

Cold War, Today, Tomorrow, Every Day Till the End of the World

By William Blum

Global Research, October 03, 2016

The Empire Report

Region: Russia and FSU, USA Theme: US NATO War Agenda

"Russia suspected of election scheme. U.S. probes plan to sow voter distrust."

That's the Washington Post page-one lead headline of September 6. Think about it. The election that Americans are suffering through, cringing in embarrassment, making them think of moving abroad, renouncing their citizenship; an election causing the Founding Fathers to throw up as they turn in their graves ... this is because the Russian Devils are sowing voter distrust! Who knew?

But of course, that's the way Commies are – Oh wait, I forgot, they're no longer Commies. So what are they? Ah yes, they still have that awful old hangup so worthy of condemnation by decent people everywhere – They want to stand in the way of American world domination. The nerve!

The first Cold War performed a lobotomy on Americans, replacing brain matter with anticommunist viral matter, producing more than 70 years of functional national stupidity.

For all of you who missed this fun event there's good news: Cold War Two is here, as big and as stupid as ever. Russia and Vladimir Putin are repeatedly, and automatically, blamed for all manner of bad things. The story which follows the above *Washington Post* headline does not even bother to make up something that could pass for evidence of the claim. The newspaper just makes the claim, at the same time pointing out that "the intelligence community is not saying it has 'definitive proof' of such tampering, or any Russian plans to do so." But the page-one headline has already served its purpose.

Hillary Clinton in her debate with Donald Trump likewise accused Russia of all kinds of computer hacking. Even Trump, not usually a stickler for accuracy, challenged her to offer something along the lines of evidence. She had nothing to offer.

In any event, this is all a diversion. It's not hacking per se that bothers the establishment; it's the revelations of their lies that drives them up the wall. The hack of the Democratic National Committee on the eve of the party's convention disclosed a number of embarrassing internal emails, forcing the resignation of DNC Chairwoman Debbie Wasserman Schultz.

On September 12 we could read in the *Post* that a well-known physician had called for Clinton to be checked for possible poisons afer her collapse in New York. Said the good doctor: "I do not trust Mr. Putin and Mr. Trump. With those two all things are possible."

Numerous other examples could be given here of the *Post's* near-juvenile anti-Russian bias. One of the most common subjects has been Crimea. Moscow's "invasion" of the Crimean peninsula in Ukraine in February 2014 is repeatedly cited as proof of Moscow's belligerent and expansionist foreign policy and the need for Washington to once again feed the defense-budget monster. But we're never reminded that Russia was reacting to a US-supported coup that overthrew the democratically-elected government of Ukraine on Russia's border and replaced it with a regime in which neo-Nazis, complete with swastikas, feel very much at home. Russia "invaded" to assist Eastern Ukrainians in their resistance to this government, and did not even cross the border inasmuch as Russia already had a military base in Ukraine.

NATO (= USA) has been surrounding Russia for decades. Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov captured the exquisite shamelessness of this with his remark of September 27, 2014: "Excuse us for our existence in the middle of your bases."

By contrast here is US Secretary of State, John Kerry: "NATO is not a threat to anyone. It is a defensive alliance. It is simply meant to provide security. It is not focused on Russia or anyone else."

NATO war games in these areas are frequent, almost constant. The encirclement of Russia is about complete except for Georgia and Ukraine. In June, Germany's foreign minister, Frank-Walter Steinmeier, shockingly accused NATO of "war-mongering" against Russia. How would the United States react to a Russian coup in Mexico or Canada followed by Russian military exercises in the same area?

Since the end of Cold War One, NATO has been feverishly searching for a reason to justify its existence. Their problem can be summed up with this question: If NATO had never existed what argument could be given now to create it?

The unmitigated arrogance of US policy in Ukraine was best epitomized by the now-famous remark of Victoria Nuland, Assistant Secretary at the State Department, reacting to possible European Union objection to Washington's role in Ukraine: "Fuck the EU", she charmingly declared.

Unlike the United States, Russia does not seek world domination, nor even domination of Ukraine, which Moscow could easily accomplish if it wished. Neither did the Soviet Union set out to dominate Eastern Europe post-World War II. It must be remembered that Eastern Europe became communist because Hitler, with the approval of the West, used it as a highway to reach the Soviet Union to wipe out Bolshevism forever; and that the Russians in World Wars I and II lost about 40 million people because the West had twice used this highway to invade Russia. It should not be surprising that after World War II the Soviets were determined to close down the highway.

The Washington Post's campaign to depict Russia as the enemy is unrelenting. Again, on the 19th, we could read in the paper the following: "U.S. intelligence and law enforcement agencies are investigating what they see as a broad covert Russian operation in the United States to sow public distrust in the upcoming presidential election and in U.S. political institutions, intelligence and congressional officials said."

Nothing, however, compares with President Obama's speech to the UN General Assembly (September 24, 2014) where he classified Russia to be one of the three threats to the world

along with the Islamic State and ebola.

A war between nuclear-powered United States and nuclear- powered Russia is "unthinkable". Except that American military men think about it, like Cold-War US General Thomas Power, speaking about nuclear war or a first strike by the US: "The whole idea is to *kill* the bastards! At the end of the war, if there are two Americans and one Russian, we win!" The response from one of those present was: "Well, you'd better make sure that they're a man and a woman."

Notes

- 1. Washington Post, December 3, 2015
- 2. Various online sources, see for example Thomas Power's wikipedia entry
- 3. Democracy Now!, June 9, 2016

The original source of this article is <u>The Empire Report</u> Copyright © <u>William Blum</u>, <u>The Empire Report</u>, 2016

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: William Blum

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$