Editorial

The Real Battle Lines

Democrats who hated Al Gore over the last few years, because of his contemptible embrace of globalism and austerity—not to mention his treatment of President Bill Clinton—have all of a sudden had a change of heart. Why, now Al is against the war! (An apparent big change from 1990-91, of course.) Well, now Al is a celebrity motivated by the desire to "save the Earth" from global warming! How could anyone go against Al Gore?

To those who feel enough conscience to know they must confront the truth, we say: Look again! Look at the philosophy from which Al Gore is proceeding, Look at the consequences of the policies which he proposes. Listen to Lyndon LaRouche.

It's not always possible to encapsulate the philosophical outlook of an individual from a few sentences, but in Gore's case, we can do so, because they cohere precisely with the desired outcome of his "ecological" program. Read these sentences from the author's introduction to the second edition of his book, *Earth in the Balance: Ecology and the Human Spirit*, published in 2000:

"None of our measures will fully succeed unless we finally achieve population stabilization—one of the most important environmental challenges of all. An overcrowded world is inevitably a polluted one."

And if that's not enough to give you the idea that Gore thinks the world is over-populated, look at the fact that during his recent presentations on Global Warming, Gore presents the long-wave chart on world population growth as "proof" that the world is headed for disaster. Not surprisingly, this is the very same chart which physical economist LaRouche uses to demonstrate the dramatic progress which mankind made coming out of the Italian Renaissance, which is when the leap in growth occurred.

There's an axiomatic problem here—and it's with Al Gore. Gore believes, as his entire Baby Boomer generation has been brainwashed to believe, that "people pollute," that "people are destroying the Earth," that we have to *stop* population growth on this planet. Naturally, along with that, he rules out using those very technological advances, especially nuclear power, which the *cur*-

rent human race requires in order to live a life worthy of human dignity, and to progress. Because the reality is that, if you rule out those technologies, the Earth won't be able to support the current population, much less the billions still to be born. You are consigning people to a living hell.

Of course, as LaRouche indicates in his leading article in this issue, Gore did not come up with this idea by himself. He is acting "on script," as is much of the rest of his generation—the very Democrats who have to act in the Congress to save this nation! That script was written by the likes of Lord Bertrand Russell, who, in his infamous 1951 fraud *The Impact of Science on Society*, wrote:

"At present the population of the world is increasing at about 58,000 per diem. War, so far, has had no very great effect on this increase, which continued throughout each of the world wars. . . . War . . . has hitherto been disappointing in this respect . . . but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could spread throughout the world once in every generation, survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full. . . . The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of it?"

Do you find Russell's point of view inhuman? If you do, then you have to face the fact that Global Warming crusaders like Al Gore, are not only relying on scientific fraud, but that they represent the same anti-human outlook, that is totally alien to everything which this republic stands for. It is the oligarchy which defines man as a polluter and a nuisance, within an irrational entropic universe which is to be placated, but never understood.

On the other side is the view of great scientists like Cusa and Kepler, and great statesmen like FDR and LaRouche, who understand that the human mind's unique, immortal power is to participate in the unfolding of creation—of *developing* the Earth for the benefit of all mankind, and future generations. This is the point of view to which America's Founding Fathers oriented—and it's the only one which will save us from disaster today. It's the point of view that sees man as creative, not as another mouth to feed.

Think Human! Reject Al Gore!

64 Editorial EIR March 9, 2007