Editorial

How Did That Lunatic Bush Become President of the United States?

As Lyndon LaRouche's recent documents, presaging the upcoming historic Sept. 6 webcast, indicate, we have entered a period of profound crisis, a break point, in which the decision to *change axioms* away from globalization, and back to those of FDR, are urgently needed to be taken in the immediate period ahead. To present LaRouche's alternative, however, requires focus on the long-wave axiomatic issues—not distractions, such as short-term news, or popular "issues."

The only way to understand the current situation is to go *outside* the facts: Ask how they came into existence. For example, how did the certifiable lunatic, George W. Bush, become President of the United States?

The answer is at least a century long: Someone, notably the Anglo-Dutch financier faction, wanted to *destroy* the United States. They wanted to replace the era of the sovereign nation-state with that of globalization, and that meant that they *had* to destroy the United States, which FDR had brought back into existence as a sovereign nation-state. They knew, and know, that a sovereign United States was the necessary instrument for replacing their neo-imperialism with a community of principle among nations.

Those who put George W. in power *knew* that they could only destroy the United States as a sovereign republic by corruption. So they put a psychotic in the Presidency! They knew he was psychotic—it's nothing new. But they wanted to have a nut in the White House, *in order to destroy the United States!* You can see it clearly if you look at the record from 2001 forward; everything the Bush-Cheney Administration has done has been to destroy the United States.

To really understand the process, of course, you have to go back much further. Take it back to the assassination of McKinley, who was the last President in the tradition of Lincoln, and therefore was taken out. After McKinley, the U.S. was subjected to a horror show—two raving Confederate Presidents!—and it was only the election of FDR which broke the process, and revived the American republican tradition.

But, as LaRouche elaborated in his recent paper

on "Dynamics & Economy," and others, the historical process has to be understood in a much longer wave. The fight goes back to the one which raged in Classical Greece, and the United States, in its constitutional character (not as it actually functions today), represents the continuation of the Solon tradition. To understand the situation today requires having that historical curvature in mind, and addressing the issue of principle that must be decided today.

In fact, it's the issue of Republic vs. Oligarchy, Sphaerics vs. Aristotelianism, the pursuit of Truth vs. Sophistry. We need a *systemic* change, to ensure that the human race survives, *in time*.

There is clear evidence that circles of influence are moving to get Bush out of the Presidency; that decisions have been made at a high level to do so. This is reflected by the play which the Scarborough story, among others, is getting. Leading British circles are moving to put a *cordon sanitaire* around the Bush Administration for the time being, because it's such a disaster. And if Bush is taken out, it would be relatively easy to get rid of Cheney—on the basis of the pattern of his being an accomplice to Bush's impeachable crimes, as well as his controller.

But the crucial issue is to focus on the *global change* in axioms which is required, and inspire people to join LaRouche in the fight. Little improvements here and there, add up to nothing. The crucial question is the systemic issue, of the axioms upon which the economic and financial system is organized—in the same way that LaRouche addressed that issue with the SDI and the Food for Peace program back in the 1980s. People can be inspired on this issue—but the breakthroughs have to be made *in time*.

At this moment, there is no stabilizing factor which can be counted on to prevent nutty Bush, or Cheney, from ordering a strike on Iran, or other acts that will precipitate nuclear-tipped asymmetric warfare. Such a factor must be created now, around the positive alternative of the FDR approach. Listen to LaRouche on Sept. 6, and learn how.

88 Editorial EIR September 1, 2006