Editorial

The Only 'Alternate' Energy—Nuclear

Part of the perfidy of the British-puppet Obama Administration in its response to the criminality of British Petroleum in the Gulf of Mexico, is the use of the disaster to press for the President's "green" agenda. Every environmentalist neurotic, or cultist, has begun jumping up and down, demanding that the U.S. abandon oil drilling as much as possible, and adopt a "carbon control" agenda, including larcenous stupidities like cap 'n' trade, windmills, and Solar power. And the President himself has insisted that his "alternate energy" agenda be adopted immediately.

As usual, Obama is lying. And so are the energy "experts" who are pushing for his green energy program. There is only one rational direction for U.S. energy policy to take, and that is a crash program of building nuclear fission plants, while developing the even-more-productive nuclear fusion technology as rapidly as possible.

Why nuclear power? Because, as a source of power, it represents an increase in the intensity of energy production per unit area—energy flux-density—and thus signifies an increase in man's power over nature, and in his productivity. A nuclear power plant is inherently more efficient than any kind of coal or oil-burning plant, utilizing much less fuel to produce much more energy, and producing much less waste as well.

To put it in more popular terms, nuclear energy is cleaner and cheaper than any other source. Equally importantly, it permits the world to make up for the huge deficit in energy production which now condemns billions of people to a life unworthy of human beings—specifically without the source of cheap electric power which allows man to have clean water, a clean environment, refrig-

eration, modern transportation, and lighting. This deficit must be overcome if we are *all* to survive.

Americans were once well-aware of the necessity to develop nuclear power. It took the cancerous growth of the "environmentalist" and "zero growth" movements, amplified by financial warfare, to suppress this commitment to progress. Those movements, by the way, were heavily funded, and promoted, by the British monarchy and associated imperial institutions that run the very BP which is despoiling our Gulf of Mexico.

As we reported earlier this year, the United States, Europe, and the rest of the Western Hemisphere will consign themselves to the caves, if they keep rejecting nuclear energy. By contrast, the nations of Eurasia, led by China, South Korea, India, and Russia, are engaged in a rapid expansion of nuclear power plant construction. As of January of this year, Asian nations were engaged in the construction of 43 nuclear plants, while the entire rest of the world was constructing only 12. Of these nuclear plants, *one* is in the United States, which was once the unquestioned leader in nuclear development.

It's time to cry "Enough!" While we should not stop drilling oil and mining coal, these technologies should be rapidly shifted toward producing petrochemicals, and magnetohydrodynamics, respectively. Dozens of nuclear plants could be put immediately into production, at locations where they were once planned. New generations of nuclear plants, even safer, could be brought on line as well.

The only pathway back to progress for our nation, and the world, goes through nuclear energy. Let's dump Obama, and take it.

56 Editorial EIR June 18, 2010