Editorial

The Battle Among the Democrats

While some might think that the fight for who will be the Democratic Party nominee in the 2004 Presidential elections is "over," in fact, there is a raging battle going on for the soul of the Democratic Party, which will determine its future. The question is the following: Will the Democratic Party break from the suicidal policy of silencing and containing Presidential candidate Lyndon LaRouche; or will the party allow itself to be captured by a group of outright Schachtian fascist bankers, grouped around the person of Felix Rohatyn of the Lazard Brothers Bank?

Rohatyn, a leading light of the Democratic Party, is currently seeking to determine the policy of "frontrunner John Kerry" for his own Schachtian policies. These policies, like those which the same Felix the Fixer imposed on New York City in the mid-1970s, call for imposing draconian austerity on the U.S. population, in order to save the power, and much of the assets of the bankers.

Rohatyn's firm, Lazard Frères, has a long history of promoting the Synarchist agenda of Schachtian fascism and war, especially in the 1930s and 1940s. It was Lazard Paris that helped launch the Banque Worms financial operations that were at the heart of the Synarchist takeover of France. After the American declaration of war against Hitler, Lazard agents penetrated the Gaullist resistance, and captured key posts in the postwar French government's ministries. Andre Meyer was a key player in these events, allied with the Synarchist Harriman and Dillon Reed interests in the United States. Andre Meyer hand-picked Felix Rohatyn as his protégé, and made Rohatyn's career.

If John Kerry is listening to Rohatyn, or to his friend Ted Kennedy under conditions that Kennedy is listening to Rohatyn, it will be a disaster of the first order.

The alternative tradition of the Democratic Party is that of Franklin Delano Roosevelt, which is represented today by LaRouche, the recognized nemesis of the Synarchist bankers, and their economist apologists, for more than 30 years.

The conflict was joined as long ago as December

1971, when LaRouche had a formal debate with Keynesian economist Abba Lerner at Queens College in New York City. When pressed by LaRouche on the fact that his "academic" economic policy recommendations would require the imposition of a fascist dictatorship, Lerner blurted out an endorsement of the economist who had set up the Nazi looting program, Hjalmar Schacht. "If the Germans had listened to Schacht, Hitler would not have been necessary," Lerner said, thereby exposing himself to all the students in the room as an advocate of fascist policies.

Since that time, the top financiers have put out orders that LaRouche is not to be given the recognition of being debated. But that has not saved them from the impact of LaRouche's consistent organizing against Schachtian fascism. In the period of 1971-75, LaRouche came close to putting together a combination of nations, and of political leaders, who would have enforced a debt moratorium, and blown apart the genocidal austerity schemes that were being imposed by such agencies as the IMF, internationally, and Big Mac and the Emergency Financial Control Board in New York City. Felix Rohatyn has undoubtedly not forgotten these political battles; and today, with the world financial system hanging by the proverbial thread, he is all the more determined that LaRouche's solution be kept off the table.

This conflict will have to be decided by the time of the Boston Democratic Party Convention. A party dominated by Rohatyn will lead the Democrats toward a new program of budget cuts and national destruction—sold as being "fairer" than President Bush's, but disastrous just the same. A party which has opened up to LaRouche, and his discussion of an economic alternative, can lead the Democrats into once again taking on the role of FDR, with his commitment to using the government, and government credit, to promote the general welfare and prosperity again.

Right now, the battle of LaRouche vs. Rohatyn is occurring behind the scenes. It's time to bring it into the open, for the sake of the party and the nation.

72 Editorial EIR March 19, 2004