

How the British and Obama Diddled the United States

by Barbara Boyd

Feb. 18—On Sept. 29, 2017, *Executive Intelligence Review* published the original version of the dossier “[Robert Mueller is An Amoral Legal Assassin: He Will Do His Job If You Let Him.](#)” To date, that dossier, now being circulated nationwide by LaRouche PAC, represents the most thorough and the most accurate assessment as to the character of Robert Mueller, as well as the utterly fraudulent nature of the ongoing treasonous effort to bring down the Trump Presidency.

This present report is an update to that dossier, with the emphasis on the dramatic significance of two documents which were released in the first days of February. The first is the House Intelligence document known as the “Nunes Memo,” and the second is the—by far more substantive—un-redacted document authored by Senators Grassley and Graham.

We shall examine the importance of these two documents in depth, as well as significant other developments which flow from the impact of their release. Before doing so, however, it is of critical importance that a matter of primary overriding concern be re-stated here, at the beginning of this update.



cc/Sarah Burris

President Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton at the Democratic National Convention, July 27, 2016.

The British Origin of the Coup

Nothing of any truth about the current assault on President Trump can be understood, unless one addresses the question of *why* all of this is occurring, along with the subsumed question of “*cui bono?*” This requires transcending the world of partisan politics and inside-the-beltway gossip, and the necessity for examining the *strategic* setting and implications surrounding the coup plot.

Everything that is now transpiring must be viewed within that truthful strategic context. During the eight years of the Obama presidency, and the prior Administration of George W. Bush, a profound shift in U.S. strategic policy took place. Obama, working closely with—and often under the direction of—the British, committed the United States to enforcing a global policy of Anglo-American hegemonism, what is sometimes referred to

as a “uni-polar world.” This took the form of escalating provocations against Russia, and more recently the targeting of China. Currently, this imperial Anglo-American faction is determined to thwart China’s gigantic Belt and Road Initiative infrastructure development of

Eurasia, Africa, Southwest Asia (the Middle East), and nations in Central and South America. This largest infrastructure development project in human history now involves more than 68 countries.

For the British, such geo-political designs are nothing new. British strategic policy since before World War I has been based on geopolitics. Under the theories of Lord Halford Mackinder, completely embraced by today's Anglo-American foreign policy establishment, control of Eurasia dictates strategic mastery of the world. China is now establishing vast transportation and other infrastructure throughout Eurasia, a region which Anglo-American policy up until now had reserved as a primitive looting ground.

Unable to break from imperial axioms and join China's offer of win-win cooperation, let alone offer a viable alternative model which promotes the general welfare, Barack Obama and the British adopted a strategy of geopolitical containment and provocation, a New Cold War policy. It began with the Anglo-American coup in Ukraine in 2014, pushing NATO right up to Russia's borders, and involves hostile encirclement strategies against both Russia and China, employing color revolutions, economic sanctions, overt economic, cyber, and information warfare, provocative military maneuvers, development of new nuclear and other warfare capacities, and military support of insurgents and terrorists in states friendly and/or trading with Russia or China, such as Iran and Syria. All of this, of course, threatens the extinction of the human race.

In November 2016, it was the intention of Obama and the British that Hillary Clinton would continue this dangerous geo-political gambit. Donald Trump's victory in that election stopped this mad drive to war just as it was turning very hot.

As we detailed in our original Mueller dossier, "Russiagate,"—which has roiled our nation since Summer 2016, has driven most members of Congress into a McCarthyite insanity so severe that you can literally picture them braying at the Moon at night, and has critically undermined Donald Trump's presidency—has absolutely nothing to do with any hostile action by Russia against the United States. Its origins are to be



found in the desperation of the British and American establishments, among individuals and interests who are frantic to re-impose the strategic outlook of the Obama Administration.

The Nunes Memo: Unraveling a British Fraud

Let us begin by examining the so-called [Nunes Memo](#), a four page document by U.S. Representative Devin Nunes (R-Calif.), which was released to the public Feb. 2, 2018. That Memo concerns the documented fraud on the FISA Court by the DOJ and FBI in obtaining surveillance of Trump foreign policy volunteer Carter Page. That fraud involved the DOJ/FBI use of a dirty dossier claiming ties between Trump and Russia, a dossier which was authored by British intelligence agent Christopher Steele. Steele, we now know, was working simultaneously for the FBI, MI6, and Hillary Clinton's campaign, while providing salacious and bogus copy about Russia and Trump to numerous U.S. journalists. Steele told the journalists he was working with the FBI and other intelligence agencies to wrap his fake cash-for-trash allegations in an aura of legitimacy. He told the FBI he was not speaking to journalists and was reporting to the FBI out of a sense of duty and patriotism.

According to the Nunes Memo, the Obama Justice Department and James Comey's FBI affirmatively misrepresented what they knew about Christopher Steele's operation to the FISA Court and, instead, touted his credibility in order to obtain surveillance of a U.S. citizen, Carter Page, and the Trump campaign. The Page FBI surveillance was then used to feed a media frenzy

based on a magical mystery tour of mainstream media by British Intelligence Agent Steele. The big lie generated, that Trump was a compromised agent of Vladimir Putin, became the theme of the last months of Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign, Clinton having paid for the bogus claim lock, stock, and barrel, with Obama's CIA Director John Brennan fanning the British lie.

Steele's dirty dossier was not only used to abuse the FISA law and attempt to swing an American election. It was and is the centerpiece of the entire FBI counterintelligence investigation of Trump and Russia—the subject that Robert Mueller is supposedly diligently investigating.

Far from being a competent intelligence product, Steele's composite of 17 memos written from June through December 2016, is a British fraud, a hoax, an amateurish hodgepodge created and deployed for British strategic purposes. After more than 20 months of intense investigation, few, if any of its claims have panned out. Even former FBI Director James Comey admits that its claims are “salacious and unverified.” To take but one example, the claim that Donald Trump romped with Russian prostitutes in Moscow on a bed used once by the Obamas (Steele's most depraved and salacious offering), appears to be the product of a drunken bar conversation between two wannabe hustlers, Sergei Millian and George Papadopoulos. Their conversation was overheard by another human being who reported it to Steele, or, alternatively, their conversation was recorded on a wire.

Another drunken conversation involving Papadopoulos, this one with the Australian Ambassador to London, Alexander Downer, in which Papadopoulos claims that the Russians have thousands of Clinton emails, was used by the FBI to corroborate Steele's claims about Russian hacking.

Earlier, in 2001, it was another “sexed up” dossier that led to the Iraq War tragedy, that one based on the incredible and unbelievable claims of a hustler, the informant called “Curveball.” Analysts in both the CIA and MI6 doubted Curveball's charges concerning Iraq's possession of weapons of mass destruction, but those

doubts were ignored by an Anglo-American chain of command bent on war. Following the war, both the UK and the U.S.A. finally admitted that Curveball was a con man and that his story, leading to tens of thousands of unnecessary deaths and the birth of ISIS, was all a convenient lie, a hoax—information warfare at its finest.

It is no accident that the man intimately involved in concocting the 2001 sexed-up Iraq dossier, Sir Richard Dearlove, also counseled Christopher Steele regarding Steele's dirty dossier against Donald Trump, according to the *Washington Post*. Dearlove, the former head of Britain's MI6, is portrayed as a mentor to both Christopher Steele and his business partner, Chris Burroughs. The former British Ambassador to Russia, Sir Andrew Wood, is an associate of Steele and Burrows in their London-based firm, Orbis Business Intelligence, Ltd.



George Papadopoulos booking photo after he was arrested by the FBI at Dulles Airport, July 28.

This British effort has been portrayed, accurately, as a “full-spectrum [British] information [warfare] operation”¹ aimed at determining the result of the 2016 American election, and, following the election, poisoning the early Trump presidency and setting the stage for Trump's impeachment. Since the techniques for such an operation are well known by spies on both sides of the Atlantic, there is little room to argue that the participating American intelligence personages working with Steele were somehow “duped” by his ac-

tions. Everything points to those in contact with Steele as being witting participants in a conspiracy against the United States. This is why the British government is prepared to invoke Britain's “Official Secrets Act” to prevent Christopher Steele from testifying in a libel lawsuit brought against him in London by Alexander Gubarev, and in a similar suit brought against the publication *BuzzFeed* in the United States. The seventeenth Memo of Steele's dirty dossier, published by *BuzzFeed*, falsely accuses Gubarev of conducting cyberattacks

1. Peter Van Buren provides an excellent analysis of Steele's information warfare techniques in his Feb. 15, 2018 *American Conservative* article “[Christopher Steele: The Real Foreign Influence in the 2016 Election?](#)”



screen grab from the Independent of London

British press coming to the defense of Christopher Steele.

against the Democratic Party and others on behalf of the Russian government.

Two Senators Continue the Case

Following the release of the “Nunes Memo” Feb. 2, and apoplectic fits over the nation’s airways and in its newspapers from those caught up in the British operation, Senators Chuck Grassley and Lindsey Graham secured [a less-redacted version of their criminal referral](#) of Christopher Steele to the United States Department of Justice for prosecution, releasing it Feb. 8. The criminal referral provides even more facts about the astonishing fraud on the FISA Court conducted by former Deputy Attorney General Sally Yates, James Comey and others. Senators Graham and Grassley believe that Steele lied to the FBI about his contacts with the media. Lying to the FBI, 18 U.S.C. §1001 False Statements, is the same felony Michael Flynn was charged with.

According to a February 11 article by Paul Sperry in *Real Clear Investigations*, the House Intelligence Committee will follow its explosive Nunes Memo detailing FBI and Justice Department’s illegalities while pimping Steele’s British product to the U.S. FISA Court, with a memo tracing the use of Steele’s dirty dossier by the State Department. That will be followed by a memo detailing the relationship of various Obama Administration intelligence officials to the Steele Dossier, including Leon Panetta, Susan Rice, Samantha Power, CIA chief John Brennan, and Director of National Intelligence James Clapper. Sperry, citing a House Intelligence Committee source, notes that the Committee is particularly focused on Brennan, who “did more than anyone to promulgate the dirty dossier” and then lied to Congress about what he knew about it. It is anticipated that this investigation will lead, inexorably, to the center of the conspiracy against Trump which was hatched by the British in collusion with the Obama White House.

As our Mueller Dossier emphasizes, Christopher Steele’s dirty dossier is the foundational document for the coup being run against the President. Tracing its use, like a red dye, provides a reasonably complete map of the criminal conspiracy at issue. It leads from MI6 to the Obama White House. It involves the heads of the Obama national security apparatus including the FBI, the CIA, the DNI, the NSA, the Justice Department, the Department of Defense and the State



Senator Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa) on left, and Senator Lindsey Graham (R-S.C.).

Department, and the Russian and Eurasian desks of all of these agencies. It involves practically everyone who ran the coup in Ukraine. It also obviously involves the Hillary Clinton Campaign and those forming her shadow government had she won the election. All of these people intersect British intelligence and Christopher Steele. All of the activities of British intelligence in the 2016 election need to be put under an investigative microscope. As for the journalists who worked with Steele—they have, for years, had a difficult time demonstrating that they are anything other than paid stenographers and copy editors for a wide variety of intelligence agencies.

In our Mueller dossier, we focus on the motive for this crime, without which the list of names and events now under scrutiny loses its meaning. By their own report, in the *Guardian*, the British war against Donald Trump began in 2014-2015, with concerns about Trump’s alleged “softness” on Russia based on years of surveillance of Trump by MI6 and GCHQ. The *Guardian* claims that Robert Hannigan, the former head of GCHQ, was the principal whistleblower concerning an alleged Trump/Russia connection in 2015 based on GCHQ surveillance.

Whether such surveillance actually occurred, or whether the leak to the *Guardian* was designed to provide Christopher Steele’s shoddy claims the aura of *gravitas* for information warfare purposes, remains an open question. According to the *Guardian* account, Hannigan personally passed the evidence compiled by the GCHQ on Trump and Russia to CIA Director John Brennan in June 2016. It was then that Brennan launched a “major inter-agency investigation,” which included both the FBI and DNI James Clapper.

Steele’s first memo was completed June 20, 2016, and his first meeting with an FBI official was July 5, just weeks before Trump received the Republican nomination. Steele, a source for the FBI in Eurasian organized crime investigations and the FIFA (Fédération Internationale de Football Association) criminal prosecution, met with Michael Gaeta, then working for the



DoD/R.D. Ward

Leon Panetta



UN/JC McIlwaine

Susan Rice



UN/Manuel Elias

Samantha Power



White House/Pete Souza

John Brennan



LBJ Library/Jay Godwin

James Clapper

FBI liaison office in Rome. Gaeta and Steele had collaborated previously on Eurasian organized crime investigations. Under FBI procedures, Gaeta became the case agent, overseeing Steele in operations against Trump beginning in September of 2016, operations which the FBI, at least on paper, controlled.

The Recent Weeks’ Developments: Big Problems for the Coup

Beginning in December 2017, the seemingly relentless drumbeat of the coup against the President began to slow. First, Justice Department Inspector General Michael Horowitz allowed the publication of text messages exchanged between Peter Strzok, the number two agent in the FBI’s Counterintelligence Division in charge of both Russiagate and the Clinton email investigation, and Lisa Page, his mistress, an FBI attorney. Both had served on Robert Mueller’s team until the summer of 2017, when the Inspector General briefed Mueller and Deputy Attorney General Rod Rosenstein that text messages exchanged between them revealed bias against Donald Trump.

Horowitz is examining the FBI’s handling of the



CSPAN

Clinton email case as well as the actions of former FBI Deputy Director Andrew McCabe. McCabe did not recuse himself from the Clinton email case after his wife had received a campaign contribution in the hundreds of thousands of dollars from Clinton moneybags, then Virginia Governor Terry McAuliffe. McCabe attended the meeting which arranged the contribution and then campaigned for his wife Jill as she sought a seat in the Virginia State Senate, a big problem under the Hatch Act and normal FBI procedures. It is claimed by FBI agents working the Clinton email case that McCabe stalled key steps in the Clinton email investigation. It is widely reported that a briefing from Horowitz about his preliminary findings was the major reason McCabe was abruptly fired from the FBI Jan. 29.

The texts between Strzok and Page reveal a seething anti-Trump bias and speak of an “insurance policy” against Trump emanating from a meeting in Andy McCabe’s office. It is widely assumed that the “insurance policy” was the Trump/Russia investigation, although Strzok had previously texted Page that there was “no there, there” with respect to claims that Donald Trump colluded with Russia.

This was followed by revelations that Mueller’s lead prosecutor, the ethically challenged Andrew Weissman, had met with reporters to discuss the case against former Trump campaign manager Paul Manafort, well prior to Manafort’s indictment. This is hardly the untraceable leaking for which Mueller is famous, and contravenes both Justice Department and

ethical rules. As reported in our Mueller dossier, Weissman is famous for inventing new rules for criminal culpability, a practice he used throughout the Enron cases and the Arthur Andersen prosecution resulting in stunning rebukes from federal judges and from the U.S. Supreme Court. Weissman’s fawning praise of former Deputy Attorney General Sally Yates for her grandstanding act of “resistance”—her refusal to defend the Trump Administration in court, which is the actual job of the Department of Justice—had also been revealed in December as the result of an FOIA lawsuit.

On Feb. 2, Devin Nunes, the Chair of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, released his committee’s memo regarding the FBI and Department of Justice use of the Steele dossier in surveillance requests to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court regarding Trump volunteer foreign policy advisor Carter Page. On Feb. 6, Senators Grassley and Graham released a redacted version of their referral of Christopher Steele to the Department of Justice for criminal prosecution, providing further details of the shocking FBI/DOJ fraud on the Foreign Intelligence Court.

Christopher Steele: Con-Man *Extraordinaire*

In using the Steele dossier in the first, October 21, 2016 FISA application for surveillance of Page, the FBI/DOJ officials, including James Comey and former Deputy Attorney General Sally Yates, left the fact that Steele’s work had been entirely paid for by the Clinton Campaign and the Democratic National Committee to a non-specific footnote referencing some generic “political” origin.

The FBI also attached a *Yahoo News* article by Michael Isikoff to its FISA application concerning Page. The FBI affirmatively told the Court what Steele had apparently told them, that he only shared his work with Fusion GPS and the FBI, *not* the news media. This created the highly misleading impression that Isikoff’s article independently validated Steele’s allegations, which otherwise were uncorroborated, when, in fact, Isikoff’s article was based on a briefing by Steele himself. Moreover, Steele had already briefed the *Washington Post*, the *New York Times*, CNN, and the *New Yorker*, in addition to Isikoff, at the time of the October 21, 2016 initial application to the FISA Court, for surveillance of Carter Page. Steele had also briefed David Corn of *Mother Jones* in October.

According to the Graham/Grassley account, when

Yahoo News' Michael Isikoff describes crucial meeting cited in Nunes memo



Dylan Stabileford Senior Editor, Yahoo News · February 2, 2018



Christopher Steele and Michael Isikoff. (Yahoo News photo illustration; photos: Victoria Jones/AP, Gordon Donovan/Yahoo News)



Popular in the Community



Yahoo news screenshot

Kelly: This is COLLUSION. This is what it smel, and looks like. These people conspired against the American people. Time to bring back hanging.

Christopher Steele (left) and Michael Isikoff (right).

Corn published his *Mother Jones* article on October 31, it was clear to the FBI that Steele had lied to them about contacts with the news media. His informant status was terminated, but the FBI kept in contact with him through a very high-ranking back channel in the U.S. Department of Justice, Deputy Associate Attorney General Bruce Ohr. Ohr's wife, Nellie Ohr, worked for Steele's U.S. business partner, Fusion GPS, which, with Steele, was heavily funded by the Clinton Campaign and the DNC for opposition research against Donald Trump. Steele confided to Ohr that he would do "anything" to prevent the election of Donald Trump, a fact which the Justice Department never revealed to the FISA court.

When the FBI/DOJ returned to the FISA Court in January 2017 to extend the Page surveillance, it engaged in yet another affirmative misrepresentation to the Court. While disclosing that Steele's informant relationship had been terminated because of his contacts with the news media, the DOJ/FBI claimed to the Court that Steele only talked to the media in anger when the Clinton email investigation was reopened, and the Trump investigation seemed stalled.

As Byron York notes in his [excellent analysis](#) for the *Washing-*

ton Examiner, the whole point of the "Chris-was-angry-so-he-talked-to-the-press" story was to allow the FBI to claim that Steele's pre-anger work—the dossier—was entirely credible." In the renewal application, the FBI again affirmatively asserted that it did not believe that Steele was the source of Isikoff's Sept. 23 article (which would, of course, call the bona fides of the entire FISA application into question).

Page has claimed publicly that he regularly briefed both the FBI and CIA about his dealings in Russia. According to the FBI, Page was targeted for recruitment by two Russian spies in 2013 who were subsequently prosecuted by the FBI. Page served as an FBI source in that investigation, during which the Russians repeatedly characterized Page as an "idiot" and not worth their time. The FBI cleared Carter Page of any wrongdoing in 2015 concerning the Russian spies. Yet the same FBI, knowing that the Russians considered Page an "idiot" and "not worth their time," asked the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court to credit Christopher Steele's absurd assertion that in a deal negotiated by Carter Page, the Russians offered Page and other Trump associates a 19% stake in the state-owned oil company Rosneft, if Trump lifted sanctions against Russia.

While the Democrats argue that Page's prior Russian involvement provides a basis for probable cause, independent of Christopher Steele, for a FISA warrant, the fact that the FBI cleared him in that prior case destroys that claim. The fact that Page has never subsequently been charged or attracted any significant interest from Robert Mueller also should tell you that the whole exercise was for a different purpose—using the fact of the surveillance and investigation to provide credibility for Christopher Steele's black British lies to the news media about Donald Trump. The media reports, in turn, were heavily utilized by the Clinton campaign to discredit Donald Trump.



cc/MSNBC

Carter Page

The Carter Page scandal is only one aspect of FISA abuse by the Obama Administration and the FBI. According to a [heavily redacted report](#) from the FISA Court itself, released in April 2017, there were repeated and escalating abuses of FISA by Obama's FBI and Justice Department. In December, Obama and crew substantially loosened the restrictions on receipt of raw surveillance intercepts, providing a cover and a defense to the leakers who attacked the Trump transition.

And Now, the State Department

Nunes, Grassley and Graham have made very clear that the next target of their investigation of the use of the dirty British dossier will be the U.S. State Department. One target already exposed is Jonathan Winer, the Obama State Department's special envoy to Libya. Winer, a long-time number two to John Kerry, dating from Kerry's Senate days, is a significant anti-Putin fanatic who says that he has collaborated with Christopher Steele since 2009-2010, the point at which Steele went into private business in Britain, and the point at which Glenn Simpson founded Fusion GPS and began partnering with Steele here in the United States. Winer, Steele, and Simpson were in the business of selling intelligence—most of which centered on Russia—to private clients.

According to interviews of Steele by British journalist and foreign correspondent for the *Guardian* newspaper, Luke Harding, the lucrative part of this partnership involved providing dirt to competing Russian oligarchs and gangsters in their wars with one another. This, obviously, is a perfect cover for intelligence operations conducted by the British into Russia. This is also why it is such a fatal mistake to pursue the tragic red herring of partisan Republican cries that the "real collaboration" with the Russians occurred via the Clinton campaign because Christopher Steele had a relationship with such as Russian oligarch Oleg Deripaska.



Department of State

John Kerry (left) and Jonathan Winer (right).

The real issue is war and peace, and the British are gambling on a strategy of tension and possible war as the means to maintain their power. The British have been playing this game with Russian oligarchs, who have compromised themselves to the British, financially and otherwise, since before the collapse of the Soviet Union. It is the British, not the Russians, who have diddled the United States.

Christopher Steele, Jonathan Winer, Bruce Ohr, Glenn Simpson, former FBI Deputy Director Andrew McCabe, Lisa Page, Nellie Ohr, Roy Godson, Michael Gaeta, and other figures who have taken the stage as part of Russiagate, have all known each other and worked together for a very long time. This is the result of a long-term intelligence focus on Russia, coalescing in

Barack Obama's Transnational Organized Crime initiative focused on Eurasian organized crime. Lisa Page, former Trump Russiagate FBI case agent Peter Stzrok's rabidly biased mistress, advanced her career as a result of the FBI's investigation of Ukrainian oligarch Dmitry Firtash, a major investigation within this initiative. Christopher Steele's previous relationships with the FBI stem from the Eurasian Organized Crime Strike Force and the FBI's investigation of FIFA corruption.

Obama's July 2011 National Security Policy named Transnational Organized Crime (TOC) as a threat to U.S. national security. The Obama Administration forged an alliance with the British to combat this "threat" and opened the funding spigots for projects in this area. Fighting TOC, is, in reality, simply another tool for attacking governments, institutions, and people whom the Anglo-Americans disfavor, rather than a serious effort against drugs, drug money laundering, or terrorism.

Jonathan Winer—who formerly played a leading role in John Kerry's Senate investigations of drug money laundering by the Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation (HSBC), Edmond Safra's Bank

of New York, and the Bank of Credit and Commerce International (BCCI) —has, in his later incarnation, drifted to the British side. Winer is credited with coming up with the idea for the Magnitsky Act sanctions against Putin and Russia for his client, British intelligence operative Bill Browder, who is but one of many City of London operatives responsible for looting Russia following the collapse of the Soviet Union. Browder is a protégé of Edmond Safra.

Browder and Winer have collaborated ever since in a worldwide campaign to extend the Magnitsky sanctions against Putin and Russia as part of the new Cold War. Both Sen. John McCain (R-Ariz.) and Sen. Ben Cardin (D-Md.) were instrumental in this campaign. Both are in the dirty chain of people who have worked with Steele. McCain and McCain Institute director for Human Rights and Democracy, David Kramer, became the cut-outs for December 2016 efforts to bring the entire Steele dossier forward into full public view through *BuzzFeed*. Cardin, according to word on the street, became a leak point from the State Department of classified dirty memos against both Russia and Trump, a leak campaign coordinated with Hillary Clinton and the Obama White House.

Glenn Simpson’s career has focused on gathering dirt against Putin. But, to illustrate the type of actual master employing him, he was also a critical piece in hedge fund monster Paul Singer’s destabilization attack on the government of Argentina.

From 2014-2016, Christopher Steele wrote over 100 memos concerning Russia and Ukraine which were provided to Victoria Nuland, the case officer for the Ukraine coup, and to Winer and Secretary of State John Kerry. Nuland has previously stated that U.S. agencies, all working with the National Endowment for Democracy, spent over \$5 billion to organize the Ukraine coup which employed neo-Nazis as military shock troops. On Feb. 11, Nuland appeared pre-emptively on national television to deny any use of the Steele memos by the



Victoria Nuland doling out snacks to violent anti-government protesters in Ukraine, December 2013, with Geoffrey Pyatt.

State Department, because doing so would violate the Hatch Act. However, Winer contradicted the suddenly virtuous Victoria in his account, stating that the memos were circulated by State, including Secretary of State John Kerry in the mix.

In addition, it is claimed that notorious Clinton dirty trickster Cody Shearer fed fabricated dirt on Russia and Trump to Steele through Winer. Steele, in turn, fed it to the FBI, as corroborative of Steele’s own feral musings.

Another State Department activity in the coup centers on the infamous June 2016 Trump Tower meeting. That meeting involved Donald Trump, Jr., Paul Manafort, Jared Kushner, and the Russian lawyer Natalia Veselnitskaya. According to the emails setting up the meeting, Veselnitskaya was carrying “dirt” on Hillary Clinton provided directly by the Russian government. In the Mueller dossier, we outlined at some length why this meeting bears all the trappings of a sting operation against the Trump campaign in pursuit of corroboration for the Steele dossier’s claims.

Veselnitskaya traveled to the United States on a highly unusual State Department business visa issued over the objections of the Justice Department. Robert Otto, the top U.S. intelligence guy on Russia, according to *Foreign Policy* magazine, works at the State Depart-

ment's Bureau of Intelligence and Research. Otto's emails were hacked and posted on the Internet. They show surveillance of Veselnitskaya's house in Russia prior to her visit to Trump Tower. She claims her children had been threatened. The strange circumstances of this Russian lawyer's visa, the surveillance of her house, and her story about threats, suggest that she was under the control of Washington operatives with respect to the Trump Tower affair. Additional Otto emails show Otto working with David Kramer, former State Department coordinator for Project Democracy and the aide to John McCain, who many believe leaked the Steele Memo to *BuzzFeed*.

Finally, there are the three Chalupa sisters: Irene at the State Department's Radio Free Europe, Radio Liberty; Arlene, the social media maven in the Ukraine coup; and Alexandra, who coordinated the Clinton Campaign's work with Kiev's intelligence agencies targeting Donald Trump and Paul Manafort. Alexandra claims to have been hacked directly by the Russians while working at the DNC for Clinton because of her research on Paul Manafort. She coordinated her Manafort work with none other than Yahoo's Michael Isikoff.

How Does All This Affect Mueller?

I have characterized Robert Mueller as a modern day Grand Inquisitor, a Torquemada, and a Captain Ahab. But one thing is certain: Strait-laced Bobby Three Sticks, the font of prosecutorial rectitude portrayed by Washington D.C.'s public relations gurus, he most certainly is not. All of the names I have called him reference a ruthless amorality in service of those who have asked him to go to war for them, including the Bush family and other scions of the Anglo-American elite. As detailed in our Mueller Report, our experience with him is up close and personal. Mueller led the im-



EIRNS/Stuart Lewis

FBI raids LaRouche publishing offices, Oct. 7, 1986.

moral, corrupt, and lawless prosecution of Lyndon LaRouche, in which the British and their American satraps called for LaRouche's head on strategic and political policy grounds. As with Donald Trump, they framed LaRouche as a crazy Russian dupe. As with Trump, the primary weapon against LaRouche was an information warfare campaign, endlessly and relentlessly cycling fake news. And, as in the current targeting of President Trump, the British hand was all over the prosecution of LaRouche.

A classified letter from the British government to the FBI in 1982 demanded LaRouche's head. An FBI investigation was launched under Executive Order 12333, in collaboration with the CIA, with full use of the nation's counterintelligence authorities, including surveillance, infiltration, and black propaganda conducted through the news media. A media salon of propagandists from major publications working under the auspices of the Bush family, James Jesus Angleton, and CIA active measures operatives John Train and Walter Raymond, was assembled and pumped out a barrage of salacious lies about LaRouche over a two year period. This "weaponized" information warfare and media campaign was designed to set the stage for a "solution" to the LaRouche problem by either assassination or prosecution. That solution became opera-

tive Oct. 6, 1986, with a 400-person raid, under Robert Mueller's direction, on the place where LaRouche was staying and the Leesburg, Virginia offices occupied by LaRouche's associates. The assassination gambit failed, so Mueller prosecuted LaRouche in Boston for obstruction of justice. True to type, however, Mueller's Boston prosecution of LaRouche collapsed in 1988 amid judicial findings of "systemic and institutional prosecutorial misconduct."

The same lack of a moral compass and complete infidelity to the U.S. Constitution resulted in Mueller's coverup of the British/Saudi murder of 3,000 Americans September 11, 2001, his coverup of the deprecations of such drug and terrorist financing fronts as BCCI and Banca Nazionale de Lavoro (BNL), his coverup of the cocaine financing of the Bush Administration's adventures in Central America, accomplished by pinning it all on Panama President Manuel Noriega, and his brutal prosecutions of other innocents like former bio-defense researcher for the U.S. Army Dr. Steven Hatfill. Mueller bears much responsibility for the unconstitutional surveillance police state under which Americans have lived since September 11, 2001. We detail all of this in our original the Mueller dossier.

As many have pointed out, Mueller has multiple conflicts of interest. He was considered a mentor by the chief witness in his obstruction investigation, James Comey. He ran the FBI for years and has repeatedly stated that he will not countenance criticism of the Bureau. The man in the Department of Justice ultimately responsible for vetting Christopher Steele, John Carlin, is Robert Mueller's former Chief of Staff.²

Alan Dershowitz and Andrew McCarthy have argued, persuasively, that Mueller's investigation violates the Constitution and that Rod Rosenstein's letter appointing him was *ultra vires*, that is, lacking in legal authority.

Under Article II, Sec. 2.2 of the U.S. Constitution, the President controls the Department of Justice, like




World Trade Center twin towers under attack in New York City, Sept. 11, 2001.

it or not. The remedy for an abuse of the President's authority is in an election, not turning the Justice Department into some sort of independent star chamber bereft of control. Moreover, independent counsels are appointed to investigate crimes, not allegations of collusion, which is a counterintelligence and national security function. The President has the authority under the Constitution to oversee all counterintelligence investigations and to fire anyone or to end their operations as he deems necessary. It is not only an authority, it is an obligation of the President of the United States.

Further, Rod Rosenstein's grant of authority to Mueller in his appointment letter to investigate "any other crimes" he discovers in the course of his counterintelligence investigation is a *de facto* hunting license, which Congress sought to prevent when it refused to renew the Independent Counsel statute. The regulations under which Mueller is operating dictate that he is to investigate specific crimes where the Department of Justice is shown to have a conflict of interest.

This does not mean that Mueller should be "fired" by the President in any of the crazed scenarios floated by the moral and intellectual dead heads presently constituting the leadership of the formerly great party of Franklin Roosevelt and John F. Kennedy. It means that the Congress—and others with access to the classified

2. See Scott Ritter's extremely useful Feb. 4, 2018 review of the Carter Page FISA scandal and Christopher Steele's relationship to the FBI, "[The Ugly Truth in the Nunes Memo.](#)"



newamerica.net

Philip Mudd, former CIA officer.

documents and subpoena power—should pursue each of the stages of the criminal conspiracy against Donald Trump outlined in our Mueller dossier. The truth, finally exposed, will leave Mueller with nothing to investigate or prosecute. The truth, finally exposed, will put the actual criminals, like Christopher Steele, in the dock, as requested by Senators Grassley and Graham.

Cornered, Snarling Dogs

With the story of Russiagate falling apart, defenders of the FBI and CIA are resorting to blatant threats against the President. Philip Mudd, a former CIA officer whom Robert Mueller personally moved to the FBI to supervise Mueller's huge informant program, told CNN in August 2017 that "this government is going to kill this guy," referring to Trump. On Feb. 2, Mudd, now a CNN consultant, lashed out against the Nunes memo on CNN. Parroting the line of Congressional Democrats about the memo, he said it is an attack on the FBI's "ability to conduct an investigation with integrity. . . . The FBI people are ticked. . . . You think you can intimidate the director? You better think again, Mr. President." He added, "I know how the game is played. We're going to win."

The media has gone out seeking reinforcements, as the public continues to reject Russiagate as a myth and a hoax. CNN just hired Josh Campbell, a former top aide

to Comey, to join its team, while NBC television hired John Brennan to provide "commentary."

Additionally, there is Laurence Tribe, Barack Obama's Harvard law professor and a formerly respected Constitutional scholar. Tribe's inner alien has been running loose ever since he succumbed to Trump derangement syndrome in November 2016. Now he has sallied forth to claim, on the pages of the *New York Times*, that Devin Nunes and other members of Congress are guilty of obstruction of justice because they undermined Robert Mueller's phony investigation. As President Trump quipped, if you fight back, you are obstructing, in the opinion of those who have criminalized political debate.

What you are really hearing in the protests against Nunes and Grassley and against the unraveling of the Russiagate charade are the snarls of people who have been caught and are about to have to face the music. They can't stop themselves because they are too exposed. In a Fox Television interview, Devin Nunes spoke of the serenity which comes from knowing that the facts and the law are on your side and about watching how cartoonish and comical those caught by you react to being exposed. The would-be emperors truly have no clothes.

But, the danger remains and will intensify. After all, a shift in the way the world has been run since the death of Franklin Roosevelt is at stake. Our so-called intelligence gurus rave about dictatorships while they surveil everyone and seek wholesale censorship of social media and news publications so that only one viewpoint impregnates the American mind. The Truth Ministry of George Orwell was fully implemented under George Bush and Barack Obama. The disclosures of recent weeks and the trail left by Christopher Steele create the potential for a real challenge to the London/Washington "narrative," a real potential to drain the swamp.

As Devin Nunes said, we can win this fight because the facts and the truth are on our side. We can win this fight now, but we need you to join it. If you haven't read the full Mueller dossier, please do so. While you are at it, read and endorse LaRouche PAC's 2018 electoral platform, which can bring true prosperity back to our country. How to create such, I guarantee you, the British agents and coup participants do not have a clue. That is, ultimately, their fatal flaw. As the Chinese would say, they have lost the mandate of heaven.