III. The Foreign Intervention is British, not Russian

The British and Obama Never Gave Up on Rigging Our Election: Let's Stop Their Coup Now!

by Barbara Boyd

June 11—After viewing fired FBI Director James Comey's testimony on June 8, Lyndon LaRouche called upon the American people to immediately shut down the coup underway against President Trump. LaRouche said, "This is an FBI type operation to destroy the United States, and, if it is not stopped, the world will face general warfare."

On June 7, former Director of National Intelligence (DNI) James Clapper openly touted the *real reason* for the coup against Trump in an unhinged speech in Australia, granting full leave to his inner swine: Clapper

declared that Trump's unforgivable sin is the President's openness to collaborating with Putin and Russia and his refusal to back down on his campaign promise to end the Bush/Obama policy of perpetual wars, a key reason why he was elected.

Clapper ranted that it is in Putin's and Russia's "genes" to attack the United States. Since Trump has pursued better relations and shared intelligence with Russia on terrorism, Clapper raved, Watergate [where Richard Nixon committed proven crimes] paled in comparison to Russiagate [where both Clapper and



ourtesv Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

Director of National Intelligence James Clapper (center) testifies before the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Feb. 9. Clapper was accompanied by FBI Director James Comey (left), CIA Director John Brennan (right) and other top intelligence and security officials.

Comey have testified to date that the President has committed no crimes]. Clapper also told the Aussies to target China, accusing the Chinese, without any offer of proof, of meddling in Australia's elections. Comey backed Clapper in his testimony on June 8, attempting to wax eloquent in response to Senator Joe Manchin, about how Putin exists with one purpose in mind: to shred and dismember the United States.

In fact, according to James Comey's testimony, the President has not even been under FBI investigation, despite months of frantic and breathless media and congressional claims to the contrary. As we shall see here, the only actual crimes that have thus far been committed are those of Obama and his holdovers in the intelligence community who launched a coup against the President, at the behest of the

British, based on Trump's rejection of the British foreign policy to isolate and militarily encircle Russia and China, prevent the emergence of China's new paradigm for global economic development, and maintain the status of the Anglo-American imperialists as the world's sole hegemon, even if it means nuclear war. Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama had sworn allegiance to this London/Wall Street creed; Trump dumped all over it.

According to the "narrative" provided by the media to the American population, around June 2016, two weeks after Donald Trump was declared the Republican nominee, the Democratic National Committee (DNC) discovered that its computers had been "hacked." It immediately called in a private company, CrowdStrike, which declared the source of the attacks to be Russian, particularly *Russian state entities*.

On July 22, shortly before the Democratic Party convention, Wikileaks published internal Democratic National Committee documents, which showed that the DNC was openly conspiring to destroy the candidacy of Clinton's rival, Bernie Sanders. Barack Obama's DNC Chairman, Debbie Wasserman Shultz, was forced to resign over the ensuing scandal, along with other DNC employees.

In October, Wikileaks published emails from John Podesta, Hillary Clinton's campaign manager and the leader of the Center for American Progress, the "idea" factory for the Obama Administration. The Podesta emails elaborated on Hillary Clinton's fawning Wall Street speeches and the scummier financial dealings of the Clinton Foundation. They also showed that Donna



White House

Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton at an Obama-Clinton rally in Orlando, Florida on Oct. 20, 2008.

Brazile, a commentator for CNN and the then Vice-Chair of the Democratic Party, helped Hillary cheat in the CNN sponsored Presidential debates, by feeding her official debate questions beforehand.

Before proceeding to the whodunit part of our presentation, it is urgent to remind you that everything exposed by Wikileaks was truthful; the events exposed actually did happen.

In an obvious attempt to deflect from the damaging proof that Obama and Clinton were, in fact, rigging the election, and had functioned as the corrupt tools of Wall Street and the British, the Clinton campaign played the "Putin demon" black propaganda card. This was hardly a new gambit for either Obama or Clinton. Their dangerous propaganda campaign for war with Russia was already in high gear when the Wikileaks documents appeared. Most informed observers believe that if Hillary Clinton were elected, she would have immediately acted upon her bellicose rhetoric, putting the entire human race at risk in the process. Typical is the Washington Post signal opinion piece by the National Endowment for Democracy's Carl Gershman in October 2016, calling upon the establishment to "summon the will" to overthrow Putin. 1 This war drive began following Obama's coups in Libya and Ukraine, and Putin's responsive interventions in Crimea and Syria.²

^{1.} Gershman similarly signaled the impending coup in Ukraine, identifying it as the National Endowment for Democracy's "biggest prize," in a *Washington Post* article of September 26, 2013.

^{2.} One of the more amazing ironies of post World War II history finds so-called liberal Democrats, led by Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton,

I. Organizing To Overthrow the U.S. Presidency: the Police Blotter

There are several anomalies lurking beneath the surface in the media's official "narrative" about the alleged Russian hack attack.

- 1. It has never been established that whatever happened inside computers at the DNC or to John Podesta's gmail account had anything to do with the damning Wikileaks releases. Wikileaks has insisted that the releases are internal leaks, not hacks. No less than one Barack Obama has stated that this is a major hole in the "Putin did it" evidentiary scenario.
- 2. CrowdStrike is run by a violently anti-Putin Russian émigré, the Atlantic Council's Dmitri Alperovitch, and one George Kurtz. Kurtz is a personal computer security veteran who founded CrowdStrike as a special project of the longstanding Anglo-American investment entity known as Warburg Pincus. CrowdStrike has multiple security contracts with U.S. and foreign intelligence agencies. The FBI never examined the hacked computers, nor did anyone else in the intelligence community. Rather, they relied on forensics provided by CrowdStrike. Alperovitch alleged, shortly thereafter, that the same hacking gear used by the Russians to hack the DNC had also been used by the Russians to hack various guidance systems of Ukrainian government missiles in Ukraine, a claim that was instantaneously debunked by almost the entire international computer security community.
- 3. One of the alleged internal alarms at the DNC that there was something wrong with their computers, was the April 2016 report of staffer and consultant Alexandra Chalupa that her computer had been hacked. She was allegedly investigating the ties of then Trump campaign chairman Paul Manafort to Russia and Putin, working with "journalists" and intelligence officials in Ukraine to discredit Manafort and Trump. In other words, she was a Clinton opposition research consultant—in the vernacular, an "oppo" operative gathering intelligence against Hillary's rival for the presidency—working with *Ukrainian and other intelligence agencies*, who otherwise collaborate with MI6, the CIA, and with George Soros and the National Endowment for

Democracy's Project Democracy apparatus. Chalupa, a violently anti-Putin Ukrainian-American was using a Yahoo email account to conduct her very sensitive covert espionage. Yahoo has been repeatedly hacked by alleged "state actors" of various sorts since 2014.


- 4. So, Clinton's "oppo" efforts, massively funded by her political action committees, involved collaboration, even at this point, with active or former Anglo-American intelligence agents and foreign nation states, one of which, Ukraine, was in a self-declared state of war with Putin's Russia. The allegations concerning Chalupa's computer were initially used to fuel the bogus media campaign against Manafort, who was then Trump's campaign chairman. Manafort's alleged "sin" was that he, like Tony Podesta, John Podesta's brother, did public relations work for Victor Yanukovych, the duly elected President of Ukraine, and also lobbied, legally, for some Russian clients. Subsequent media attacks on Manafort, based on never proven "illicit Russian ties," forced his resignation from Trump's campaign. As previously noted, Yanukovych was overthrown in 2014 by Obama, in an illegal color revolution coup which used armed neo-Nazis as violent "special forces," to overturn a free, fair, and EU-certified election
- 5. The intelligence community initially didn't publicly buy into the Obama/Clinton "oppo" line about Russian interference in the elections and deep Trump ties to Russia. Clapper, for example, stated early on that he did not know "what all the hyperventilation is about." Clapper alluded to the fact that cyber-war is simply what state intelligence agencies do, the U.S. included. Clapper also knows that the U.S. has intervened to rig elections throughout the world, including Russia's elections, and was probably chary to open that door, absent significant preparation.3 So, after their initial Putin demon gambit landed with a public thud and put, ironically, war and peace squarely on the public's agenda, Clinton and Obama produced yet more new leaks from anonymous sources. They claimed that election systems in Illinois and Arizona had been hacked by the Russians, and that the Russians were the source of major hacks at media organizations, including the *New* York Times and CNN. These fake stories appeared and

engaging, since their Ukraine coup, in a McCarthyite extravaganza targeting Russia and Putin, which rivals that of the infamous Roy Cohn and Senator Joseph McCarthy. Actually, this is not irony at all; the social democrats have simply dropped their pretenses. No longer are we seeing "fascism with a democratic face." We are seeing fascism.

^{3.} Clapper looks like an imitation Yoda robot with extra-big ears. Recently he said, with respect to Trump and the Russians, that his "dashboard instrument warning lights were on." Where oh where are the great cartoonists of yesteryear?

disappeared from public view in short order.

6. In June 2016, however, at the same time that the DNC was first announcing that its computers had been hacked by the Russians, Christopher Steele, the former head of Britain's MI6 Russia desk, with very current connections to the highest level of the Queen's Secret Services, began producing the first of seventeen dossiers for the Clinton campaign's Russia smear operation against Trump. Steele had a longstanding prior relationship with James Comey's FBI, and had previously been paid for producing allegations against candidate Trump by Jeb Bush's



7. On July 5, 2016, Comey gave his now famous illicit press conference (in direct contravention of Justice Department rules and prosecutorial ethics regarding unindicted cases), closing the Hillary Clinton email investigation. Facts he disclosed in that press conference indicated that Clinton and/or her aides (who the Obama Justice Department immunized from prosecution) had not only abused classified material but had also obstructed justice, setting off an entirely predictable controversy in the midst of a presidential election. On July 10, 2016, Seth Rich, a DNC staffer involved in its computer operations and an avid supporter of Bernie Sanders, was murdered under suspicious circumstances near his home in Washington, D.C. Wikileaks has directly implied that Rich was one of its sources of the explosive DNC emails demonstrating that Clinton and Obama were rigging the election.

8. An FBI counterintelligence investigation, such as that directed at the Trump campaign, often involves secret FISA Court authorized surveillance which cannot be discussed under the law. The penalty is ten years in prison. These investigations can and often do also involve classified surveillance conducted pursuant to a



CC/Laurie Nevay-IMG

The MI6 Building at Vauxhall Cross, London. The building also houses the headquarters of the British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS).

different authority, Executive Order 12333. Intelligence officials are authorized to directly lie about even the existence of surveillance conducted pursuant to E.O. 12333, in order to protect "sources and methods." According to media reports about the Trump investigation, the FBI first submitted a warrant request to the FISA Court that was so overly broad that it was rejected. It was an invitation for a fishing expedition. A narrowed request, which focused on Carter Page, was accepted by the court and renewed on various occasions. Page was a walk-in foreign policy "volunteer" to the Trump campaign who previously served as an FBI source in a Russian spy ring investigation conducted in New York City in 2013. He also has publicly stated that he reports all his activities with Russians to the FBI and CIA. His repeated offers to testify before the Congressional committees involved in Russiagate have been rebuffed.

9. There was plenty more actual evidence to suggest that candidate Trump's skepticism about Russian hacking was entirely warranted. Former NSA whistle-blowers pointed out that if there was a Russian hack, the NSA would know about it, and immediately back the conclusion with evidence, *which it did not*. Wikileaks stated that its source for the documents was not Russia, but an insider, a whistle-blower. Former

^{4.} It is probable that this is why James Clapper was not prosecuted for perjury when he lied to Congress that American citizens were not subject to mass surveillance four months before the Edward Snowden revelations of same. The bulk of the surveillance occurs under E.O. 12333.



Aerial view of the GCHQ in Cheltenham, Gloucestershire.

U.S. intelligence professionals, including the NSA's William Binney and the CIA's Ray McGovern, provided a detailed report supporting Wikileaks' whistle-blower claims. During this period, numerous other computer security analysts pointed to flaws in Crowd-Strike's analysis. Among the more interesting were those noting that state security cyber-war typically relies on intercepts, rather than hacks—pointing, as an example, to the famous Russian recording of the Clinton State Department's Victoria Nuland dictating that her "Yats" would replace Yanukovych as Ukraine's leader, in a conversation which included her famous admonition to "fuck the EU." And, it has subsequently been revealed that John Brennan's CIA specialized in

The biggest and smelliest anomaly in the concocted media narrative however, is the London *Guardian* story of January 7, 2017, and a similar story in the *New York Times*, which noted that British intelligence, specifically GCHQ, "alarmed" about the Trump campaign's "pro-Russian" stance and contacts, tipped off U.S. intelligence that the DNC had been hacked, *back in Autumn of 2015*. If that is true, *Obama, the DNC, and the FBI knew about the alleged hacks months before revealing them* and, if you believe their own "narrative," did absolutely nothing about them.

false-flag hack operations to hide its own doings.

10. In October and November, 2016, a new escalation of he Trump/Putin demonization campaign began.

At the same time, FBI Director Comey reopened and then promptly closed the Clinton email investiga-

tion based on documents found by the New York Police Department on the personal computer of Anthony Weiner, the sex addict married to Clinton aide Huma Abedin. According to Comey, the FBI miraculously examined over 6,500 emails over the course of a few days and determined that nothing new had been discovered. Again, the FBI Director went completely outside established Justice Department protocols and rules in this election eve process.

With respect to Trump, Christopher Steele's dodgy and salacious dossiers were circulated from the Obama/Clinton

opposition research team, including claims that the President-elect engaged in perverse sexual acts while in Russia, and could be blackmailed by Putin as a result. This was accompanied by FBI Director Comey briefing the Group of Eight congressmen (those authorized to hear the nation's deepest secrets), about the FBI counterintelligence investigation of candidate Trump's campaign. These trusted congressmen, who are regularly blackmailed and neutered as a result of intelligence community surveillance, responded with entirely predictable leaks to the news media. Clinton and official Washington loudly campaigned with the claim that Trump was a "Manchurian candidate," a Putin puppet. By October 29, the untrustworthy Senator Harry Reid had gone before Congress to declare that the FBI was withholding devastating information about the Trump campaign's ties to Russia and that he had received classified briefings about the matter

11. In an apparent effort to influence the Electoral College vote⁵ following the election, the Obama Administration leaked a preliminary intelligence community "assessment" that the Russians had hacked the Democrats' computers and otherwise intervened to swing the election to Donald Trump. At the same time,

^{5.} Investigative reporter Robert Parry states that he was contacted by a source in July 2016 who said that Obama and his intelligence chiefs were conspiring to ensure that neither Clinton nor Trump would become President, both being viewed as unacceptable. They hoped that the Clinton email fiasco and Trump Russiagate would result in the emergence of a third choice acceptable to Obama and his spies.



the British intelligence dodgy dossiers were widely offered up to the news media, but had so little credibility that most media outlets, who bit on every hysterical morsel fed to them about Trump, refused to publish them.

12. On January 6, 2017, according to James Comey's June 8 congressional testimony, the intelligence

chiefs went to Trump Tower to present the Obama Administration's report on Russian hacking, hoping to persuade the skeptical Presidentelect to abandon his campaign promise for better relations with Putin and Russia. Following that briefing, in a pre-arranged move with the rest of Obama's intelligence directors, Comey cleared the room of everyone but himself and Trump. He presented Trump with the Steele dossier's most salacious allegation, namely that Trump had engaged in sexually perverse acts with Russian prostitutes while visiting Moscow,

and that Putin had taped it. This is exactly what the infamous J. Edgar Hoover did—blackmail Washington politicians with FBI dossiers, assuring them that he could protect them so long as they did as Hoover wished. In fact, Comey described this as a "moment" in answers to questions by Senator Susan Collins on June 8.6

13. Trump appears to have demanded that the entirely fake dossier be investigated, and did not budge about reversing his efforts to achieve better relations with Russia. In fact, Trump denounced the intelligence community publicly as acting like Nazis. He also denounced the McCarthyite hysteria they were generating. While Comey recorded the President-elect's re-

sponses on a classified computer after moments leaving him. Buzzfeed, which had frequently published raw Clinton/Obama "oppo" stories, published the initial June 2016 British/Clinton dodgy dossier in full. The U.S. intelligence community, particularly Obama's ghoulish grand inquisitor, CIA head John Brennan, proceeded to give it credibility by leaking that both Presidentelect Trump and President Obama had been briefed on its contents.

14. Publication of the Trump Russian sex allegations were accompanied by the Obama Adminis-

tration's public presentation of a fact-less "official intelligence community assessment" that the Russians hacked the DNC and Podesta, and that they did so to influence the election in favor of Donald Trump. This "assessment" was put together by analysts hand-picked by Brennan from the NSA, CIA, and FBI, with the NSA voicing only "moderate" confidence that the Russians hacked the election to favor Trump. This



J. Edgar Hoover

Sight," Consortium News, June 8, 2017.


^{6.} LaRouche was not the only person to see the parallel to J. Edgar Hoover. See Robert Parry, "Soft Coup' on Trump Hiding in Plain

conclusion was broadcast to the public without any presentation of actual evidence to back it up, and without any obvious reason for the Russians to recklessly provoke Clinton when they and everyone else thought she would be the next President of the United States. Rather than provide facts to back this "assessment" (John Brennan having subsequently explained to Congress and the public that he does not "do evidence"), the appendix to the official report is instead an attack on Russian press outlets, particularly RT, for successful "propaganda" efforts in the United States. As we shall see, this targeting, which seemed so McCarthyite and plainly weird at the time, was hardly accidental.

The Democrats, the news media, and their Republican allies, led by John McCain and Lindsey Graham, went berserk with the fact-less Obama Administration "assessment," demanding special prosecutors and congressional investigations, and sneering that "other shoes" were about to drop. Democratic Senator Mark Warner, his voice shaking, and looking in every respect like the overgrown adolescent that he is, solemnly declared that investigating and pimping these fact-less conclusions was the most important moment of his life. Other Democrats and allied media, like the *New York Times* 'Thomas Friedman, having clearly lost it, claimed that Russia had committed an "act of war," presumably seeking to invoke Article 5 of the NATO treaty.

15. On March 1, 2017, the *New York Times* revealed that Obama and his national security colleagues had spent the months after the election and prior to President Trump's inauguration dropping a trail of "leads" in official documents and leaking information, in the effort to de-legitimize Trump and to continue their policies against Russia and China.

There were two publicly known and extremely significant Obama Administration actions in this process. On December 24, 2016, Obama signed the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA), which includes the "Countering Foreign Propaganda and Disinformation Act." It aims to mobilize the entire government, U.S. media, academia, NGOs, and foreign partners and allies to "expose and counter" foreign propaganda and disinformation directed against U.S. national security



Former CIA Director John Brennan, testifying on alleged Russian involvement in the 2016 U.S. Presidential election.

interests, and "proactively advance fact-based narratives that support United States allies and interests." The primary target for this black-propaganda, fakenews offensive is the population of the United States. During the Reagan Administration, such activities were called Active Measures and, at least legally, confined to foreign targets.

The NDAA's incredible Orwellian assault on the First Amendment was preceded by a November 25, 2016 Washington Post story publishing the names of several media outlets, which it labeled to be Russian propaganda fronts. The list of media outlets smeared as Russian agents was provided by an anonymous group tied to the government and calling itself "Prop or Not." The list included not only RT and Sputnik, but also Consortium News, Breitbart, the Drudge Report, Truthout and other "left" critics of Obama, AntiWar.com, and the Ron Paul Institute. In short, just about everyone who had criticized the Obama/Clinton war drive against Russia⁷ was named, in McCarthyite fashion, as a Russian "propaganda front." Facebook and other social media outlets immediately launched initiatives to censor and curtail "fake news."

Obama complained bitterly throughout the election campaign that Americans had displayed a disturbing

^{7.} We have been told by a source that the only reason LaRouche was not on this public list was because entities associated with him had long been subject to active measures under E.O. 12333 by both Bush and Obama.



James Clapper, Obama's Director of National Intelligence, testifying May 8, 2017, on alleged Russian involvement in the 2016 U.S. Presidential election.

propensity to believe the Russians, rather than Obama, on issues of war and peace. This is hardly a shocking development based on *repeated and demonstrable lies* by his and like American administrations concerning the war in Viet Nam, 9/11, the war in Iraq, the war in Syria, the coup in Ukraine, the coup and assassination of Qaddafi in Libya, the terrorist assault on Benghazi, and the mass-surveillance state exposed by Edward Snowden. Based on Obama's bizarre public comments, it appears that he already knew that his administration's active measures program, described by Cass Sunstein as "cognitively infiltrating" the brains of domestic opponents, was simply not working.⁸

Then, on December 15, 2016, DNI James Clapper signed new procedures allowing the NSA to distribute raw intercept data throughout the entire intelligence community. These procedures became official on January 3, 2017, when Attorney General Loretta Lynch signed off on them. The revision had been in the works for over a year. At issue is modification of secret procedures under E.O. 12333, deemed by Edward Snowden and others as the most significant authority for our present, completely unconstitutional surveillance-state. Previously, the NSA was required to filter and redact information regarding U.S. citizens monitored in foreign counterintelligence activities. Thus, officials who have been leaking raw intercept data about Trump to

the national news media could claim some degree of immunity from prosecution under the combined legal impact of the NDAA and the revised E.O. 12333.

All of this dissemination was facilitated by a new cloud intelligence data platform accessible by all intelligence agencies and private contractors, engineered by Clapper and obliterating many paper and digital access trails and safeguards. As a result, searches for leakers became infinitely more difficult.

Most experts on the matter, including former NSA executive William Binney, former Ambassador Jack Matlock, and Colin Powell's former chief of staff, Colonel Lawrence Wilkerson, agree that the most likely source of the avalanche of

leaks concerning the Trump campaign transition and initial days in the White House are raw NSA intercepts generated under E.O. 12333 or intercepts by GCHQ, the NSA's British counterpart, which functions under E.O. 12333 without any of the constraints of U.S. law. Britain's GCHQ monitors the entire world's communications channels through the cables on which they pass under the Atlantic. The British press reports that the head of GCHQ appears to have been mysteriously fired right in the midst of the Trump-Russia contretemps.

Congressional investigations have also focused on another source for the leaks: the unmasking by Obama Administration officials of intercepts based on longstanding FISA surveillance targets, such as the Russians. Under FISA procedures, the identities of American citizens caught in otherwise authorized surveillance of foreign targets are to be masked, unless there is a well-articulated reason for unmasking them. It seems clear that Michael Flynn's pre-inauguration conversations with Russian Ambassador Kislyak, which led to Flynn's firing in February, were unmasked in this fashion by Comey's FBI. In April 2017, the FISA Court itself, in a scathing decision, castigated the Obama FBI and other agencies for practices which routinely exposed intercepts of the conversations of American citizens, in direct violation of the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

Various Justice Department and NSA Inspector General reports, and reports of DNI Clapper, state that Obama Administration officials unmasked Americans'

^{8.} See T. Papert, "Obama Aide Sunstein Outlines Plan to Suppress Opposition," *EIR*, May 11, 2012.



Gage Skidmore

United States Army Lieutenant General Michael Flynn (ret.), at a campaign rally in Arizona.

conversations with foreign targets at an alarming and unparalleled rate.

16. Following General Flynn's firing in February and a deluge of leaks of classified surveillance disclosing every interaction with the Russians and other transition team and national defense matters, on March 4, 2017, President Trump interrupted the entire fake media narrative by tweeting what had become obvious: that Obama had him "wiretapped" in Trump Tower prior to the election, and that what was happening to him reeked of McCarthyism. The media, which had been publishing allegations about FISA warrants and intercepts of Trump or his associates for months, erupted in what has to be one the largest and most shameless demonstrations of the Big Lie ever known. They declared that Trump was offering wild claims with no evidence, essentially circling back on their very own reporting and labeling it, "fake news."

As has been the case throughout the media's war on Trump, the Tweet was deconstructed to its most literal and bizarre potential meaning. The media focused on the literal term "wiretapped," declaring that the President was off his rocker and making unsubstantiated claims to the American public. By the media's bizarre rendition, the President meant that Barack Obama himself surreptitiously entered Trump Tower and placed a

physical tap on Trump's personal phones. In the resulting propaganda torrent, Trump's reasonable and truthful conclusion, that his presidential campaign and its associates were unlawfully surveilled by the Obama Administration and that he was a victim of McCarthyism, was deliberately obscured by a new daily fake news cycle. Presumably, the media hoped that the public had the attention span of a gnat and would simply forget the media's own claims which they, themselves, had relentlessly reported.

17. On March 20, 2017, former FBI Director Comey breathed new life into what was, by then, an operation against Trump that had begun to flag. People were simply tired of Democrats, like Adam Schiff, trying on McCarthyite tin-foil hats before TV cameras and pontificating about the outrage *du jour*. Comey, in testimony before the House Select Committee on In-

telligence, made it officially public, for the first time, that the FBI had been investigating collusion between the Trump campaign and Russian interference in the election since July 2016. He opined that the FBI counterintelligence investigation (which had been leaking like a sieve since its instigation in July, without producing any verifiable facts about either Russian interference or Trump campaign collusion in the same) could continue for many more months, if not years. He refused to say whether the President himself was under investigation, despite the fact that he had told the President this and had told Congress the same thing behind closed doors.

18. Despite the daily press instructions about events which the public must view as "scandalous," and highly publicized congressional hearings—concerning Russia! Russia! Russia!—all of President Obama's men, at this late date, had only managed to arrange the human sacrifice of Michael Flynn for lying to the Vice President about his conversations with the Russian am-

^{9.} Schiff looks every bit like a mixture between Charlie Brown and a Conehead, with the grasping and crazy personality of Lucy Van Pelt. As a prosecutor it took him three tries to convict the hapless former FBI agent Richard Miller of espionage, despite overwhelming and salacious evidence.

bassador in December. ¹⁰ They had also generated ethics, foreign intelligence registration, and tax questions about their other Trump campaign targets, typical of what happens when an entire life is put under a microscope in a dedicated search for something, anything, that could be construed feasibly as wrongdoing. Ask yourself, what have any of these people allegedly done? Spoken with the Russians? Talked about lifting sanctions imposed because Putin reacted to a coup Obama ran against the duly elected government of Ukraine? Lobbied on behalf of foreign governments? Really?

19. Up to this point, the congressional inquiries were also a national embarrassment, as British-controlled operatives droned on and on to straight-faced U.S. senators about how "thousands" of pesky Russian computer nerds had engineered Hillary Clinton's defeat by using bots to post negative but truthful news about Clinton on Americans' social media accounts. They claimed that this practice "weaponized" information: To wit, tiny bullets composed solely of facts had been aimed at the American collective mind, creating an alien result.¹¹

The actual testimony of Obama's intelligence officials was buried amidst these bizarre claims and other media hype. Each of them testified that there was absolutely no evidence of any Trump campaign collusion with Russian efforts to interfere in the U.S. elections. In fact, on March 15, 2017, Comey himself had told Senators Chuck Grassley and Diane Feinstein behind closed doors, that the President was not a target of his investigations, despite planted press stories to the contrary. Comey had otherwise continually stone-walled Grassley concerning the senator's persistent questions about the FBI's relationship to British operative Christopher Steele.

The headlines and conversation in the nation's heartland have increasingly mocked official Washington, popularly labeling Russiagate so much "bullshit," and more eloquently claiming it is an overheated and paranoid journey in search of a completely unarticulated and missing crime—sort of a repeat of Colonel Jack D. Ripper in the *Dr. Strangelove* movie obsessed with the idea that the Russians have somehow stolen "our precious bodily fluids." The rest of the world, aside from the Madhatter British, Germans, and French, and their controlled satraps, think this country has flipped its collective lid, finding the President, based on conversations with him, to be a very serious and concerned human being, someone they can finally talk to.

While unable to produce any saleable legal goods, the illicit investigations have significantly bogged down the President's political agenda, while fostering an increasingly toxic and divisive national political environment. The strategy of Obama and his British friends is clear: split cowardly Republicans away from Trump, whom they didn't really support in the first place. Hope that the President's silent majority remains exactly that—silent. Hope that some of the smelly stuff they are throwing up against the wall actually sticks. Distract, distract, distract the President and prevent him from working with Russia and China to develop the world, end wars, and implement the massive infrastructure and space exploration projects that will actually save our economy.

20. On May 3, 2017, Comey followed his March drama-queen performance before the House with even more theatrical speechifying before the Senate Judiciary Committee. He bloviated that despite the fact that his unprecedented disclosures and handling of the Clinton email investigation may have impacted the election. and made him nauseous, he, Mr. Eagle Scout and True Crime Detective rolled into one, would do the same thing all over again. He exaggerated the significance of the Anthony Weiner computer discovery by stating that it contained thousands of new Clinton emails, not previously produced, some of which were classified—a statement the FBI had to subsequently correct. He refused to state publicly that President Trump was not under investigation, despite repeatedly assuring the President of that, allowing the media and Democratic party color revolution to continue. He refused to confirm that there was any investigation into the torrent of illegal classified leaks at the center of the media cam-

31

^{10.} Flynn's scalping itself was the result of the unmasking of Flynn's name and illegal leaks of the same to the press as a result of classified surveillance. This fact was obliterated by sensational press coverage of the hyperventilated visit of Obama Assistant Attorney General Sally Yates to the White House to warn, nonsensically, that Flynn had been "compromised" by the Russians because he lied to the Vice-President. Exactly how this makes any sense at all we have not been told. As King Lear remarked, "it is a tale, told by an idiot, full of sound and fury, signifying nothing."

^{11.} Hillary Clinton recently rounded this out by claiming that voters had been bombed with "cognitive dissonance." The world awaits her details, which surely involve Putin renting a crop-duster plane, loading it with this dissonance, and dusting the entire former industrial heartland.

paign.

21. On May 9, President Trump fired Comey. The insurrection against the President now is supposed to enter a new phase, following a familiar Washington legal playbook. Absent any crime, an alleged coverup now is supposed to become the legal and media focus.

II. Who is James Comey?

From here, the story will only get stranger than it already has. Make no mistake about it, both Comey and newly appointed Special Prosecutor, Robert Mueller, viewed as "serious people" by official Washington and the treasonous media, are nothing but longstanding legal thugs now out to do the President in.

Comey's "straight arrow" reputation fantasy, puffed endlessly by the press, in-

volves Mueller and him facing down the Bush Administration in 2004 at the bedside of the gravely ill Attorney General John Ashcroft. The Bushies wanted reauthorization of the illegal NSA Steller Wind surveillance program. Then Bush Deputy Attorney General Comey and FBI Director Mueller prevented Bush's White House from getting Ashcroft's okay from his hospital bed.

To make sure everyone "got" just how incredibly courageous he had been, Comey arranged to retell the story at a Senate Judiciary hearing in May 2007, choreographing the entire episode with Democratic Senator Chuck Schumer and Schumer's then aide and Comey bro, Preet Bharara. Comey's dramatic rendition of events left out the fact that Stellar Wind was reauthorized, with some cosmetic legal changes, a few weeks after his visit to Ashcroft's hospital bed. Comey otherwise legally signed off on waterboarding, rendition, and other Bush war crimes, but now claims to have secretly opposed "torture" in internal Bush Administration deliberations.

After his stint at Main Justice, Comey spent five years as General Counsel for Lockheed Martin, the Pentagon's largest contractor. Many name Lockheed as a key Deep State player in Washington's military-cen-



fbi.gov

Former Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, James Comey.

tered scheme for national economic production. ¹² After five years at Lockheed, Comey spent three years at Bridgewater Associates, the largest hedge-fund in the world. Featuring a culture of New Age "complete transparency" between all of its managers and employees, coupled with Wall Street's brand of satanic social Darwinism, Bridgewater has been described as a cult by former employees. It recruits heavily from Ivy League colleges, with the addition of former spooks from the CIA and NSA.

After Bridgewater, and shortly before his appointment by Obama to be Director of the FBI, Comey joined the board of the notorious Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation (HSBC), the historic British Crown bank for drug-money laundering and intelligence operations. HSBC was created to finance Britain's opium war against China, and has functioned as a laundromat for British drug and other dirty money operations for more than a century. He joined HSBC after the Obama Justice Department signed a highly controversial and disgusting Deferred Prosecution Agreement (DPA) with the bank in December 2012

^{12.} See Mike Lofgren, The Deep State, Penguin, New York, 2016.

^{13.} See *Dope, Inc., The Book that Drove Kissinger Crazy*, EIR, Washington, D.C., 1992.

for multiple criminal acts involving drug and other illicit funds which critics rightly called a corrupt "slap on the wrist." Investigators rightly believed that HSBC officials should have been criminally prosecuted and the Bank should have been shut down. Comey was hired to help HSBC, involved in criminal activities for decades, appear to comply with the DPA and fend off the independent outside monitor policing compliance. Predictably the outside monitor reported to the Court in January 2015, that "historical cultural deficiencies continued to pervade HSBC's operations."

Following his firing, Comey and friends leaked to the press notes which he had allegedly taken following most of his encounters with the President. With each encounter, Comey's leaked account says, he returned to discuss what was said and its implications with a close circle of his FBI comrades. He prepared for each encounter with the President based on "murder boards" conducted by his FBI colleagues. In the course of their meetings, Comey says, the President asked for his loyalty, portraying this like the request of some mafia don in a bad Hollywood movie. If it happened, such a request, in the context of what appeared to be an open insurrection against the President by the intelligence community, is hardly surprising. The President denies that it happened.

On the day after the President fired Flynn, according to Comey, the President cleared the room and went oneon-one with him, expressing the "hope" that Comey could let the matter of Michael Flynn go. Comey whines that he took the President's "hope" as an "order" giving rise to concerns about possible obstruction of justice. This line of reasoning was thoroughly eviscerated by Senator James Risch on June 8, getting Comey to admit that Trump never ordered him, only expressed "hope," and that no prosecution that Comey knew of ever went forward based on someone expressing "hope" for something. While the President denies this ever happened, Harvard Law Professor Emeritus and famed trial lawyer Alan Dershowitz writes that the President would be fully within his legal and constitutional prerogatives to order Comey to back off from Flynn. He could have simply told Comey, legally, "I am going to pardon Flynn."

It should be clear that Comey was trying to set the President up, to entrap him, an escapade which was "crudely" interrupted when the President fired him. Comey confirmed as much in his Congressional testimony, telling Senator Susan Collins that the reason why he did not stop the President from improper interactions if he thought they were such, the reason he concealed the alleged improper and possibly illegal conduct from his superiors at the Justice Department, the reason he did not resign, was because his encounters with the President were of "investigative interest" to the FBI. Otherwise, Comey's leaks reveal a man so leery of even shaking the President's hand (or being photographed doing so) that once in January he tried to hide himself in the White House drapes in the hope that Trump would not see him.

The problem with this new soap opera, is that both Comey and his Assistant Andrew McCabe have previously testified, under oath, to Congress, that there was no pressure to end the FBI's investigations from anyone in the Trump Administration. And, Comey confirmed in his testimony that prior to his firing, Trump was not under investigation for collusion with Russia, obstruction, or any other offense. Further, Comey has proved that he is willing to violate professional norms and Justice Department regulations, if not laws, by leaking government documents. The question is, what else was leaked by Comey and his FBI circle?¹⁴

Perhaps the capper in the media leaks about Comey, however, is a *Washington Post* story claiming that Comey received a report from an FBI source in March 2016, stating that the Russians had email correspondence between Attorney General Loretta Lynch, Debra Wasserman Schultz, and another prominent Democratic fundraiser. In the alleged and probably non-existent email, Lynch provided assurances that the Clinton email investigation would not get far.

Despite the fact that Comey's own FBI concluded that the alleged email was fake and the FBI never got its hands on it, Comey insisted that the fake and probably non-existent Russian email was a major factor in his decision to hold his own extraordinary and illicit public press conference closing the Clinton email investigation and discussing raw evidence.

Okay. So, rather than listening to his guy, shouldn't Congress be questioning his mental state? Did FBI Director Comey, rather than Russia, actually meddle in our elections because the FBI director indulged himself

^{14.} See Jonathan Turley, "The Case Against James Comey," The Hill, June 9, 2017.


in a paranoid anti-Putin, frenzied fantasy? Why is the media jumping all over the President for calling this guy "nuts?"

III. Who is Robert Mueller?

Those familiar with the relationship between Comey and Robert Mueller describe them as "joined at the hip," "cut from the same cloth" (can't help thinking of the Union Jack), close personal friends, and mentor (Mueller) and mentee (Comey). The problem with this relationship is that Department of Justice

conflict guidelines specifically bar prosecutors (Mueller) from investigating issues where close friends (Comey) have a significant role, such as material witnesses. Official Washington knows all of this and yet touts this investigation as somehow "independent" and "apolitical."

Robert Mueller's claims to fame involve various outrageous services conducted on behalf of the Bush family and the British. He was the "legal brain" in the Boston prosecution of Lyndon LaRouche, employing a former avowed satanist, John Markham, as the lead attorney on that case. 15 (I kid you not.) Mueller's prosecution featured an investigation initiated under the auspices of E.O. 12333 and involving many still-classified operations, together with a national media defamation onslaught engineered by CIA and Bush operative John Train, followed by a military occupation of the town of Leesburg, Virginia, an attempt to provoke a shootout assassination under the auspices of a search warrant, and numerous black bag jobs, infiltrations, and planted and false trial evidence. Mueller's Boston prosecution collapsed when trial judge Robert Keeton ruled that the government had engaged in "institutional and systemic misconduct."16



Public Domain

Former Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Robert Mueller III.

Former U.S. Attorney General Ramsey Clark, who represented LaRouche on appeal, said the LaRouche case "represented a broader range of deliberate cunning and systematic misconduct over a longer period of time utilizing the power of the Federal government than any other prosecution by the U.S. government in my time and to my knowledge."

Needless to say, Mueller does not reference the La-Rouche prosecution as a career highlight. Instead he cites the prosecution of Manuel Noriega and the Lockerbie bombing case, both of which involved covering up

for George H.W. Bush. In the Noriega case, it was covering up the cocaine financing connected to Bush's Contra operations that was at issue. Manuel Noriega refused to cooperate in that Bush drug-running scheme but knew so much about it that he became a liability. A U.S. invasion was arranged, lots of people were killed, and a highly corrupt prosecution took place.¹⁷ In Lockerbie, an arrangement between Bush and Margaret Thatcher to blame the bombing on Libya and cover for U.S. intelligence activities was at stake.

As Director of the FBI, Mueller was a key architect of the surveillance and propaganda state now dominant in the United States following 9/11. It was in that context that he provided perhaps his most deeply appreciated personal service for the Bush family, covering up for Saudi involvement in the 9/11 murders of 3,000 Americans. The 9/11 families and former U.S. Senator Bob Graham cite Mueller as the key figure in this coverup.

^{15.} Markham's early legal career involved services for, and membership in the satanic Process Church of the Final Judgement cult.

^{16.} See "USA v. LaRouche, 'He's a Bad Guy But We Can't Say Why,'"

EIR, March 10, 2000. After Boston, the prosecution was shipped off to Alexandria, Virginia, where long-time deep-state operative Judge Albert J. Bryan, Jr., waited to do the government's bidding. To manufacture the loan "fraud" case against LaRouche, the government instigated what was later ruled an illegal and bad-faith bankruptcy, preventing LaRouche entities from servicing or paying political loans. Judge Bryan barred any reference to the government-instigated bankruptcy during LaRouche's Alexandria trial.

^{17.} See, e.g., Jonathan Marshall, "Missing the Real Noriega Story," Consortium News, June 1, 2017.

IV. So, Now You Know

Since the election and before, we have been stuck in a very elaborate and dangerous British hoax, gambling the future of our nation. If you look at it closely, you see how absurd it really is. Already, across the world, a new reality has emerged. Russia, China, now Japan, and sixty-eight other nations are engaged in China's One Belt, One Road Great Project, the largest infrastructure project in human history. Over the last forty years, Lyndon LaRouche, with his wife, Helga Zepp-La-Rouche, have worked tirelessly to provide the world with the type of bold economic development initiatives and great projects capable of lifting the human race from infancy to adulthood, from backwardness and poverty to the riches of creative discovery and productivity, shared across all cultures.

Against the screams daily emanating from the controlled media and the men and women of Davos, an actual engine for economic growth has been unleashed on the world. This engine is moving inexorably forward, governed by a new paradigm for mankind. In light of this development, the fate of the Anglo-American coup plotters is like that of so many smelly dinosaurs. They have doomed themselves because they cannot escape the failed and evil paradigm in which they have encased themselves. They fight desperate battles in a war where victory has already been won, in reality, by the other side. Unleashing a wave of hope throughout the developing world, China's Great Project could, if joined by the United States, usher in a new, boundless, human renaissance and make Donald Trump the greatest President in U.S. history.

Since September 2013, China has undertaken a huge infrastructure building project ripe with the potential to transform entire underdeveloped, forsaken, or war ravaged areas of the Earth into modern, beautiful cities and productive economies. It is now being built. Russia, China, and also India, have turned their imaginations, at the same time, to near space exploration, intent on exploring and developing the Moon where, among other wonders, the possibility for rapid development of fusion energy to power the Earth and future space travel beckons.

China's President Xi Jinping asked the United States to join this effort; Barack Obama adamantly refused, continuing a series of hostile actions which can only be described as a New Cold War. As opposed to Obama and his brutish Atlanticist buddies, Donald Trump has

formed a personal bond with President Xi and sent a U.S. delegation to China's historic May 14-15, 2017 Belt and Road Summit. That summit also featured a major address by Helga Zepp-LaRouche, who was welcomed with great respect and recognition of the ideas and campaigns she has waged, with her husband, for the common good of mankind.

The Democrats who are leading the insurrection against Trump are very, very weak. An amalgam of parochial and identity-politics special interests—gays, suburban professional women, Hollywood entertainment figures, upwardly-mobile minorities, environmentalists, students, and the professional class of lawyers, accountants, journalists, and techies—now constitute the Democratic Party's base. 18 The working class, other producers, and farmers have been dumped entirely. The country's producers yearn to participate in the new paradigm of human productivity. The amalgam of forces arrayed against the President only can manage the feeble color revolution slogan, "resist"—they have no program. They have no vision for the future. Similarly, many of the Republicans who now constrain and undermine the President, are stuck squarely in the old paradigm, insisting on austerity and "small government" to reward Wall Street and continue the casino economy.

Since Obama's election in 2008, a parallel structure built from that election has dominated Democratic politics. State chairs and traditional Democratic Party constituency leaders were largely ignored. The "working class" was abandoned in favor of an army of professionals and the entitled, all wrapped in the Hollywood glitz and glamor essential to Barack Obama's endless preening and self-love. Significant funding was put into training professional organizers for Organizing for Action, Move On, the Working Families Party, and other entities, by the billionaires of the Democracy Alliance, which includes George Soros as only one of its billionaire donors.

This Obama/Democratic Leadership Council formation has left the Democratic Party in the worst shape of its entire historical existence. It has lost the Presidency, both houses of Congress, and almost every gov-

^{18.} Both Thomas Frank, in his book, *Listen Liberal* (Picador, New York, 2016), and Christopher Lasch in his last book, *Revolt of the Elites* (W.W. Norton, New York, 1995) describe this mix of the elite and the entitled as the fatal, final blow to the party of Franklin Roosevelt. Trump advisor Steve Bannon has described Lasch's work as one of his favorite books.



President Trump speaking about his Infrastructure Initiative on June 7, 2017 near Cincinnati, Ohio.

ernorship in the United States. At the donors' meetings which led to the present "resist" mobilization, it is reliably reported that the donors, fearing a complete split by Sanders supporters, who wanted real change and had been repeatedly kicked in the face by the Democrats-In-Name-Only Obama apparatus, settled on the "resist" color revolution strategy as a matter of pure survival.

As Trump rightly insists, all of the theater we are witnessing has nothing to do with why Hillary Clinton lost the election. Hillary Clinton sealed her fate by calling Trump voters a racist, misogynist "basket of deplorables." She adamantly refused to address the economic depression prevalent everywhere except the enclaves of the professional and elite classes on the nation's coasts, sticking to the demographic data computer scenarios utilized by Obama. She campaigned against Glass-Steagall and tied herself completely to Barack Obama's failed legacy. Clinton's criminal idiocy was echoed by Barack Obama himself, who speechified about how he created an economic recovery—a declaration made as the U.S. death rate soared among boomers and gen-Xers, as most former industrial states battled a drug and suicide epidemic and whole sections of his former organizing turf in Chicago exploded in drug and gang related murders.¹⁹ There is a limit to how long you can spit in peoples' faces and call it rain.

The way is clear for the President to win. The population must mobilize, as La-Rouche said, and tell their senators and congressmen to cut it out, stop the coup, and jail its perpetrators before this great country is destroyed. Under our Constitution, the people have the unique capability to stop a coup, by kicking their senators and representatives in the ass, and not accepting "no" for an answer. Tell your congressman and the President to join the One Belt, One Road project and implement LaRouche's Four

Laws for recovery of the United States. Show the population this great vision for the future as Franklin Roosevelt once did; mobilize the population directly for this program and tell Republican and Democratic Wall Street pawns, whether they are spouting Von Hayek and Milton Friedman or John Maynard Keynes, to get out of the way. The Gods of Olympus may scream and yell and attempt to hurl lightning bolts, but, as a result of the economic revival now underway in the Pacific and Eurasia, the ground under Mount Olympus is rapidly giving way. The would-be emperors have shown us that they have no clothes, and that they are really quite insane. They now can be ridiculed, mocked, and laughed at, something everyone should do daily. As Martin Luther King so eloquently noted, economic and social progress are the true measures of any society—the true mandate of Heaven. That reality is on our side.

EIR June 16, 2017

^{19.} *EIR* detailed the dismal results of "community control" and similar Alinskyite organizing in 1979, citing a series by reporter Roy Harvey that showed how a Field Foundation operation in Chicago exploded into violence by the gangs being manipulated in a human social experiment. "The Gangs—Who Benefits," *EIR*, four-part series, Aug. 7, 14, and 28, and Sept. 11, 1979. The same gang/drug gang violence is responsible for Chicago's horrendous murder rate today.