

# LaRouche's Record: Why Cheney Must Be Removed

by EIR Staff

For more than a year Democratic Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche has identified Vice-President Dick Cheney as the crucial character within the Bush Administration who has, 1) been pursuing his own long-term strategy of turning the United States into a new Roman Empire, and 2) been exercising Svengali-like power over President George W. Bush in order to achieve his ends. We review LaRouche's track record on this matter, citing from his major documents and webcasts.

**Sept. 20, 2002:** "Iraq Is a Fuse, But Cheney Built the Bomb," was the title of a major statement issued by LaRouche two days after the release of the so-called "National Security Strategy of the United States," and the draft Congressional resolution authorizing the President to go, unconstitutionally, to war. In that document, LaRouche identified the Vice-President as the key figure behind the pre-emptive war policy, and called for his resignation. We quote:

"The fact is, that the policies contained within those two fraudulent documents, were first surfaced during Spring 1990, as emissions of a task force directed by then-Secretary of Defense Dick Cheney, a task force then headed by Paul Wolfowitz, Lewis Libby, and Eric Edelman. Although unsuccessful until now, they represent the persisting, mad obsession of Dick Cheney and his Chicken-hawk accomplices over the course of no less than the past dozen years.

"Fact #2: The evidence since 1992 is, that the policy uttered in those documents, is not a reflection of 2001-2002 developments, but is merely but another of many rewarmings of the previously failed work product embodied in a September 2000 revival of the previously suppressed Cheney doctrine of 1990. This was a policy of Vice Presidential candidate Dick Cheney, designed as a global strategic doctrine intended to govern the foreign policy of a 2001-2005 Bush Administration.

"Fact #3: This doctrine, pushed repeatedly by Cheney and his Chicken-hawk accomplices since 1990, had no notable success in securing adoption until the events of Sept. 11, 2001. Although no actual proof of the authorship of the Sept. 11, 2001 physical attacks on New York City and Washington has been presented by any government, without those attacks, the previously unsuccessful policies of Cheney and his Sharon-allied Chicken-hawks could not have been brought forth as

the two new Bush Administration doctrines now. Solely as a result of the psychological impact of Sept. 11, 2001, Cheney, his Chicken-hawks, and Ariel Sharon, are now being given the war they have desired so passionately, so obsessively, over a dozen years to date. . . .

“In summary, Vice President Dick Cheney’s recurring wet dreams of a U.S. worldwide Roman Empire are, in and of themselves, the world’s greatest single threat to the continuation of civilization in any part of this planet today. These facts demand that Cheney’s prompt resignation be sought, and accepted.”

**March 25, 2003:** “War, Hitler, & Cheney” was the title of a major document released by the LaRouche campaign in the immediate wake of the launching of the Iraq war, in which LaRouche put the spotlight, once again, directly on the Vice-President and his de facto control over President Bush:

“The immediate situation of the United States is summed up as follows: At this moment, as I had forewarned you in 1999-2000, we are plunging into a world depression comparable to, but worse than the Herbert Hoover Depression of 1929-1933. As I forewarned you in an address broadcast at the beginning of 2001, new would-be Adolf Hitlers have now appeared, this time inside the U.S.A. Those would-be Hitlers now threaten the whole world with the kinds of wars for which the world later hung Nazi leaders, at Nuremberg: the new Hitlers from inside the U.S.A. and Blair’s government, who act exactly as Hitler threatened Czechoslovakia in 1938, and invaded Poland in 1939.

“The pivotal feature of that warfare into which an already bankrupt United States has just been plunged, is the de facto usurpation of the function of a still-sitting President by Halliburton’s Vice-President Cheney, and by a gang of his organized-crime-linked lackeys polluting not only the Departments of Defense and State; but also polluting, and virtually castrating elected and other leaders of the nominal opposition, the Democratic Party.”

**April 24, 2003:** In his webcast on this date, LaRouche again stressed that removing Cheney, not Bush, was the key to shifting the situation in the United States. This has to be done now, not after the 2004 elections, LaRouche said.

**June 7, 2003:** LaRouche’s campaign issued a press release under the title, “LaRouche Says Charges Against Cheney Constitute Grounds for Impeachment.” The release read as follows:

“In the midst of a growing mountain of evidence that Vice President Dick Cheney led a battery of senior Bush Administration officials, in repeatedly using what was known to be a forged document from a foreign government to corral Congressional and public support for the Iraq war, Democratic Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche issued a sharply-worded statement today, insisting on a full investigation, documenting exactly what Vice President Cheney knew; when he knew it; and precisely what he did, contrary to what he knew to be the truth.

“The charges against Cheney are centered on the fact that the Vice President repeatedly used documents, allegedly from the government of Niger, purporting to show Iraqi government efforts to purchase large quantities of uranium precursor ‘yellow cake’ from that African nation, long after he learned that the documents were forged.

“In a statement released through his national spokeswoman, Debra Hanania-Freeman, LaRouche was quoted as saying: ‘Let there be no mistake about it. The nature of these charges constitute hard grounds for impeachment. The question has to be taken head on. It is time for Dick Cheney to come clean. I want to know exactly what Dick Cheney knew and when he knew it. The charges are grave and specific and leave no wiggle room. Determining who knew what and when is, at this time, an urgent matter of national security.’

“Freeman, citing LaRouche’s own track record in challenging the avalanche of disinformation and “spun” intelligence products, thrown up by the Straussian neo-conservative network inside the Bush Administration to launch the recent war against Iraq, said that LaRouche was uniquely positioned to hold not only the Administration itself, but also the other Democratic Presidential candidates accountable for their uncritical endorsement of what amounts to an ongoing fraud against the Congress and the American people.

“She said that the chronology of events documented in the Waxman letter, indicates that Vice President Cheney was among the first Administration officials to be informed that the Niger documents were forgeries; and that he nevertheless continued to assert the Niger-Iraq uranium story as fact. “This kind of witting, repeated fraud against the Congress and the people of the United States represents a crime of the highest order. And, as such, I can tell you that Mr. LaRouche will see to it that a determination is made, and made quickly, and that he will not back off until appropriate and severe action against those perpetrating this fraud is taken.”

## **Cheney Represents Synarchist Financiers**

**July 2, 2003:** In an internationally broadcast webcast, LaRouche identified Vice-President Cheney as a new potential Adolf Hitler, and stressed the need to remove him from the Administration.

**Aug. 9, 2003:** LaRouche’s campaign released a leaflet entitled “When Cheney Spoke of Terrorism: Which Terrorists, Dick?” The leaflet addressed the fact that Cheney had given a speech on July 24, in which he effectively promised that a new terrorist attack against the U.S.A. was on its way. LaRouche proposed an escalated campaign of exposé of the Synarchist International grouping, which is run from the top by oligarchical bankers for which Cheney was the frontman.

With these statements circulating in the millions of copies, and within policy circles in Washington, can there be any doubt that the political demise of Dick Cheney, will be seen internationally, and correctly, as the direct result of the initiative taken openly, and aggressively, by Lyndon LaRouche?