Court Decision Covers for British Hand Behind 9/11

by Barbara Boyd

April 2—On March 29, 2018, U.S. District Judge George Daniels was forced to provide—to the surviving relatives of the 2,996 individuals murdered at the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001, and the more than 6,000 injured on that day—limited discovery about the perpetrators of that crime.

The Judge's hand was forced by JASTA, the Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act, passed by the U.S. Congress in 2016 over President Obama's veto. JASTA allowed the 9/11 families, for the first time in over sixteen years, to add the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia as a defendant in their lawsuit seeking redress for the 9/11 attacks. Saudi Arabia has been protected by provisions of U.S. laws shielding foreign sovereign nations from U.S. lawsuits, as well as by the Bush family, Dick Cheney, and U.S. intelligence agencies, themselves implicated in the events of 9/11. Fifteen of the nineteen hijackers were Saudi nationals who arrived in the United States well prior to the attack, and lived and trained here. Prominent in this cover-up is Robert S. Mueller III, the Special Prosecutor whose present as-

signment is to take out the President of the United States.

While the families were tossed a significant morsel by a Judge whose hostility otherwise shines through his March 29 decision, the crime at issue here was monstrous. Moreover, in any just society, the treatment of these families by their government, as they sought justice for their murdered loved ones, would have resulted in popular revulsion and outrage, a very, very long time ago.

In the Kafkaesque cover-up directed at these families, the government first sought to buy them off with a Victim's Compensation Fund which provided money damages if they signed away their right to sue any of the airlines involved in 9/11. The families then had to fight the Bush Administration to appoint a Commission to investigate the 9/11 events after the Joint Congressional Committee investigating the events ran out of time, and had 28 pages of its report concerning the Saudis censored by classification on the direct demand of then FBI Director Mueller.



PAC/Matthew Ogden

The press conference featuring Sen. Rand Paul's introduction of a Senate resolution, calling for the release of the classified 28 pages of the 9/11 Inquiry Report, June 2, 2016. Former Senator Bob Graham is at the microphone.



The Justice Against Sponsors of Terrorism Act was passed in 2016 over Obama's veto.

Once the families overcame Bush Administration attempts to kill the very idea of an investigative commission, the person originally designated by George Bush to head the Commission was none other than Henry Kissinger. When the families succeeded in disqualifying Kissinger, based on obvious conflicts of interest, Philip Zelikow was inserted, instead, as staff director, controlling the entire investigation.

Zelikow was a Bush Administration insider who had written the Administration's national security documents justifying preemptive war in Iraq. Moreover, the Bush Administration's mandate for the Commission explicitly prevented it from finding fault. Its find-

ings relied on Robert Mueller's fabulously flawed PENTBOM investigation¹ and included false confessions to numerous actions by Khalid Sheikh Mohammed after he was tortured and water-boarded hundreds of times. Zelikow fired the investigator most familiar with Saudi involvement in the attacks and sought to whitewash the Saudi role, inclusive of making last-minute and preposterous claims about Iranian involvement in 9/11. The Saudi chapter was shortened and edited to make way for these last-minute, bogus Iranian claims.

When the families sought to charge Saudi Arabia in court, using the extant doctrines con-

cerning sovereign immunity prior to JASTA, that aspect of their suit was dismissed because Saudi Arabia was not listed by the U.S. State Department as an official state sponsor of terrorism, despite widespread and public acknowledgment of exactly that fact. The Saudis have repeatedly claimed in court that the 9/11 Commission report, written by Zelikow, exonerates them concerning involvement in 9/11, despite affidavits from several Commissioners denying that claim.

In this publication's <u>dossier</u> on Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller III, we urged President Trump to declassify all files concerning the Saudis, the British, and complicit U.S. officials with respect to the events of September

11, 2001 and the obstruction of any real investigation of those events.

Were the President to declassify all of this information, Mueller—who played an absolutely critical role in this cover-up—would stand exposed, having nakedly obstructed justice with regard to the actual events of 9/11. Mueller covered for the Saudis and the Bush Administration while playing an essential role, as FBI Director, in creating the modern U.S. surveillance state, which now engulfs all of us. Creation of the modern Leviathan in which we now live was the result intended by the perpetrators of 9/11. By this single act of declassification, the President would be free of Robert Muel-




White House Photo by Eric Draper

President George W. Bush announces Robert Mueller to be director of the FBI, during an event in the Rose Garden, Thursday, July 5, 2001.

^{1.} As detailed in *EIR*'s Mueller Dossier, Mueller investigated and endlessly harassed an innocent man, Stephen Hatfill, for the anthrax letter attacks, resulting in a multi-million dollar legal settlement for Hatfill. Mueller barely escaped civil liability himself for rounding up and torturing innocent Muslims in New York during the PENTBOM investigation.







Zbigniew Brzezinski

ler, and the cause of elementary justice on behalf of these families and the nation as a whole would finally be served.

Lyndon LaRouche, in a series of publications before and after 9/11, correctly called 9/11 a Saudi/British operation used by Vice President Dick Cheney and others to destroy the last vestiges of our constitutional form of government. The evidence adduced by the families, the parade of government officials who have attempted to block the viewing of that evidence, and a short overview of Saudi Arabia's complete and total subservience to British geopolitical designs, more than validate this claim

The Saudis Have Never Been Anything Other Than a British Tool

While recent efforts at reform of Saudi Arabia involving the Russians, the Chinese, and Donald Trump may present a more hopeful prospect for the future, they defy the Kingdom's entire history and most certainly do not represent what the Kingdom was as of September 11, 2001. The Saudi role as a British colonial destabilization and asymmetric warfare tool against other nations in the Middle East was enshrined in the Sykes-Picot agreement in 1916. The Saudi Royal family loyally performed this role against any Arab nationalist eager to develop his country, most notably Gamal Abdel Nasser of Egypt. The British and the United States were equally adept at using Shia Muslim extremists for the

same purpose. In 1953, they used Shia extremists to overthrow Iran's nationalist leader, Mohammad Mosaddegh.

Ayatollah Khomeini's coup against the Shah similarly was an Anglo-American project based on imperial geopolitical designs and on the Shah's anti-British actions to finally fully develop his nation. That coup united Shia extremists with the Muslim Brotherhood 2

The immediate roots of 9/11 are to be found in the plotting of Zbigniew Brzezinski and British Islamic expert Bernard Lewis to create an "arc of crisis" by balkanizing the entire Muslim Near East along tribal and religious lines. Under the Bernard Lewis Plan, chaos caused by reli-

gious wars in the Arc of Crisis would spill over into Muslim regions of the Soviet Union. Brzezinski takes credit for drawing the Soviet Union into war in Afghanistan by using the radical Salafists constituting the Mujahideen to overthrow the Soviet-friendly regime in Kabul in 1979. His goal was to create a "Viet Nam" for Russia and destabilize Russia's southern border.

Thereafter, successive U.S. and British governments recruited and trained Salafist terrorists from throughout the world to fight their ten-year holy war in Afghanistan, using the Saudis and Pakistan as their

^{2.} For an excellent history of the Saudi/British relationship, see Mark Curtis, *Secret Affairs, Britain's Collusion with Radical Islam* (London: Profile Books, 2010).



BBC Panorama

Saudi Prince Bandar bin Sultan (left) with British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher.

main vehicles for recruitment and funding. In addition to direct funding by the Saudis and Pakistan, the Anglo-American Afghanistan operation was financed by turning the country into the world's prime supplier of heroin. This was further complemented by the Al-Yamamah arms-foroil arrangements negotiated by Margaret Thatcher and Saudi Prince Bandar bin Sultan in 1985, which created a worldwide flow of hot funds supporting terrorism and propping up, at various times, the City of London's bankrupt monetarist apparatus.


When the Anglo-Americans went to war in the Balkans in the 1990s, a whole new front for these sponsored terrorist mercenaries was opened up and funded, for the same British geopolitical goal of targeting and weakening Russia. The British and Saudi royal families are extremely close, and their economies virtually integrated.

Interviewed by *Le Nouvel Observateur*, Brzezinski was asked if he regretted his support of Islamic fundamentalism, given its evolution into terrorism. He said, "What is


more important in world history? The Taliban or the collapse of the Soviet empire? Some agitated Moslems or the liberation of Central Europe and the end of the cold war?" It was, of course, precisely these "agitated Moslems" who murdered almost 3,000 Americans on September 11, 2001.

The New Complaint and the Discovery Decision

The 9/11 families' 2017 post-JASTA Complaint naming the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia as a defendant, provides a detailed map of evidence presently known about the Saudi state role in the 9/11 attack. While limited by years of determined U.S. and British government interference, lies, and overt and vicious official misconduct, the Complaint more than demonstrates Saudi state sponsorship of terrorism culminating in the 9/11 attack on the United States. Any doubts about this will be resolved if you simply



Khalid al-Mihdhar



Nawaf al-Hazmi



Omar al-Bayoumi



Fahad al-Thumairy

read the evidence set forth in their Complaint.³

Significant evidence set forth in the Complaint results from the declassification of 28 pages of the Joint Congressional Inquiry report, showing direct Saudi government support of two of the 9/11 hijackers, Nawaf al-Hazmi and Khalid al-Mihdhar, who operated out of San Diego. As previously noted, these 28 pages were formerly suppressed and classified on Robert Mueller's personal order. The FBI reports from which the 28 pages are drawn were viewed by the Joint Congressional Committee staff in San Diego after Mueller explicitly ordered them not to go to San Diego. Former U.S. Senator Robert Graham states that Mueller otherwise "aggressively deceived" the United States Congress in his all-out effort to shield the Saudis and others from legal scrutiny during the Joint Congressional Inquiry.

^{3.} See https://28pages.org/2017/04/06/complaint-in-911-suit-reveals-depth-of-case-against-kingdom/



White House/Eric Draper

President George W. Bush (left) meeting with Saudi Arabian Ambassador Prince Bandar bin Sultan in Crawford, Texas, Aug. 27, 2002.

Similar obstruction was undertaken by Robert Mueller, Dick Cheney, and other Bush Administration officials on behalf of the other Saudi hijackers and their support networks, most demonstrably in Florida, where thousands of FBI and other intelligence documents remain suppressed and classified to this day. This obstruction began almost immediately after the 9/11 attacks, when numerous Saudi citizens were flown out of the country as the result of a deal between the Bush Administration and Prince Bandar, placing them beyond the reach of any investigation. Bandar, the Saudi Ambassador to the United States, was so close to the Bush family that he was called Bandar Bush in knowledgeable circles.

Nawaf al-Hazmi and Khalid al-Mihdhar were known Al-Qaeda terrorists, carrying passports specially marked by the Saudi government indicating that they were terrorists. They were the first of the 9/11 terrorists to land in the United States and settled in San Diego. Prince Bandar has publicly stated that such terrorists were under precise surveillance by the Saudi government prior to the events of 9/11, and Prince Turki has stated that Hazmi and al-Mihdhar were known Al-Qaeda watch list terrorists as of 1999-2000. The two hijackers received assistance in San Diego from Fahad al-Thumairy, a radical Islamist cleric who worked out of the Saudi Consulate in Los Angeles, and from Omar al-Bayoumi.

Bayoumi was in the United States on a false student visa and had a no-show job with a Saudi government

entity, which increased his salary massively once he began assisting the hijackers. The FBI considered Bayoumi to be a Saudi intelligence agent. In San Diego, the hijackers rented an apartment from an FBI informant whose identity and information have been concealed, possibly forever, by Robert Mueller. The assistance provided by Thumairy and al-Bayoumi to the two hijackers included transportation, lodging, communications, social activities, English language and social skills, assimilation, and flight training. During this time, Bavoumi had numerous contacts with the Saudi Arabian Embassy in Washington, D.C., including with diplomat Khalid al-Suwailem, who worked for the Ministry of Islamic Affairs at the Embassy.

Judge Daniels has allowed the families discovery on al-Bayoumi and Thumairy only. They will be allowed discovery to demonstrate the relationship of these two individuals to the Saudi government. There is no apparent rationale for Judge Daniels' decision, since other evidence and targets for discovery were equally compelling.

To cite but a few examples, the families sought discovery on Osama Bassman, Mohammed al-Qudhaeein, Hamdan al-Shalawi, and Saleh al-Hussayen, each of whom had extremely significant relationships with the Saudi government. Bassman bragged to an FBI informant that he had helped the San Diego hijackers even more than al-Bayoumi. While doing so, Bassman and his wife had received direct cash payments from Prince Bandar. Al-Qudhaeein and al-Shalawi, two Saudi students, while flying to Washington for an event at the Saudi Embassy, conducted a dry-run probe of cockpit defenses during their flight.

Al-Hussayen is a senior Saudi cleric who arrived in Virginia shortly before the attacks, and switched his lodging to the hotel which housed the Flight 77 hijackers. Interviewed by the FBI about this, he "passed out or feigned a seizure," was taken to the hospital, and allegedly gave the FBI the slip thereafter. Upon his return to Saudi Arabia, he was promoted and oversaw the holiest sites in Islam, the Mecca and Medina mosques. Judge Daniels disallowed this discovery as well as any discovery concerning the vast Saudi state mechanism for funding terrorism through various Saudi charities.

But the truth will come out.