

Nuclear War Madness Fueled by Visit Of Neo-Nazi Parubiy to Washington

by Jeffrey Steinberg

March 2—Andriy Parubiy, the Banderite fascist who was the commander of the Maidan fighters who overthrew Ukraine’s Yanukovich government in February 2014, and is now the deputy speaker of the Ukrainian Supreme Rada (parliament), was in Washington, D.C., last week, to press the Obama Administration to arm the Ukrainian Armed Forces for confrontation with Russia. According to his interview with the Ukraine division of Voice of America, he met with Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs Victoria Nuland; Speaker of the House John Boehner (R-Ohio); and Sen. John McCain (R-Ariz.), who chairs the Senate Armed Services Committee and has been agitating for confrontation with Russia.

Parubiy, who founded the neo-Nazi Social-National Party of Ukraine (the future “Svoboda”) in 1991, and the Ukrainian Patriot paramilitary organization, a future component of the Right Sector in 1999, is now a leader of the People’s Front, the political party of Prime Minister Arseniy Yatsenyuk. It was “Yats,” whom Nuland was caught pushing for the prime ministership, in an early 2014 phone call with U.S. Ambassador Geoffrey Pyatt.

The Parubiy visit was an even more disgusting embrace of literal neo-Nazis, than the silence of German officials, recently, when Yatsenyuk told a Berlin audience that Russia had “invaded” Ukraine and Germany in World War II. The insult of this Western kowtowing to Hitler apologists is compounded by the fact that this year is the 70th anniversary of the defeat of the Nazis, in which Russia played an indispensable role.

While the fragile ceasefire negotiated by the four Normandy Group heads of state (Russia’s Putin, Ukraine’s Poroshenko, Germany’s Merkel, and France’s Hollande) in Minsk in early February is largely holding, particularly on the side of the Lugansk and



Ukrainian Embassy in Washington

Ukraine’s deputy speaker of the parliament, Andriy Parubiy, a founder of the neo-Nazi Social-National Party of Ukraine, meets with Assistant Secretary of State Victoria Nuland, on Feb. 26, 2015, in Washington. Lyndon LaRouche commented that Nuland must be removed from office, if war between NATO and Russia is to be avoided.

Donetsk forces, the battalions of Nazi “volunteers” continue to reject the ceasefire altogether, and are setting up their own separate, parallel command structures to continue the fighting.

Limited Nuclear War?

Ukraine is one of several hair-trigger situations that could spark a much larger war. Lyndon LaRouche warned, in the context of the Parubiy visit to Washington, that the Nuland apparatus must be removed if war is to be averted.

Among some Western strategists, he said, including in the Obama Administration and in London, there is a

perverse belief that it is possible to provoke war in the heart of Eurasia, against Russia and China, without such a war spilling over into global conflict. LaRouche warned that some hardcore utopians believe that a limited nuclear strike could be launched against Russia or China, without triggering all-out thermonuclear retaliation.

“Nobody considers a thermonuclear war of extermination to be a desirable outcome or a viable strategy,” LaRouche said on Feb. 24. “But there are some who delude themselves into believing that a limited nuclear strike, targeted at Russia around the Ukraine crisis, for example, could be possible.” At the top of the British Establishment there is a powerful commitment to reduce the world population by as much as 80%. Among those circles, typified by Prince Philip, there is a conviction that a “limited” war against Russia and/or China could be confined to Eurasia, and avert a full-scale thermonuclear exchange between the United States and Russia, which would be an “extinction event.”

Indeed, ever since the 2002 U.S. Nuclear Posture Review, the doctrine of successive U.S. administrations has been Prompt Global Strike, a war-fighting doctrine which blurs the lines between nuclear and conventional war.

At the same time, the U.S. is modernizing its tactical nuclear weapons in Western Europe and Turkey to effectively convert them into intermediate range nuclear weapons. The new generation of B61-12 nuclear bombs will be more accurate than their predecessors, and have lower yields, as well as being forward-based closer to Russia. The underlying assumption is that such weapons could be used in limited warfare without triggering a strategic thermonuclear confrontation.

That dangerous folly was addressed March 1 by Gen.-Maj. Andrei Burbin, chief of the Central Command Post of the Strategic Nuclear Force, who denounced the doctrine of Prompt Global Strike, while warning that Russia has the capability to survive such attacks and carry out devastating retaliation (see accompanying article).

A similar U.S. war-fighting doctrine has been developed against China as well, under the name Air-Sea Battle (ASB) program, by which the United States will launch deep conventional strikes against China’s strategic forces. The Obama Administration is also pressuring South Korea to accept the deployment of U.S. THAAD missile defense systems, under the guise of protecting Seoul from the threat of North Korean nuclear attacks. Those THAAD systems would be of no

use against the North, but are part of a missile defense system aimed at China.

The Push for Arming Ukraine

Parubiy hit Washington following a trip to Canada, where he lobbied the government for improved surveillance aid, and to pressure Washington to provide lethal assistance.

In D.C., his private meetings were supplemented by a presentation at a conference on “Ukraine-U.S. Collaboration” sponsored by the U.S. government-funded National Democratic Institute and the Brookings Institution. In his talk, he swaggered that Ukraine, provided the right weaponry, could defeat Putin and “the Russian occupiers.”

Accompanying him in Washington was Mikheil Saakashvili, a wholly owned property of wartime Nazi collaborator George Soros and erstwhile President of Georgia, now posted to the Ukrainian government as head of its Advisory International Council on Reforms. Saakashvili’s message, published in an op-ed in the *Washington Post*, was that Ukraine was the “new Berlin,” where the West had to confront “Russian revanchism.”

Despite the fact that the Obama Administration has eschewed an official decision to arm Ukraine so far, there continues to be a flow of reports that such arming is already going on, or will go on through third parties. The Obama Administration has a history of using the Gulf Emirates, for example, to supply weapons to guerrilla groups engaged in the regime change campaigns being conducted by Washington. Among the nations involved—as documented by at least two United Nations investigations—was the United Arab Emirates, where Ukrainian President Petro Poroshenko announced last week that he was purchasing armaments; the UAE was one of the countries shown to have violated the UN arms embargo in order to supply the guerrillas in Libya in 2011—with the apparent connivance of both NATO and the Obama Administration (see *EIR*, March 21, 2014).

LaRouche stressed that by arming Ukraine, “Obama is actually supporting a thermonuclear war organized around terrorists, international terrorists. This is where the threat of wars is coming from. The United States is capable of supplying weapons, serious weapons, major weapons. But the United States does not want to be responsible for that process; they want to be the backup people.”

The Russians, however, are unlikely to be fooled.