

WWF at 50: Genocide Is the Top Priority

by Nancy Spannaus

Sept. 16—The British oligarchy’s plan for exterminating a large portion of the human race in the name of “overpopulation,” is just as alive and well as it was when that oligarchy sponsored a young Austrian by the name of Adolf Hitler in the 1920s. Their intentions remain on display in one of their favorite institutions, the satanic World Wildlife Fund.

The WWF is virtually the private plantation of the British royal family, which carries forward the tradition of rabid genocidalists Julian Huxley, Bertrand Russell, and Thomas Malthus. Their basic belief, repeated *ad nauseam* for the last 200-plus years, is that man is just another animal, which must be managed and culled like any other herd of beasts, by (as Bertrand Russell put it) “methods which are disgusting even if they are necessary.”

The latest estimate by these Satanists, put forward explicitly by such entities as Optimum Population Trust, and other groups less so, is that the “carrying capacity” of the Earth requires that mankind’s numbers be reduced from the current 7 billion or so, to between 1 and 2 billion. They demand that we forget the most fundamental truth of human existence in the Biosphere—our increasing mastery over nature through revolutions in energy-flux density, leading to progress—and that we reduce our numbers, especially among the more numerous “non-white” races (Russell, again).

You don’t believe it? Look at a recent (March 2011) event at the British Royal Society for Arts and Science (RSA), presided over by WWF co-founder and international president emeritus, Prince Philip, consort to Queen Elizabeth II of England, and keynoted by Sir David Attenborough, a leading “naturalist” in this milieu.

Attenborough’s speech was a lying diatribe against human population growth—allegedly in defense of endangered species (other than human beings. He apparently has never heard of previous mass extinctions, or has ignored them.) Declaring himself a full believer in the totally discredited genocidal calculus of British



Creative Commons/Foreign and Commonwealth Office

Sir David Attenborough follows in the footsteps of earlier British imperial genocidalists, including Thomas Malthus and Bertrand Russell, who rail against “overpopulation”—especially of the “inferior races.”

East India Company employee Thomas Malthus, Attenborough proceeded to paraphrase genocidalist Bertrand Russell, saying that if we can’t get people to reduce their numbers “voluntarily” (by birth control or abortion), they will die en masse through famine, war, and disease. (Such death is of course a matter of willful design, since as the means to prevent such deaths are readily available, and being suppressed.)

Attenborough, the “naturalist,” stated: “We now realize that the disasters that continue increasingly to afflict the natural world have one element that connects them all—the unprecedented increase in the number of human beings on this planet,” as Malthus warned. But no one proposes the necessary measures to curb human population, which makes every problem worse.

“Why this strange silence?... There seems to be some bizarre taboo around the subject [Perhaps he forgets that Hitler made “population control” a rather touchy subject?—ed.]... There are over 100 countries whose combinations of numbers and affluence have already pushed them past the sustainable level... It is tragic that the only current population policies in developed countries are, perversely, attempting to increase their birth rate, in order to look after the grow-

ing number of old people. The notion of ever more old people needing ever more young people, who will in turn grow old and need even more young people, and so on, ad infinitum, is an obvious ecological Ponzi scheme.”

Attenborough calls “the one glimmer of hope,” that “wherever women have the vote, are literate, and can control the number of children they bear, the birth rate falls. In Kerala, India, all these factors come together to produce 1.7 births per woman; in India as a whole, the fertility rate is 2.8 births per woman. But compare that with the Catholic Philippines, where it is 3.3. . . .”

Each of us must “break the taboo, in private and in public. . . . Wherever and whenever we speak of the environment—add a few words to ensure that the population element is not ignored. If you are a member of a relevant NGO, invite them to acknowledge it. If you belong to a church—and especially if you are a Catholic—because its doctrine on contraception is a major factor in this problem, suggest they consider the *ethical* issues involved. . . . If you have contacts in government, ask why the growth of our population which affects every department is yet no one’s responsibility. Big, empty Australia has appointed a Sustainable Population Minister, so why can’t small, crowded Britain?”

Prince Philip, the president of the RSA for 59 years, corrected Attenborough, to the effect that the first major environmentalist organization was *not* the WWF; it was the IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature). The scientific side of it knew the population problem, but didn’t have money and an organizing capability. “They came by to see me,” Philip explained, and we decided to form the WWF. Would I lead it?

I said no, because “I was already president of another international organization,” Philip explained, “and I told them to go see Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands.” (Prince Bernhard, the co-founder with Philip of the WWF, was a member of the Nazi Party who was forced to resign from it, in order to hold onto his title. How appropriate.)

Philip then recounted a revealing anecdote. “I had only one argument with Peter Scott [the naturalist who nominally headed the WWF—ed.]. He said to me, ‘We must promote conservation for the benefit of people. We must get people interested.’” I said to him, “I don’t think you’re right. We must look after animals for their own sake, not for our sake, so that people can have parks and go to look at them. If that happens, people

will put their own interests first.’”


Philip himself, who spilled the beans to Deutsche Presse Agentur in August 1988, declaring, “In the event I am reborn, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation,” has contributed his share to populating the Earth. One of his offspring, Prince Charles, has thrown himself whole-hog into the campaign for genocide, attacking modern agricultural methods, the demand for economic growth and progress, and population growth.

On Sept. 8, Charles took over his father’s original position as president of the World Wildlife Fund UK. He took the occasion to pick up the issue recently featured by LaRouchePAC, warning of a “sixth great extinction event.” His solution to this danger, of course, is quite the opposite of a human one: reduce “demands” on the planet by reducing the number of human beings.

More to the point was Charles’ quip that he felt attracted to the WWF because he felt that he himself was part of an “endangered species.” As the heir to the British Royal Pestilence, out to decimate the human race, he should be.

An LPAC-TV Video

Life, Liberty, And the Pursuit of Happiness



*In honor of
Abraham Lincoln
on the
150th anniversary
of the beginning of
the Civil War.*

Before the the American Declaration of Independence and the U.S. Constitution, there was the brilliant project of the 15th-Century genius Nicholas of Cusa to found a new world, away from the imperial oppression of the European oligarchy. The result was the founding of the American Republic and its long struggle against British Empire, through the victory in the Civil War under Lincoln, and today’s battle to defeat the Empire and its puppet President Obama.

www.larouchepac.com/node/18653