Obama: The Queen's Own Lunatic

by Nancy Spannaus

May 10—Lyndon LaRouche, the strategist who immediately picked up on the British intent to provoke thermonuclear confrontation with the powers of Asia, especially Russia and China, if they did not capitulate to the British anti-sovereignty agenda after the assassination of Muammar Qaddafi, has been adamant: If the decision is made by the United States to go to war against the Russians, it will be made not by the Joint Chiefs of Staff, or President Barack Obama, but by the British imperial controllers of the U.S. President. The fact is, La-

Rouche says, that Obama is a de facto British puppet, whose own insane Nero complex has been used by his sponsors and controllers to carry out one imperial policy after another. If his controllers order him to push the nuclear button, he will do so.

This reality puts extraordinary urgency behind LaRouche's demand that Obama be removed from power immediately, through the application of Section 4 of the 25th Amendment, or impeachment, as the only insurance that such a global war will not be launched.

There are a growing number of Americans, especially prominent ones, and international figures, who have caught on to the fact that the "Nobel Peace Prize

winner" Barack Obama is committed to a war policy, despite whatever balderdash comes out of his mouth, and they have gone into action to try to deter such action. But most have a great deal of difficulty in under-



White House/Lawrence Jackson In April 2009, the Obamas made a high-profile trip to London, where they met with Queen Elizabeth II at Buckingham Palace, and were honored with a gala State Banquet (shown here). The Obamas' obsequious behavior was widely noted.



White House/Pete Souza

standing, or can't understand at all, that the real source of the problem is not the sick Obama, but the British Empire—and that this Empire *controls* the U.S. President.

LaRouche's assertion, of course, cannot be proven in the traditional Sherlock Holmesstyle way, by pointing to check stubs, or electrodes, or the like.

The crucial evidence lies in the question of *mindset*, specifically the oligarchical mindset which characterizes the British oligarchy that runs the international financial system today, its junior partners on Wall Street,

20 National **EIR** May 18, 2012

and a frighteningly large number of people in the U.S. "political class," not to mention the personal mindset and personality of an Obama who has been thoroughly diagnosed, by LaRouche and psychological experts such as Sam Vaknin, as a Nero-like personality and "malignant narcissist."

But valuable aids toward understanding the British oligarchical control over this American President (by no means the first time such control has taken effect) can be found in the public domain. For it was the City of London crowd which picked up Obama and helped steamroll his victory over the Hillary Clinton they hated and feared; and it was this same crowd which developed the genocidal, even Hitlerian, policies which the Obama Administration has carried out to a tee; and this same British grouping, from former Prime Minister Tony Blair, up to and including the Queen and her evil Prince Consort, which has stood by Obama's side every step of the way.

Who Crowned Obama President?

Barack Obama, a first-term U.S. Senator from Illinois, was indeed considered an improbable Presidential candidate for the 2008 elections. The frontrunner by all accounts was Hillary Clinton, wife of former President Bill Clinton; she had a considerable national base within the Democratic Party, and experience on the national and international scene, and was expected to win. Obama had little experience, but much ambition, and a reputation for being a compelling speaker, based on his speech at the 2004 Democratic Convention. He had also written an acclaimed biography entitled *Dreams of My Father*, first published in 1995, and reissued in 2004.

London's hand first emerges publicly in 2004, in the person of **George Soros.** Soros, as *EIR* has thoroughly proven, may have an American passport, but he is a British agent.

In 2004, "former" Rothschild employee turned hedge-fund bandit Soros held a fundraising cocktail party in his New York Fifth Avenue apartment for guest of honor Barack Obama, who was running for the State Senate in Illinois. Obama won, and within a couple of years, turned to higher ambitions, starting with the U.S. Senate. Again, Soros played a sponsoring role, holding a meeting in Manhattan on Aug. 2, 2007, where a dozen

of New York's top Democratic contributors met Obama, and his Presidential campaign was effectively launched.

The role of Soros as an agent of top levels of the British oligarchy should not be underestimated. As elaborated in EIR's 1997 Special Report "The True Story of Soros the Golem," and further elaborated in LPAC's 2008 pamphlet "Your Enemy, George Soros," George Soros has been a highly protected operative of the British financial oligarchy since the 1950s. His major conduit was the Quantum Fund, which operated out of the Netherlands Antilles, and carried out massive financial speculation, much of which has gained international attention, such as his 1992 speculation against the British and Italian currencies which wrecked the European Exchange Rate Mechanism. He has been charged with financial fraud in a number of nations, and has occasionally been convicted (as in France).

But in the United States, Soros is known as a "philanthropist," funder of causes such as drug decriminalization (read: legalization) and the right to die, and, more recently, of social networking and "democracy" movements such as MoveOn.org. These were the networks which, along with the big financial rollers—like Robert Wolf, head of United Bank of Switzerland-America—provided the core of alleged mass popular support for the Illinois parvenu, as he launched his Presidential campaign.

While Obama gave vague, promising speeches about "hope and change," the London crowd worked on the concrete policy questions. Among the most visible "friends" and advisors of Obama was Tony Blair, architect of the Iraq War that Obama ostensibly opposed, and of the policy of eliminating the principles of the Treaty of Westphalia, specifically, national sovereignty. Obama's top foreign policy advisors, Ivo Daalder of the Brookings Institution and Anthony Lake, signed on to the policy of the so-called Princton Project called the "Concert of Democracies," which laid out in 2008 a perspective for circumventing the United Nations "to provide a framework for organizing and legitimizing international interventions, including the use of military force."

Nor was it simply his advisors who embraced the British outlook. Obama himself, in a closed-door fundraising event held April 28, 2008—publicized by the *Guardian* and the *Telegraph*—declared that the U.S. relationship with Great Britain had to be "recalibrated" to make it more fair and equal for the British. "Full part-

May 18, 2012 EIR National 21

^{1.} See http://larouchepac.com/node/16343?page=6; and http://larouchepac.com/node/19464.







WEF/swiss-image.ch/Annette Boutellier Obama's "first friend" Tony Blair (left) is the monarchy's controller of the American President; hedge-fund bandit George Soros (above) carries a U.S. passport, but functions

as a British agent.

ners not only listen to each other, they also occasionally follow each other," Obama reportedly said in his telephone address. "And in some cases, we should follow London's, rather than Washington's lead on issues of foreign policy cooperation."

The fundraiser, by the way, was hosted by Elisabeth Murdoch, daughter of another leading British agent and media mogul, Rupert Murdoch. It netted \$400,000 for Obama's Presidential campaign.

Obama's overall fundraising success owed a lot to pulling in the young generation through online social networking websites such as MySpace, Bebo, and Facebook, the first two of which are traceably British, and all of which are perfect for good old-fashioned money-laundering. Add to that the top-down media bias toward Obama during the primary election campaign, and the thug operations run by the Obama campaign against the Clinton campaign, and the outcome was almost predetermined: Obama in a land-slide.

Policies Made in London

In several high-profile events immediately after his inauguration, President Obama made it clear that he was looking to Britain, and the Queen herself, for guidance on policy matters. First, at the Feb. 5, 2009 National Prayer Breakfast, sponsored by the ideologically British Fellowship Foundation, Obama appeared with Blair, to whom he referred as his unofficial "first friend," and whom he praised as an example of what "dedicated leadership can accomplish." Obama repeatedly chose to have tête-à-têtes with Blair rather with than Blair's rival, then the serving British Prime Minister, Gordon Brown. Where that relationship began is still shrouded in secrecy, but it continues to this day.

Then, on April 1, the Obamas made a high-profile trip to London, for the Group of Eight Summit, where they met with Queen Elizabeth II at Buckingham Palace, with deference broadly recognized as unbecoming to a President of the United States meeting a British Mon-

arch, and with celebrated embraces.

But, much more significant than these symbolic events, was the pattern of decisions on policy being made by the incoming Obama Administration, which can be clearly identified as "made in London," buttressed by the new President's political appointments. We take for granted that the reader understands that Obama's retaining of Wall Street operatives such as Tim Geithner and Larry Summers showed his fundamental commitment to the British-style banking system. Here we review the even more distinct British pedigree of policies and personnel.

A Note on Glass-Steagall

But first, let's briefly discuss one of the most significant policy issues to face the new President when he came into office, Glass-Steagall. At the time of the inauguration, the urgency of stopping the bailout process by implementing a replica of Franklin Roosevelt's Glass-Steagall bill was obvious. But Obama turned it down flat.

The man Obama appointed as National Economic Advisor, Larry Summers, obviously counseled him against renewing Glass-Steagall. Summers was the leading force in the Clinton Administration arguing for the repeal of bank separation, on the grounds that the U.S. banks had to go global. Concretely, that meant that the big Wall Street banks, like Goldman Sachs and AIG, set up their most rabid speculative operations directly

22 National EIR May 18, 2012

out of London. When they bet wrong, of course, they insisted on being bailed out, and Summers did not want to stop that.

The London role became even more direct in 2010, when two U.S. Senators, Maria Cantwell (D-Wash.) and John McCain (R-Ariz.) introduced an amendment to the Administration's financial reform bill, which would have reinstated the Glass-Steagall restrictions on the investment banks. As it came time for the amendment to be heard, in May of that year, it was clear that it would pass the Senate overwhelmingly. While the Obama Administration had assured Cantwell that the amendment would be considered, the President ultimately gave the word that it would not. The amendment died.

What had really happened? London had intervened with a direct order.

EIR received a report from a top American economist, who described a dinner he attended at the London School of Economics that Spring. When the conversation turned to Glass-Steagall, an official from the British Ministry of Finance shocked the American by saying that if the U.S. were to reinstate Glass-Steagall, it would be "viewed as a hostile act by Great Britain and by the nations of Europe." He added that someone from the British Foreign Office would be contacting his counterpart in the U.S. State Department to make this position clear.

Is it now clear who is calling the shots in the Obama Administration?

Start with Green Genocide

As soon as Obama took office, he rushed to declare his Administration's commitment to phony climate science and environmentalism, or, to be more precise, green genocide. None other than British Crown agent Tony Blair came to Washington in March 2009 to hold closed-door brainwashing seminars in preparation for the December UN International Climate Conference scheduled for Copenhagen in December, a conference dedicated to implementation of the British Monarchy's depopulation/deindustrialization agenda.

During the Presidential campaign, Obama had paid lip service to maintaining and expanding nuclear power. Now there was not a word about anything but "green" energy technologies—wind, solar, biomass—none of which can possibly provide enough power to support the current, much less growing, population at a human level. In tandem with this Obama commitment was his early appointment of his Science Advisor, **John Holdren**. Holdren was notorious for his joint writing projects with outright depopulation advocate Paul Ehrlich, with one of their articles advocating putting sterilization agents in the water supply. Clearly this was no bar to appointment by Barack Obama, who was faithfully following his British agenda. And Holdren has carried out that murderous agenda step by step, primarily by presiding over the dismantling of the core of the scientific capacity of the United States, most notably its manned space program.

Note that Holdren's close colleague Paul Ehrlich, author of the 1968 book *The Population Bomb*, gave an interview to the London *Guardian* on April 26, 2012, calling for a reduction of the world's population to 1.5 to 2 billion, an echo of the monarchy's radical population reduction plan. One has to ask: Will Holdren and Obama be far behind?

British Nazi Economics: Health

The most stunning example of Obama's adoption of the British agenda was in his policy on health care—slashing health care, that is. The new President's very first appointment showed that direction, and it got more explicit as the contours of his Administration's health-care "reform" took shape. And again, right in the middle of the actually Hitlerian policy was Tony Blair.

Obama's first relevant appointment was taken in the transition period, with the naming of **Peter R. Orzag** as head of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Orzag, a graduate of the London School of Economics in the 1990s, was a supporter of "behavioral economics," especially as applied to coercing physicians and patients to accept new medical practices which would *cut costs*. In June 2008, as head of the Congressional Budget Office, Orzag had been invited to give a presentation on his "how to cut health care" methods to the Cabinet of British Prime Minister Brown.

Orzag was preaching to the choir. For almost a decade earlier, under the prime-ministership of Obama's friend Blair, the British government had set up its own pioneering health-care-cutting institution, the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE), whose "health" policies proceeded from the same premise as that of Adolf Hitler: Resources are limited, so *don't* waste them on those with lives "not worthy to be lived." From this standpoint, NICE has a lengthy

May 18, 2012 EIR National 23

record of using statistics to make decisions as to what medications and treatments will be available to the British public through the National Health Service (NHS), and which will not. The resulting triage is a matter of public record.

It was precisely this model and philosophy which Obama, starting with his April 8 Executive Order mandating health-care reform, moved to implement.

It gets even more specific.

As the Obama Administration was going through the process of selling its health "reform," it directly brought in the chairman of NICE since its inception, Sir

Michael Rawlins. While not all the details of this collaboration are known, in April, from London, Rawlins made a video presentation to a Health Channel TV Summit on U.S. health-care policy. Time magazine interviewed him on March 27 on the thinking behind his proposals. We provide excerpts:

Time: Why is NICE needed? Shouldn't you get the drugs you need when you are sick, regardless of cost?

Rawlins: All health-care systems are facing the problem of finite resources and almost infinite demand.... We are best known [for looking] at a new drug, device or diagnostic technique to see whether the increment in the cost of that treatment is worth the increment in the health gain....

Time: How is that measured? Rawlins: It's based on the cost of a measure called the "qualityadjusted life year." A QALY scores your health on a scale from zero to one: zero if you're dead and one if you're in perfect health. You find out as a result of a treatment where a patient would move up the scale. If you do a hip replacement, the patient might start at 0.5 and go up to 0.7, improving 0.2. You can assume patients live

for an average of 15 years following hip replacements. And .2 times 15 equals three quality-adjusted life years. If the hip replacement costs 10,000 GBP [about



U.S. State Department





YouTube







Creative Commons

Obama has surrounded himself with a group of ghoulish advisors who are pushing the British Imperial agenda. Clockwise from top: Science Advisor John Holdren pushes depopulation, advocating placing sterilization agents in the water supply; Sir Michael Rawlins pushes the Nazi-like "quality-adjusted life year"; "behavioral economist" Peter Orzag, former OMB head, promotes murderous cuts in health care; Dr. Ezekiel Emanuel is associated with the Hastings Center euthanasia lobby; and Dr. Donald Berwick, an honorary Knight Commander of the British Empire, specializes in cutting health-care costs.

\$15,000] to do, it's 10,000 divided by three, which equals 3,333 GBP [about \$5,000]. That figure is the cost per QALY.

National EIR May 18, 2012 24

Rawlins was asked by the interviewer, "You are basically deciding how much a year of life is worth?" He agreed, admitting that this is "controversial," but saying it has to be done.

Need we provide any more evidence that this "health" policy is Hitler's policy, of determining by "cost-effectiveness" who should live, and who should die?

To add frosting to the cake, Obama and Orzag brought in another advocate of health-care rationing, **Dr. Ezekiel Emanuel**, as a Special Health-Care Advisor to Orzag. Emanuel's pedigree, despite all his protestations to the contrary, is established by his status as a leading member of the Hastings Center, home of one of the most aggressive euthanasia lobbies in the United States. Emanuel fully subscribes to the Hitlerian calculus described by Rawlins above.

It is unknowable how much consultation and collaboration went on between the Blair-British health-care slashers and the Obama Administration, in the latter's campaign to ram through the "Affordable Care Act." But Obama himself unabashedly signalled its pedigree when, in July of 2010, he made a recess appointment of the head of the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services, the institution which would be the enforcer of the Hitler health cuts, of **Dr. Donald Berwick.**

Berwick, who claims to be an American, is known for his programs to cut down "unnecessary treatment" in the U.S. health-care system. But he really won his spurs in Great Britain! Berwick had just been named an honorary Knight Commander of the British Empire by Queen Elizabeth, specifically for his role as consultant and advisor to the British National Health Service from 1996 to 2003. That was precisely the period when Blair had launched his Hitlerian NICE project, of which Berwick was an integral part.

Today, although almost all of these particular personnel have left their posts—some, like Emanuel and Berwick, under the most intense popular pressure because of their Nazi policies—Obama *has* established Blair's Nazi-modelled health system in the United States. This British agent has made Hitler health the law of the land.

British Imperialism, Blair-Style

The other major thread of British policy that runs through the Obama Administration and its history is located in foreign policy. The principal Obama appointee associated with this policy is the person he nominated as UN ambassador, **Susan B. Rice.** The distinctive characteristic of Rice's policy, and that of Obama personally, is a direct copy of that formulated by Tony Blair.

Blair, Her Majesty's Prime Minister from 1997 to 2006, is a British Fabian, who follows, as does the current British Monarchy, the policy of "liberal imperialism" pioneered by H.G. Wells and Bertrand Russell in the late 19th Century. Specifically, the "new imperialism" calls for destroying nation-states and national sovereignty through the spread of globalization and encouraging "competition," as well as strengthening supranational institutions, including military ones.

Blair spelled out the cornerstone of this modern British imperial foreign policy in April of 1999, in a speech to the World Affairs Council in Chicago on the 50th anniversary of NATO. He called for overriding national sovereignty in the name of "defending human rights" (today, called Responsibility to Protect, or R2P). Five years later, in a speech in Sedgefield, England, he explicitly declared that the era of the Treaty of Westphalia—the great document which brought an end to the Thirty Years War in 1648, by establishing the principle of national sovereignty based on caring for the "benefit of the other"—was over, and some nations had the obligation to impose their standards on others.

As is evident with the results of Blair's 2003 Iraq War, the result of such a policy is perpetual (and often religious) war.

In addition to Blair's direct interventions with President Obama—he's travelled to the U.S. innumerable times, often in secret—the key implementor of this policy has been Susan Rice. During the early days of the Obama Presidency, Rice had to contend with the countervailing influence of what has been called the Security Cabinet—Secretary of Defense Robert Gates, National Security Advisor James Jones, and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton—who formed a kind of bulwark against such insanity. Since the resignations of the two military men, however, Rice has been enabled to run amok.

Thus, we have had not only the counterproductive "surge" in Afghanistan, but also an escalating process toward imposing the R2P policy on locations ranging from Africa to the Middle East and Eastern Europe. In all these initiatives, Her Majesty's government—which now has a Conservative prime minister, but maintains the same new imperialist policy—has only had to pro-

May 18, 2012 EIR National 25





Susan Rice (left), Obama's UN Ambassador and a Rhodes scholar, has a strictly British pedigree, as seen in her imperial mindset toward Africa; while Samantha Power, a Special Advisor to the President, heads the Orwellian Atrocities Prevention Board, whose role is to justify preemptive wars.

vide support, rather than take the lead.

Rice has her own British pedigree as well. We quote from an EIR article from December 2009:

1990: A Rhodes Scholar, she received her PhD in International Relations from New College, Oxford.

1990: Awarded Royal Commonwealth Society's Walter Frewen Lord Prize for outstanding research in the field of Commonwealth History.

1992: Recipient of the first annual award given by the Royal Institute of International Affairs (Chatham House) and the British International Studies Association for the most distinguished dissertation in the U.K. in the field of international studies. Her dissertation, "The Commonwealth Initiative in Zimbabwe, 1979-1980: Implications for International Peacekeeping," praised the British peacekeeping transition, after the Empire engineered a 13-year war against the liberation of the people of Zimbabwe.

1993-95: Director for International Organizations and Peacekeeping at the National Security Council.

1995-97: Special Assistant to the President and Senior Director for African Affairs.

1997-2001: Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs. Many speculate that her mentor or godmother, Madeline Albright, who was then Secretary of State, was instrumental in securing this position. Albright is associated with the ideology of Zbigniew Brzezinski and, like her father, is a follower of the British Fabian H.G. Wells.

May 1999: Honored as the Bram Fischer Memorial Lecturer at Rhodes House, Oxford, while she was U.S. Assistant Secretary of State for African Affairs, Rice said how happy she was to be there: "To be at Rhodes House tonight with so many friends, benefactors, and mentors is a personal privilege. It is like a coming home for me, for much of what I know about Africa was discovered within these walls. refined at this great university, with generous support of the Rhodes Trust." (Cecil Rhodes was a leading Fabian-imperialist racist who, in the second half of the 19th Century, was deter-

mined to bring all Africa under the control of the British Empire.)

2002: Brookings Institution, Senior Fellow in the Foreign Policy and Global Economy Development program.

Rice's record at the United Nations speaks for itself. She is also flanked in her efforts by Samantha Power, a creature of the Soros networks who specializes in genocide "studies." Power now operates as a Special Advisor to Obama, as head of the Atrocities Prevention Board, the Administration's latest institution established for justifying preventive wars.

If Obama follows the same pathway as these two British agents, we are headed toward World War III.

Obama Must Go

LaRouche has often commented that it is as if Tony Blair were President of the United States; all he has to do is show up, and Barack Obama does what he's told. This is currently the case with the impending showdown with the Russians over Ballistic Missile Defense—and it's been true all along.

But if it weren't Blair, it would be some other British agent.

The truth is, that as long as Obama and this coterie occupy the Executive, the genocidal British monarchy will have its finger on the nuclear button, and control over the most powerful nation on Earth, for good or evil. The solution should be obvious.

National EIR May 18, 2012