

LaRouche Movement Derails Stockholm Genocide Meeting


by Portia Tarumbwa-Strid

Stockholm, May 20—A vile symposium took place in Stockholm May 16-19, which openly advocated genocide on a global scale. A more suitable name for it would have been a “Global Symposium for Sustainable Fascism,” since none other than Hans Joachim Schellnhuber¹ himself would give the opening speech. But not everything would go according to plan.

A group of nine LaRouche activists surprised the organizers of the event by standing in front of the conference venue to enlighten every participant about the real intentions behind the event. The words “What Schellnhuber does not wish to know: Human life is sacred!” were written on a large banner displayed directly opposite to the entrance of the Swedish Royal Academy of Sciences. And the organizers of the event had to watch with horror as a colorful group of protesters distributed leaflets attacking their green ideology (see *Documentation*).

Schellnhuber himself was one of the first people to show up. As he rushed up the stairs, he was confronted with the pointed question of whether he thought his proposals as a consultant to the German government would not implement a genocide worse than Hitler’s on a global scale. How would history judge him? Schellnhuber responded with icy silence.

His companion, however, was so provoked by a picket-sign saying, “Lesson number one from Copenhagen: The Third World rejects CO₂ colonialism!” that



BuSo-TV

Portia Tarumbwa-Strid leads an intervention in Stockholm against a symposium of Nobel Laureates, whose keynote speaker was the world’s leading eco-fascist, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber.

he stayed behind, feeling compelled to defend the whole event. He turned out to be Johan Rockström, the chairman of the symposium, and his weak defense of fascism was sung to the tune of helping the Third World by forcing the rich countries to reduce their energy consumption so that poor countries could increase theirs. The counter-argument, that nuclear power would make massive population reduction in developed nations “unnecessary,” induced him to end the discussion abruptly, and run up the stairs. He kept repeating, “You’re on the wrong side!” while in mid-flight.

But more celebrities were arriving, and the next people to be confronted with the truth were Nobel Laureate Nadine Gordimer and her companion from South Africa. Their immediate reaction was to take offense

1. For the full story on Schellnhuber, see *EIR*, May 13, 2011.

and approach the group of protesters holding a picket-sign reading: "Humans against global green fascism!" "So we are green fascists, are we?" the man asked sarcastically. What ensued was a short but lively discussion on the scientific incompetence of the theory of man-made climate change. While Gordimer was quickly whisked away by a nervous organizer of the event and did not participate in the discussion, her companion became more and more enraged by the possibility that man could know the truth about anything.

The journalists observing all of this with growing interest had, by this time, glanced through the leaflet, and started taking pictures of the banner, asking questions about the point we wanted to get across. One reporter from the Chinese press agency Xinhua knew the LaRouche Movement from attending a diplomatic seminar in 2009. An American reporter interviewed Hussein Askary, head of the LaRouche Movement in Sweden, and wrote a short article, which appeared on the AFP website shortly afterwards.

To Sign, or Not To Sign?

The highlight of the intervention was the arrival of the other Nobel Laureates, who had to queue up in order to receive our leaflet, entitled, "Stop Global Eco-Fascism!" Plunged into a sharply polarized situation, some reacted by simply raising their eyebrows and filing on into the conference building, while others could not refrain from demanding to know what it was all about. "Poor Schellnhuber," one of them sighed, stealing a glance at the banner. "Do not sign the Memorandum!" we warned them. "Its policies will condemn up to 6 billion people to death."

What was meant by this was well-known to each participant. The so-called "Stockholm Memorandum"² was to be signed by all attendees at the end of the proceedings. There would be neither public discussion, nor open debate about the evil content of the Memorandum, allowing us to come to the conclusion that nothing other than a conspiracy among oligarchs was planned. The fact that it was then to be handed over to the UN Secretary-General's high-level panel on global sustainability meant that suddenly this deal would become official UN policy. So much for democracy!

Only one participant was brave enough to refuse to

sign the Memorandum before the event: Hans Blix, the former head of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), who told AFP that, "Fukushima is a bump in the road and will also lead to a further strengthening of the safety of nuclear power."

An important item on the agenda was indeed population reduction, and, fittingly, the bust of Anders Retzius was prominently displayed in all its glory at the foot of the driveway to the conference; the same Anders Retzius who founded eugenics in Sweden!

A Nobel Laureate from the U.S.A. could not believe that this monument was still being openly displayed long after the Second World War, until he had seen it with his own eyes. This was a prelude to a loud discussion about this very ugly period in Swedish history, which attracted other passers-by to join in.


Naturally, this did not go by unnoticed by the organizers of the event. They sent a furious delegation to approach us, and to threaten to call the police if we did not swiftly disappear from the premises. Sweden's crown princess Victoria was expected, and it simply would not do to pester her royal highness. Fortunately, the police were of a different opinion and, in light of the fact that the royal family is constitutionally forbidden to interfere in politics, we greeted her with that message.

Anders Wykman, vice president of the Club of Rome, drove up, as did most of the other greenies, in an enormous carbon-guzzling vehicle. At first he attempted to avoid us, probably due to the fact that, on a previous encounter, we had handed him a noose, so that he might come one step closer to fulfilling his vision of a world of only 500 million people. At that time, instead of hanging himself on the spot, he began physically attacking one of our organizers.

This time, we simply asked why he had left his bicycle at home today, instead of polluting the air with CO₂. This was too much for him; he turned menacingly, with the likely intention of immediately ridding the world of a few LaRouche activists. The police presence was the only thing that stood in his way.

The duration of the conference was spent intersecting the other events connected with the symposium in the days that followed. Our banners served to remind the participants shortly before their evening concert, or outside the Royal Drama Theater, or again at the Royal Academy of Sciences, that we would not let them get away with implementing Schellnhuber's fascist ideas of the British Empire without putting up a fight.

2. For the full report, see: <http://globalsymposium2011.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/Memorandum-180511pdf.pdf>



This banner provoked numerous alarmed reactions among attendees to the symposium, and also, interest among the international press.

In the end, the Nobel Laureates refused to subscribe to the clause signing away nuclear and fossil fuel energies, and some of the guest speakers on the panels agreed to engage in discussions with our organizers, hopefully shedding their fear of asking some critical questions

about the intent behind this closed-door session.

However, the Memorandum did include a section titled “Reducing Human Pressures,” which calls for population reduction under such code words as “reproductive health services,” which, in UN lingo, means such things as contraceptives, abortion, and sterilization, voluntary or otherwise. “Such measures are important in their own right but will also reduce birth rates,” it states. It is notable that, in 1982, the Nobel Prize for Medicine was awarded to the Swedish inventors of the abortion pill, Sune K. Bergström, Bengt I. Samuelsson, and John R. Vane.

The most important outcome will be, however, what you, dear reader, will do to support our efforts to suppress global green fascism. It is not too late to stop these ideas from being implemented, if we summon up the courage to expose them.