

Ethics Director Among Top Psychologists Who Aided CIA Torture and Cover-Up

By [Claire Bernish](#)

Global Research, July 15, 2015

[The Anti-Media](#) 13 July 2015

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#), [Law and Justice](#)

An alarming recent report revealed not only that prominent psychologists colluded with the Department of Defense and CIA to create a framework of justification for appalling and inexcusable torture, but the person [heading](#) that partnership was none other than Stephen Behnke, the *Ethics* Director of the American Psychological Association.

"The APA's collusion with the national security apparatus is one of the greatest scandals in U.S. medical history," declared a [statement](#) by Physicians for Human Rights (PHR) following the report's release. That statement called for a full investigation by the Department of Justice over the APA's actions—and inactions—that gave the Bush administration the greenlight for cruel and inhumane torture of the highest order.

"The corruption of a health professional organization at this level is an extraordinary betrayal of both ethics and the law and demands an investigation and appropriate prosecutions," implored PHR's executive director, Donna McKay. *"Rather than uphold the principle of 'do no harm,' APA leadership subverted its own ethics policies and sabotaged all efforts at enforcement."*

Acting in concert with DoD officials, the APA became the de facto "PR strategy" [read: propaganda campaign] that sanitized gross human rights abuses in order to "curry favor" with the DoD. Sleep deprivation, waterboarding, stress positions, and other forms of torture were both spuriously justified and allowed to continue through the creative editing and generalization of the very ethics standards that should have prevented *any* torture from taking place. According to the report:

"[K]ey APA officials were operating in close, confidential coordination with key Defense Department officials to set up a task force and produce an outcome that would please DoD, and to produce ethical guidelines that were the same as, or not more restrictive than, the DoD guidelines for interrogation activities."

The 542-page [report](#), first [obtained](#) by the New York Times, resulted from seven months of investigation by a team headed by David Hoffman of the law firm Sidley Austin, at the request of the APA's board.

Physicians for Human Rights summarized the *"overwhelming evidence of criminal activity by APA staff and officials"*—whose involvement is evidenced in the report by the following four key conclusions:

1. *"Colluding with the U.S. Department of Defense, the CIA, and other elements of*

the Bush administration to enable psychologists to design, implement, and defend the post-9/11 torture program”

2. *“Allowing military and intelligence personnel to write APA ethics policies regulating their own conduct to ensure they were ‘covered’ in their roles for the torture program”*
3. *“Engaging in a coordinated campaign to cover up the collusion and blocking attempts to oppose these policies within the APA” and*
4. *“Obstructing and manipulating ethics investigations into psychologists involved in the torture program”*

Hoffman’s report posits several motives—all with “organizational conflict[s] of interest”—that the APA had for its rather astonishing partnership:

“[The] DoD is one of the largest employers of psychologists and provides many millions of dollars in grants or contracts for psychologists around the country. The history of the DoD providing critical assistance to the advancement and growth of psychology as a profession is well documented . . .”

Further, the group of DoD and APA officials who crafted the laughable ethics policy actively dodged international law of the Geneva Convention, where its strictures were tighter than U.S. law. “[I] cannot take a stand opposed to the U.S. government,” said one. Even the APA’s president-elect called it “a ‘distraction’ to draw international law into APA’s ethics guidance.” This falls in line with President Bush’s outright [rejection](#) of the conventions following 9/11 as a deplorably whimsical way to land al-Qaeda and Taliban detainees in a “legal black hole,” as human rights groups and U.S. allies described it.

In a [press release](#), former APA president Dr. Nadine Kaslow stated, “The actions, policies, and lack of independence from government influence described in the Hoffman report represented a failure to live up to our core values. We profoundly regret, and apologize for, the behavior and consequences that ensued.” Listing adopted and proposed strategies to prevent the possibility of a recurrence of such abhorrent ethics violations, Kaslow also admitted, “This bleak chapter in our history occurred over a period of years and will not be resolved in a matter of months.”

Resolved? For whom, exactly? PHR has called for the APA to change its policies for a full decade now—and has pleaded for a federal investigation for at least as long.

Despite the execrable abuses in the [CIA torture report](#)—the entirety of which hasn’t even been fully disclosed—one simple, and utterly indefensible, fact overshadows every new revelation.

Something that appears to be a minutiae from the torture report is, in actuality, a glaringly tragic prediction. One interrogator [told](#) a detainee that he would *never go to court* because, he explained, “we can never let the world know what I have done to you.”

But we do *know*. The entire planet *knows*.

And all those who suffered or died, enduring *unspeakably* heinous crimes at the behest of the U.S. government—*know*.

Yet no one—not a single person—has ever even been *charged* for their crimes.

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Claire Bernish](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca