

## **Desperation Looms Over NATO's War in Ukraine**

By Joachim Hagopian

Global Research, March 11, 2024

Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: **UKRAINE REPORT** 

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Global Research Fundraising: Stop the Pentagon's Ides of March

\*\*\*

On the 2-year anniversary of Russia launching its Special Military Operation in Ukraine to de-Nazify and demilitarize Ukraine, NATO Secretary-General <u>Jens Stoltenberg</u> boldly stated:

Ukraine is now nearer to NATO than ever before. We are assisting in improving your armed forces' compatibility with our allies. We are opening a new Joint Center for Analysis, Training and Education in Poland together. We're also strengthening our political relationship through the NATO-Ukraine Council, where we consult and make decisions together. Ukraine's enlistment in NATO isn't a question of if, but rather when.

Just two days later on Monday February 26<sup>th</sup>, German Chancellor <u>Olaf Scholz</u> arguing why he is opposed to sending his longer range Taurus cruise missiles to Ukraine (with 500 km/310 mile range that can reach Moscow), he inadvertently outed Britain and France already having troops on the ground in Ukraine as of last year for target control launches of UK's Storm Shadow and France's Scalp cruise missiles inside Ukraine. Politician Scholz is very aware of the latest German poll shows that <u>61%</u> of his nation's population oppose sending Taurus missiles to Ukraine and 80% are against sending NATO troops.

Probably no accident that on that same day on February 26<sup>th</sup>, French President Emmanuel Macron dropped the bombshell suggesting NATO troops may need to be sent to Ukraine.

Putin responded on Thursday February 29<sup>th</sup> saying that NATO entering Ukraine would risk igniting <u>nuclear</u> World War III.

On Friday March 1<sup>st</sup> US Defense Secretary <u>Lloyd Austin</u> warned that if Ukraine falls, NATO will need to fight Russia. On the very same day <u>RT</u> reports that Russian spies release a transcript of a previously recorded 38-minute audio clip of top German generals discussing using Taurus missiles inside Ukraine to blow up Kerch Bridge that serves as a critical supply

line from mainland Russia to Crimean Peninsula. The German generals discussed using British soldiers already in Ukraine to facilitate Taurus missiles launched.

On Wednesday March 6<sup>th</sup> Macron then goads other NATO members to not be "cowards," actively recruiting NATO countries like <u>Poland</u> and the <u>Baltic States</u> to join France and Britain sending troops to Ukraine to fight Russians, especially if Moscow breaks through Ukraine's <u>eastern front</u>, which is more than imminent. On Friday March 8<sup>th</sup> Polish Foreign Minister <u>Radoslaw Sikorski</u> emphasized the need to respond to Russia with "asymmetrical warfare," adding:

The presence of NATO forces in Ukraine is not unthinkable.

On Thursday March 7<sup>th</sup>, UK Foreign Minister David Cameron met in Germany with his counterpart Foreign Minister Annalena Baerbock, urging Berlin to send Taurus missiles to show strength as the "only pathway to peace."

Just three days earlier, <u>Baerbock</u> defying her boss called on her German government to "intensively consider" sending Taurus missiles, and in fact "all materials" to help Kiev.

Another prominent German politician, <u>Marie-Agnes Strack-Zimmermann</u>, who heads Berlin's parliamentary defense committee, is leading the coalition government's opposition against Scholtz's refusal, claiming it "only pleases Putin" as she plans to bring the issue to another parliamentary <u>vote</u> this week. UK's ex-Defense Minister <u>Ben Wallace</u>, who sent the Storm Shadows missiles last year to Kiev, blasted Scholz stating:

As far as the security of Europe goes, he is the wrong man, in the wrong job at the wrong time.

The warring political majority are all counting on the weakling Scholz to once again cave in, like after he initially refused to give Ukraine Leopard tanks, and then reneged only to have most destroyed by Russia.

In recent days based on statements made by a growing number of warmongering European leaders, no doubt following their masters' City of London orders, the threat of world war breaking out in Europe appears to be increasing on a near daily basis.

A pattern has emerged where the two current warfronts in Europe and the Middle East appear to be taking turns ramping up wider war hostilities, with as soon one flaring up, the other one lulls, and then vice versa.

This pattern may well be strategically timed because virtually 100% of today's global population is totally against world war, and thus, the criminal Deep State cabal may actually be alternating tensions from week to week between these two warfronts.

All the while, each region is creepily moving toward worst-case scenario World War III.

If rising conflict came all at once, it would incur extreme oppositional pushback from the global masses, especially in the West because it's been deliberately set up by the elites to lose on every front. Western political puppets are merely the globalists' useful idiots counting on full protection from their masters for suicidally committing treason against their own blood sacrificed citizens.

Meanwhile, the most powerful NATO member, the US, has a stalled Congress in a quagmire after the House last month refused to pass the Senate's \$60 billion Ukraine package bill, due to not including support to bolster US border security. America's impasse over delivering more aid to Kiev is forcing NATO in Europe to step up both its assistance and hawkish rhetoric.

On Thursday March 8<sup>th</sup>, Czech President Petr Pavel declared that 18 NATO countries are sending 800,000 artillery shells to Ukraine, keeping the war going at all cost, since Kiev's acute ammunition shortage has reached critical emergency. Additionally, Pavel presented a proposal on Saturday March 9<sup>th</sup> for Western allies to send non-combat units directly to Ukraine for training purposes. This provocative intervention follows the more aggressive path led by UK and France, already deploying boots on the ground in Ukraine, assisting Kiev fire long-range cruise missiles not only at targets in annexed Russian regions, but directly at targets inside Russia as well. In tandem, a growing number of European puppet leaders are incrementally carrying out pressured demands of the central banking moneychangers that control both globalist entities in Brussels, the EU as well as NATO.

In response to NATO's apparent rush to fast-track Ukraine into NATO as the next 33<sup>rd</sup> nation to join, on Saturday March 9<sup>th</sup>, Republican Utah Senator Mike Lee countered with:

If Ukraine is in NATO, the United States should be out, plain and simple. We must draw a redline with NATO: You can have Ukraine or the United States.

All of this bickering back and forth between pro-war NATO members pushing more aggressively than ever to escalate the hot war with Russia, while minority factions within US/NATO oppose the prospect of all-out war, resisting sending more Kiev arms.

As the impending Ukraine defeat becomes more glaringly obvious, war fatigue has been growing amongst both the West's citizens and a few of their politicians. High profile dissenters against Brussel's Ukraine war policy as a thorn in the side of the globalists are Slovakia's Prime Minster Robert Fico and Hungary's Prime Minister Viktor Orban. Plus, after over two years and a Ukraine victory now impossible, cracks in the NATO armor are fast spreading. Some leaders are worrying more these days over their own fragile economies, growing internal conflicts or their own political future during a year when a record 65 nations are holding national elections.

As a result, today's NATO is anything but united, contrary to false claims by Stoltenberg and his fellow globalist pro-war cronies. The one government player most responsible for today's gloomy outcome in Ukraine is Putin hater <u>Victoria Nuland</u>, who last week submitted her resignation to bow out, perhaps being picked over for her disastrous, failed policy.

Ukraine President <u>Volodymyr Zelensky</u> placed all his eggs in two heavily fortified entrenchments in the Donbass cities of Bakhmut and Avdiivka, where the longest, most intense and costly fighting of this two plus year war was lost.

Ukraine's tyrannical puppet's all or nothing strategy failing to listen to his fired top commander General Zaluzhny, now conveniently removed to London as Kiev's UK ambassador, will prove his downfall.

Though Zelensky lost opportunity to build up his defensive lines outside of Avdiivka, now his

remaining forces are heavily outmanned, outgunned and lacking critical supplies as the larger Russian army is now rapidly advancing, perhaps all the way to Kiev. The bluster of launching another Ukraine <u>counteroffensive</u> is merely false bravado propaganda geared to entice more Western ammo and weapons.

But all the latest talk of NATO boots on the ground has to be music to Zelensky's ears, and with NATO's largest joint military exercises ongoing not far away until June 1<sup>st</sup>, his prayer may yet be answered. Again, the central bank money launderers have also placed all their eggs in their Ukrainian devil's playground war basket, and as the West's puppet masters' demands never go unheeded, rising desperation calls for desperate measures that dictate all this latest war posturing.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Joachim Hagopian is a West Point graduate, former Army officer and author of "Don't Let the Bastards Getcha Down," exposing a faulty US military leadership system based on ticket punching up the seniority ladder, invariably weeding out the best and brightest, leaving mediocrity and order followers rising to the top as politician-bureaucrat generals designated to lose every modern US war by elite design. After the military, Joachim earned a master's degree in Clinical Psychology and worked as a licensed therapist in the mental health field with abused youth and adolescents for more than a quarter century. In Los Angeles he found himself battling the largest county child protective services in the nation within America's thoroughly broken and corrupt child welfare system.

The experience in both the military and child welfare system prepared him well as a researcher and independent journalist, exposing the evils of Big Pharma and how the Rockefeller controlled medical and psychiatric system inflict more harm than good, case in point, the pandemic hoax and kill shot genocide. As an independent journalist for the last decade, Joachim has written hundreds of articles for many news sites, including Global Research, lewrockwell.com and currently <a href="https://jamesfetzer.org/">https://jamesfetzer.org/</a> and <a hr

Featured image source

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Joachim Hagopian</u>, Global Research, 2024

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

## Articles by: Joachim Hagopian

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>