Palestinians and Israelis—and it opposes attacking Iraq. Crown Prince Abdullah's plan has made his nation a target for destruction by political circles whose theories call for a perpetual religious war.

In effect, since Sept. 11, there has been a parallel, simultaneous trans-Atlantic attack by the Anglo-American utopians, and their allies in Israel and Europe, against Saudi Arabia and also against Egypt, the other country which can play the immediate productive role in achieving Middle East peace.

Richard Perle, asset of the British Empire's Hollinger Corp. media empire, came out publicly as early as Nov. 2, 2001, on Washington's WTOP news radio, accusing the Saudi royal family of spending "billions of dollars on mosques and schools around the world that preach hatred" of the United States. Neo-conservative leader William Kristol's *Weekly Standard* also began, last November, a drumbeat that Saudi Arabia is no true friend of the United States, and "in the event of a [radical] upheaval in Saudi Arabia, we [the United States] will take control, protect, and run the Kingdom's oil fields."

The antidote exists, and is the one defined by Lyndon LaRouche, who has laid out the solution with clarity and persistence. To stop the war drive, there must be two elements: First, the *delusion* of the well-being of the world financial system must be broken through. It is the oligarchy's desperation to keep that delusion going that drives their march to global war. Second, LaRouche has uniquely said that placing the blame on Osama bin Laden and Islamic terror for Sept. 11 is a *fraud*. Instead, the Clash of Civilizations cabal and their conspiracy is what is behind the terrorism.

The LaRouche antidote is the only hope that Saudi Arabia does not become another "case study" in the Anglo-American perpetual war.

64 Editorial EIR August 16, 2002