III. Crush the British Coup!

BARBARA BOYD TO LAROUCHE PAC IN MANHATTAN

End the Coup!

This is the author's edited version of her presentation to LaRouche PAC's Special Town Hall Meeting of Jan. 5. The meeting was titled, "End the Coup, Stop the Next War, and Build the World Land-Bridge." Boyd is the author of the Robert Mueller Dossier.

On Jan. 6, 2017, one day short of a year ago today, Barack Obama's intelligence chiefs released a document designed to up-end the Presidency of Donald Trump. It was the "assessment" by a group of hand-

picked intelligence analysts tasked by then CIA Director John Brennan and Obama's Director of National Intelligence (DNI) James Clapper, that President Vladimir Putin had ordered a Russian "influence" campaign to swing the 2016 Presidential election to Donald Trump.

The centerpiece of that alleged influence campaign, the claim upon which the entirety of Russiagate rises or falls, was the assertion that the Russians hacked the Demo-

cratic National Committee and John Podesta, Hillary Clinton's campaign chairman. Emails from these alleged hacks were publicly released showing that Clinton and the DNC were rigging the Democratic primaries against Bernie Sanders, and that Clinton herself was a craven puppet of Wall Street.

A secondary claim in the "assessment," and much elaborated in subsequent televised hearings before Congress, is the notion that Vladimir Putin is a master of propaganda. According to this claim, Putin can bend your mind like the worst James Bond villain if you give

him a Facebook account and a few dollars. For a dime, he can literally suck out souls using social media even while others spend a billion dollars more on the same social media to no apparent effect.

Tonight I believe we will show you that this "assessment" is a lie, a very dangerous one, which has launched our nation and humanity on a path toward World War III.

Little noticed in the sensationally covered Jan. 6, 2017 "intelligence assessment," was a note stating that

the primary source for this information was British intelligence. This fact was described as "closely held" in the New York Times story of Jan. 6. It was bragged about in a London Guardian article of Jan 7 The Guardian story notes: "Over the course of the campaign, British officials were as alarmed as their U.S. counterparts over the extent of contacts between Trump advisers and Moscow and by Trump's consistently pro-Russian stance on a range of



Barbara Boyd

foreign policy issues." That quote is worth repeating. That is the actual strategic issue in Russiagate, the reason this nation's elites appear to have gone progressively mad, and seem more unhinged with each passing day. On Jan. 23, 2017, two weeks after the British role in the Russian hack story was leaked to the *New York Times*, Robert Hannigan, the head of the British signals intelligence agency known as GCHQ, suddenly and unexpectedly resigned.

According to the *Times* story and the factless "assessment" circulated by some in our intelligence com-



munity, the Russians gained access to DNC computers in July 2015, and the British picked it up and relayed it to the FBI and other American agencies in Autumn of 2015. As this account goes, the FBI immediately warned the DNC way back in Autumn of 2015, not once, but twice, that they had been hacked by the Russians. Absolutely nothing came of it. Instead, the DNC says that in April 2016, almost a year after the FBI warnings, the DNC noticed suspicious activity. This official account should alarm you. Could it possibly be true that the FBI tells a U.S. political party, in the midst of a presidential campaign year, that it has been hacked by the Russians, and absolutely nothing is done? In June 2016, the computer security firm CrowdStrike, hired by the Perkins Coie law-firm for the DNC, told the world that the DNC had been hacked and that the Russians did it. CrowdStrike's leading light, Dmitri Alperowitz, is a Putin-hating Russian expat otherwise working with the Atlantic Council and NATO in an active-measures campaign against the Russian state.

By July 19, 2016, former MI6 agent Christopher Steele was alleging in intelligence reports paid for by the Democratic National Committee and Hillary Clinton, that as a result of long term cultivation of Donald Trump by Russian intelligence, the DNC had been hacked to Trump's benefit, and that Russian intelligence worked directly with Trump on this operation and on other operations to secure Trump's victory in the 2016 elections. In return, the Steele memo of July 19 says, Trump agreed to sideline Ukraine as a campaign issue and to provide Putin with information on Russian oligarchs living in the U.S.A.

Steele had worked extensively with James Comey's FBI and the CIA in the past. His reports, paid for by Hillary Clinton and the DNC through the same Perkins Coie law-firm that paid for CrowdStrike's Russian hacking analysis, were delivered to both the FBI and CIA. We now know that the wife of Bruce Ohr, a high ranking Justice Department official, worked on the Russiagate project for Steele's longtime U.S. business partner, Fusion GPS. Steele had unauthorized meetings with Bruce Ohr.

In July 2016, the FBI opened an unprecedented counterintelligence investigation of a U.S. political can-

didate, Donald Trump, based on information delivered to it from a foreign country, namely Great Britain. FBI Director Comey admitted that the salacious British allegations against Trump were unvetted, unproven, and unverifiable. Yet it appears that Obama and his intelligence chiefs obtained surveillance warrants from the FISA Court, and, much more importantly, utilized the awesome surveillance and dirty tricks potentials of Executive Order (E.O.) 12333 against Trump and his campaign. E.O. 12333, which Bill Binney can tell you more about, aims at "neutralizing" a foreign adversary, and is the rubric under which most U.S. surveillance is conducted. This time, the full power of that weapon was used to target an American political campaign. Additionally, it appears that Obama's intelligence services actively helped Hillary's campaign by allowing her campaign to proceed with the unchallenged assertion, throughout the last stretch of the presidential campaign, that government agencies were seriously investigating whether Donald Trump was Putin's Manchurian candidate. The same agencies knew all along that the British claims were trash and that Hillary Clinton paid for them. Peter Strzok, the FBI counterintelligence official leading the FBI counterintelligence operation against Trump, appears to have talked about the Russiagate investigation as an "insurance policy" against the possibility of Trump's election with Andy McCabe, James Comey's Deputy FBI Director.

Today, Senators Lindsay Graham and Charles Grassley called for a criminal investigation of Christopher Steele for lying to the FBI about how his memos against Donald Trump were published by him during



Office of Director of National Intelligence

Director of National Intelligence James Clapper (right) talks with President Barack Obama in the Oval Office, with John Brennan and other national security aides present.

the course of the 2016 campaign. Through the unyielding efforts of House Intelligence Committee Chairman Devin Nunes, that Committee will now receive Justice Department documents tracking exactly how the British Steele dossier was used by the Justice Department. Yesterday, U.S. District Judge Richard Leon ordered that Fusion GPS's bank records concerning journalists it may have paid in its campaign against Trump, must be turned over to the House Intelligence Committee.

But, the net of criminal activity in this operation stretches far beyond the FBI and the Justice Department. It included the Obama White House and most

certainly John Brennan and James Clapper. I saw an interview with Bill Binney the other day, where he said the only thing which will make these people stop is for them to go to jail for their crimes against our Republic. I fully concur with that statement. The question is, can we mobilize the public hard and fast enough to make this happen in time?

It has been a very long and tumultuous year in the history of our Republic, and the future is not secured. We have a population which has been fed a big lie, that Russia hacked the elections. While partisans on both sides of this fight do battle, everyone in Washington with the possible exception of Donald Trump, and the people addressing you tonight, and our small associated army of truth-seekers, accepts the Russian hacking as gospel truth, as an act of war. A McCarthyite sickness worse in many respects than the 1950s has settled in, and war is, once again, solidly on the agenda. The media acts like an Orwellian Ministry of Truth, punishing and ostracizing anyone who questions the daily diet of "Resist!" and "Russia! Russia! Russia!" as a right-wing fanatical conspiracy theorist. Similar lies about Chinese manipulations of our body politic and of our economy are beginning to appear with regularity.

Where Do We Go from Here?

You can't really correct this mess unless you provide the population with a full understanding of why it is happening. People talk about the "Deep State." I insist that that's really a misnomer. What we are talking about is the last throes of the Anglo-Dutch monetary and political system put into place immediately after the Second World War, but drawing its assumptions about the world and about relations between nation states from the early 20th Century nostrums of British geopolitician Lord Halford Mackinder. Under Mac-



kinder's theory, which has been the hegemonic idea of all British imperial policy from his time forward, "Who rules East Europe commands the Heartland: Who rules the Heartland commands the World Island; Who rules the World Island commands the World." [See

"John LeCarre once wrote that the British Secret Services have an image but no face. It is an image which has been carefully cultivated since they were founded in the first decade of the twentieth century. The CIA may have greater resources, the KGB greater machinations, Mossad the greater ruthlessness,

- Peter Wright, Spycatcher 1988

Mackinder's map, p. 34.] Henry Kissinger and Zbigniew Brzezinski, the authors of so much American genocide and the current theorists of the Washington foreign policy elites, are Mackinder devotees—geopolitical fanatics. So was the Nazi theorist Karl Haushofer.

Since 2013, China has embarked on a grand initia-

tive called the "One Belt, One Road" project. In conjunction with Russia, Eurasia—Mackinder's geopolitical pivot for the world-will be crisiscrossed with high speed rail and with whole new cities, and its infrastructure and populations fully developed. To our current establishment, this is a geopolitical nightmare, an

"but MI5 and MI6 were the first players in Kipling's Great Game; they are its master craftsmen. They invented the principles of tradecraft, they broke the first codes, ran the best agents, bred the best spymasters, and taught the rest everything they know. Above all, they keep the secrets.

- Peter Wright, Spycatcher 1988

existential threat. They need to control Russia, hopefully to continue looting it as they did in the 90s, and to control the developing world in order to sustain their post-World War II imperial model.

Barack Obama responded to China's initiative not by joining it, as President Xi offered, but by overthrow-

ing the government of Ukraine and attempting to surround and thwart China via the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) and naval encirclement in the Pacific. Obama was a full believer in the British "Great Game" and in the Thucydides trap, the theory which claims that the rise of China dictates

"In the intelligence world MI5 and MI6 are still primes inter pares . . . the spy world remains the last arena where wellborn Englishmen can still exercise that effortless superiority which for centuries they were born to believe was theirs by right."

- Peter Wright, Spycatcher 1988

that the Anglo-Americans must go to war. Obama had set the nation on a path to war which Hillary Clinton was supposed to complete.

China proposes an end to geopolitics by engaging in what it calls "win-win" cooperation, where nations identify common aims, such as the conquest

of poverty, and work to achieve that goal, while respecting the social systems of participating nation states.

So, as Obama and Hillary Clinton prepared for war, along came Donald Trump who said we should get along with Putin. Along came Donald Trump saying he will be best friends with Xi Jinping. Trump even talks

> about the U.S.A. participating in the Belt and Road Initiative, which would create thousands of productive jobs here. Along came Donald Trump talking about withdrawing the United States from this whole Mackinderite perpetual warfare show. Trump's campaign and election represented deadly threat to the Anglo-

Americans. He, in effect, stopped a war which had already been set into motion—and now the Anglo-Americans are frantically attempting to re-establish that course. That is really what is going on behind the dayto-day media show. This is the actual clash behind the curtain. And I have to ask—who do you think is more

sane?

I really don't think my emphasis on the British here is subject to question. Here is the preface to Peter Wright's famous exposé of MI5 and MI6, Spycatcher, which was written in 1988: "John LeCarré once wrote that the British Secret Services have an image but no face. It is an image which

has been carefully cultivated since they were founded in the first decade of the Twentieth Century. The CIA may have greater resources, the KGB greater machinations, Mossad the greater ruthlessness, but MI5 and MI6 were the first players in Rudyard Kipling's Great Game; they are its master craftsmen. They invented the principles of tradecraft, they broke the first codes, ran the best agents, bred the best spymasters, and taught the rest everything they know. Above all, they keep the secrets. In the intelligence world MI5 and MI6 are still primus inter pares.... The spy world remains the last arena where wellborn Englishmen can still exercise that effortless superiority which for centuries they were born to believe was theirs by right."

Just look at the British pedigree of the main players in Russiagate to date. There is the British origin of the Russia-hack myth. There are Christopher Steele and his MI6 friends. British intelligence has, through NATO and on its own, run a huge active-measures propaganda operation against Russia ever since the war on Ukraine, specifically seeking to delegitimize any favorable view of Putin or Russia. Christopher Steele played a major role in the British/Obama Ukraine coup, writing hundreds of memos to Assistant Secretary of State for European and Asian Affairs Victoria Nuland and Secretary of State John Kerry, and using the same sources, he says, that he used in his salacious attacks on Trump. Part of this British propaganda operation was running at the Democratic National Committee in 2016, using official Ukrainian and other East Bloc intelligence resources to attack Trump campaign manager Paul Manafort and candidate Donald Trump. Academics from this British/NATO propaganda campaign have provided most of the "evidence" to our Congress concerning Russian social media practices and alleged crimes.

Who provided the allegedly incriminating emails about the now-infamous meeting at Trump Tower with the Russian lawyer? It was the weird British publicist Rob Goldstone. Where is the guy I call Baby George Papadopoulos working, while he showers the Trump campaign with emails trying to set up meetings with Putin? He is working for a company called Energy Stream in London, which is working on the so-called Southern Gas Corridor designed to destroy Russian natural gas hegemony in sections of Europe. What is the relationship between Fusion GPS and Christopher Steele's Orbis? As Steele described it to Luke Harding, his recent public relations man, they provide dirt to all

sides in the various wars between Russian oligarchs—a perfectly designed British penetration operation, were you to ask me. Who misdirects the Senate Judiciary Committee to allege that Russia, rather than the British, is ultimately responsible for the Steele dossier? Who but Bill Browder, the British intelligence asset *par excellence*? Luke Harding also informs us that the British have been keeping book on Donald Trump ever since an early visit to Russia in 1987. The British convinced themselves that the KGB made this trip happen.

I am sure there are some points here with which my co-panelists would disagree. My intelligence credentials are hardly anywhere near theirs. I come from the school of hard knocks, coupled with a lifelong endeavor to understand and use the method and strategic analysis of Lyndon LaRouche. Like Trump, LaRouche got in big trouble with the British, challenging them directly. That resulted in a letter to the FBI in 1982 from the British government, more or less demanding LaRouche's prosecution. They claimed he was a Russian agent of influence. Robert Mueller, the man designated to take out Trump via assassination-by-legalbrief, played a key role in that prosecution together with a propaganda apparatus set up by George H.W. Bush, Bill Casey (CIA director, 1981-87), and the CIA's Walter Raymond, Jr. That apparatus constantly defamed LaRouche as an unstable maniac akin to Attila the Hun. Mueller is not an honorable Marine. He has constantly covered up the crimes of the Anglo-American elite, including the London-headquartered Bank of Credit and Commerce International (BCCI) and the Saudi murders of almost 3,000 Americans on 9/11/2001. As we document in the dossier LaRouche PAC produced on Mueller, he is like Herman Melville's Captain Ahab, and he will do his assigned task if the American people let him.

But, we agree on the most essential point that Russiagate, as portrayed, is a dangerous hoax. The whole Russiagate narrative rises or falls on the question of whether or not the Russians hacked the DNC. If that is untrue—together with the accompanying British fabrication called the Steele dossier—then the whole story falls apart, and its perpetrators are exposed to prosecution for their fabrications. Messrs. Binney and McGovern are true national heroes in exposing what they have exposed. So let's listen to them, and then let's figure out how we get this really aired with the American public.