

The Identity of the Virus: Health/ Science Institutions Worldwide “Have No Record” of SARS-COV-2 Isolation/Purification.

Freedom of Information Requests

By [Christine Massey](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

Global Research, October 16, 2023

[Fluoride Free Peel](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

First published by GR on August 4, 2021, updated on October 16, 2023

Global Research Note

We bring to the attention of our readers this carefully documented study.

While the Chinese authorities announced TWO YEARS AGO on January 7, 2020 that they had isolated and identified “a new type of virus” no details were provided. Then on the 28th of January 2020, the US Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) stated that [the novela corona virus had been isolated](#).

The central question raised in this study is the following: is there reliable evidence that SARS-CoV-2 has been isolated from an “unadulterated sample taken from a diseased patient”?

The study provides documentation based on Freedom of Information requests addressed to Health /Science institutions in a large number of countries.

The responses to these requests confirm that there is no record of isolation/ purification undertaken by the numerous Health /Science institutions which were contacted.

It is worth noting that according to the Berlin Virology Institute, the WHO in January 2020 did

not have in its possession details regarding the isolation and identity of SARS-CoV-2.

Moreover, because the relevant details concerning isolation /purification were not available, the WHO decided pursuant to the [advice of the Berlin Virology Institute](#) to “customize” The Real Time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (rRT-PCR) using the “similar” 2003 SARS virus (subsequently renamed SARS-1) as “a point of reference” for detecting genetic fragments of the 2019 SARS-CoV-2.

The study published by Drosten et al of the Berlin Virology institute published in [Eurosurveillance](#) was generously funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

Bear in mind, this totally flawed RT-PCR test is being used not only to detect V-the virus, it is now being used to ‘detect” the variants of SARS-CoV-2.

Supporting documents including the responses by Health /Science institutions, CDC, etc are provided in this study.

Also more documents can be consulted by downloading the relevant pdf files compiled by the researchers.

Michel Chossudovsky, Global Research, August 4, 2021, October 16, 2023

(The [Severe acute respiratory syndrome \(SARS\)](#) is “a viral respiratory disease caused by a SARS-associated coronavirus”, first identified in China in February 2003).

**FOIs Reveal that Health/Science Institutions
Around the World (218 and Counting!)
Have No Record of SARS-COV-2 Isolation/Purification,
Anywhere, Ever**

By Christine Massey

Would a sane person mix a patient sample (containing various sources of genetic material and never proven to contain any particular virus) with transfected monkey kidney cells, fetal bovine serum and toxic drugs, then claim that the resulting concoction is “SARS-COV-2 isolate” and ship it off internationally for use in critical research (including vaccine and test development)?

Because that’s the sort of fraudulent monkey business that’s being passed off as “virus isolation” by research teams around the world.

Just 1 of many examples is shown below – this is from a study [cited by the Australian Department of Health](#) as a paper “which led to the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 in culture”. (Can you spot the oxymoron in that quote?)

2.1 Cell culture of SARS-CoV-2 and electron microscopy

Vero/hSLAM cells (African green monkey kidney cells transfected to express the human signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM; also known as CDw150)¹ were grown at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in maintenance media consisting of 10mL Earle's minimum essential medium (EMEM), 7% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bovogen Biologicals, Keilor East, AUS) 2mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1500mg/L sodium bicarbonate, 15 mM HEPES and 0.4mg/ml geneticin to 95% confluency in 25cm² flasks. Prior to use for isolation, maintenance media was removed from the flask and 500μL of respiratory swab inoculum was overlaid on the cell monolayer. The flask was returned to the 37°C incubator to allow the virus to adsorb for 1 hour before addition of 10 mL viral culture media (EMEM as above but FBS reduced to 2%). Flasks were monitored for viral cytopathic effect and 140μL aliquots of supernatant removed every 48 hours to assess virus burden by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR. First passage culture grown virus isolate was subsequently shipped nationally and internationally in packaging compliant with UN 2814 Category A shipping requirements using credentialed, specialised courier services under the appropriate Australian government export approvals processes and receiving country import permissions.

If you are new to the topic of "virus isolation/purification", I strongly recommend that you begin by [reading the Statement On Virus Isolation](#) by Dr. Andrew Kaufman, Dr. Thomas Cowan and Sally Fallon Morell, MA or watch this [5 minute video](#) from Dr. Cowan.

My [colleague](#) Michael S. in New Zealand and I (CM) and many other people around the world have been filing Freedom of Information "requests" to institutions in various countries seeking records that describe the isolation/purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" from any unadulterated sample taken from a diseased patient.

The reason: without the crucial step of isolation/purification having been performed (from many patients, followed by characterization, sequencing and controlled experiments), there is no way to claim scientifically that the alleged "novel coronavirus" (blamed for widespread death/disease/lockdown measures) actually exists.

Without this step having been performed and followed by characterization, sequencing and controlled experiments, all claims of this alleged "virus" are nothing but wild speculation backed only by fraudulent science, fraudulent tests and fraud-based diagnoses. (I have done numerous interviews on this topic, which you can find near the bottom of this page.)

The "requests" filed by my colleague in NZ and I (and many of the other submitters) have not been limited to records of isolation performed by the respective institution, or limited to records authored by the respective institution, rather they were open to any records describing "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2") isolation/purification performed by anyone,

ever, anywhere on the planet.

Thus far (May 15, 2023) 52 Canadian institutions have provided their responses:

[Public Health Agency of Canada](#) (and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#), this one re the alleged “UK variant” aka “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha”; and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) re any alleged virus/variant, and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) re ANY type of alleged virus at all), [Health Canada](#) (and another from [Health Canada](#); and another from [Health Canada](#)), the [National Research Council of Canada](#), [Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre \(VIDO-InterVac\)](#), [Canadian Institutes of Health Research](#), [Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada](#), [Patented Medicine Prices Review Board Canada](#), [Royal Canadian Mounted Police \(RCMP\)](#), [Public Health Ontario](#), [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) (and another from [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) re “delta variant”, [University Health Network](#), [Ontario Ministry of the Solicitor General and Ontario Provincial Police](#), [Alberta Ministry of Health](#), [Alberta Premier Jason Kenney](#), his Office and Executive Council, [Calgary Police Service](#), [Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec](#) (another from [Public Health Quebec](#)), [British Columbia’s Ministry of Health](#) (re “the UK variant”) (another from [British Columbia’s Ministry of Health](#)), [British Columbia’s Centre for Disease Control](#), [British Columbia’s Provincial Health Services Authority](#) (2 responses, 1 re “SARS-COV-2”, 1 re the alleged “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha variant” aka “UK variant”), [Vancouver Coastal Health Authority](#) (re “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha variant” aka “UK variant”), [Newfoundland Labrador Department of Health & Community Services](#), [New Brunswick’s Department/Ministry of Health](#), [McGill University](#), [University of Ottawa](#), [University of Waterloo](#), [Dalhousie University](#), [University of Guelph](#), [University of British Columbia](#), the [City of Toronto](#), [Toronto Police](#) (and another from [Toronto Police](#)), [Halton Region](#), [Hamilton Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Halton Regional Police Service](#) (Ontario), the [Region of Peel](#) (Ontario), [Region of Durham](#) (Ontario); [KFL&A Public Health](#) (Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington, Ontario, re “any variant”), [Grey Bruce Health Services](#), [Grey Bruce Health Unit](#), [Simcoe Muskoka Health Unit](#), [Niagara Regional Police Service](#), [Peterborough Public Health \(Ontario\)](#) (and another from [Peterborough Public Health](#)), [Peterborough Police Service](#) (Ontario) (another from [Peterborough Police](#)), [City of Peterborough](#) (Ontario) [Aylmer Police Service](#) (Ontario) (and another from [Aylmer Police](#)), [Woodstock Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Sudbury Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Hastings Prince Edward Public Health](#) (Ontario), the [Town of Collingwood](#), the [University of Toronto](#) (another from [U of Toronto](#)), [Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre](#), [McMaster University](#) and [Mount Sinai Hospital](#) (Toronto) (note that researchers from the last 4 institutions had publicly claimed to have “isolated the virus”, as had VIDO-Intervac).

Every institution has failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing the isolation aka purification of the alleged “COVID-19 virus” directly from a patient sample that was not first adulterated with other sources of genetic material. (Those other sources are typically monkey kidney aka “Vero” cells and fetal bovine serum).

(And, to our knowledge, no one on the planet has ever purified the alleged “virus” even from a cell culture!)

In their responses, numerous institutions have made it explicitly clear that isolation/purification is simply never done in virology, and that “isolation” in virology means the exact opposite of what it means in everyday English. This is also evidenced in every “virus isolation” paper we have ever seen, for any alleged “virus”.

For some insight into what's really going on: [Canadian public health officials have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification performed anywhere, ever](#)

(Note: Sébastien Grammond, a man who acts as “Federal Court judge” here in Canada, has concocted a bogus “confirmation” that “SARS-CoV-2 virus is real” – based on zero valid science and despite knowing of that all 138 institutions listed in [my affidavit](#) had failed to cite even 1 example of the alleged virus having been purified from any patient sample on the planet. Why waste time on science or logic when you can simply “accept” the “*Attorney General of Canada’s invitation to take judicial notice that the virus is real*”? Sounds perfectly legit. “Noticing” widespread beliefs is so much better than reviewing the pertinent facts.)

As of September 16, 2023: 218 institutions and offices in 40 countries have responded thus far, as well as some “SARS-COV-2 isolation” study authors, and none have provided or cited any record describing actual “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification. All of the responses in our collection are available from this page.

Excel file listing 218 institutions: see [this](#).

Google drive folder where all of the FOI documents as of July 14, 2022 are grouped by country (for the most part) and compiled into 11 pdfs (Exhibits 1 – 4; Exhibit 4 has 8 parts): see [this](#).

Here is my detailed declaration regarding the worldwide FOI collection and the unscientific nature of virology (14 pages), which is notarized, dated December 2, 2022 (I was rushing to make some last-minute edits before printing and heading off to the notary; please forgive the typos): see [this](#).

Below (and [here](#)) is an updated and simplified 1 page notarized declaration re the “virus” FOIs. It speaks for itself. Feel free to share it.


Declaration

prepared for the benefit of man and specifically courtenay-adam-lawrence Attorney of Record

my name is christine; i communicate in everyday English not legalese; i do not consent to contract or joinder or grant power of attorney or act as surety or trustee; my home is at Brampton, Ontario; i am fully competent to make this declaration and do so voluntarily; statements herein are based on my own knowledge/experience; the following is true:

1. i have worked professionally as a biostatistician with a master's degree in biostatistics earned at the Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto;
2. i have filed "freedom of information" (FOI) "requests" for all studies/reports in the possession/custody/control of "health"/"science" institutions ("ministries of health", universities, public health units, etc) that describe purification of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" by anyone anywhere from the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any diseased man/woman; such is necessary for valid sequencing and scientific proof of a "virus";
3. i required that if any record held by the institution in question matched my "request" but was currently available to "the public" elsewhere, i be given enough detail so that i could identify and access it;
4. i filed FOI "requests" for same and for scientific proof of existence of dozens of other alleged "viruses" including "HPV", and collected FOI responses obtained by others;
5. as of June 23, 2023, as documented/shown on my own website, 52 Canadian institutions and 164 institutions in 39 other countries have been queried about "SARS-COV-2" and all failed to provide or cite even 1 responsive record, thus demonstrating inability to prove existence; queries for other "viruses" also yielded no responsive records; all of this documentation is freely available to anyone;
6. these failures align with all of the many "virus" studies i reviewed – virologists haven't implemented scientific method and successfully shown "virus" existence rather they engage in pseudoscience, logical fallacies, assumptions;

*24/6/2023
my mil lives me at
Brampton Ontario*



autographed on June 23, 2023

by: christine: a woman often referred to as "Christine Massey".

Christine

The list shown below was current as of October 5, 2021; it's much longer now.

1	Country / Region	Institution
2	Australia	University of Western Australia
3	Australia	ACT Government (Government of the Australian Capital Territory) / Canberra Health Services (CHS)
4	Australia	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO
5	Australia	Department of Health
6	Australia	New South Wales Ministry of Health
7	Australia	Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity
8	Australia	South Australia Minister for Health and Wellbeing
9	Australia	Western Australia Minister & Dept of Health
10	Brazil	Anvisa (Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency)
11	Brazil	Ministry of Health
12	Britain	Health and Safety Executive
13	British Isles	Isle of Man Department of Health and Social Care
14	Canada	Aylmer Police Services
15	Canada	Centre for Disease Control, British Columbia
16	Canada	City of Toronto, Ontario
17	Canada	Department of Health & Community Services, Newfoundland Labrador
18	Canada	Halton Region
19	Canada	Hastings Prince Edward Public Health
20	Canada	Health Canada
21	Canada	Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec
22	Canada	Institutes of Health Research
23	Canada	Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington Public Health, Ontario (re “any variant”)
24	Canada	McGill University
25	Canada	Ministry of Health, British Columbia
26	Canada	Ministry of Health, Ontario
27	Canada	Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario
28	Canada	National Research Council
29	Canada	Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
30	Canada	New Brunswick Department of Health
31	Canada	Peterborough Police Service
32	Canada	Peterborough Public Health
33	Canada	Provincial Health Services Authority, British Columbia
34	Canada	Region of Durham Public Health Department, Ontario
35	Canada	Region of Peel, Ontario
36	Canada	Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario
37	Canada	Toronto Police Service
38	Canada	University of Ottawa
39	Canada	University of Toronto
40	Canada	Vancouver Coastal Health Authority, British Columbia (re “the UK variant”)
41	Canada	Grey Bruce Health Services
42	Canada	Grey Bruce Health Unit
43	Canada	McMaster University
44	Canada	Public Health Agency of Canada
45	Canada	Public Health Ontario (aka Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion)
46	Canada	Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre (VIDO-InterVac) at University of Saskatchewan
47	Czech Republic	Ministry of Health
48	Czech Republic	Univerzita Karlova
49	Denmark	Statens Serum Institut
50	England	Pennine Acute NHS Trust
51	England	Public Health England
52	England	Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust
53	Europe	Centre for Disease Prevention and Control
54	India	Indian Council of Medical Research
55	Italy	Istituto Nazionale Malattie Infettive “Lazzaro Spallanzani”
56	Netherlands	Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport
57	New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa

58	New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Hon Peeni Henare
59	New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Julie Anne Genter
60	New Zealand	Bay of Plenty District Board
61	New Zealand	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
62	New Zealand	Institute of Environmental Science and Research - ESR
63	New Zealand	Ministry of Health
64	New Zealand	University of Auckland
65	New Zealand	University of Otago
66	Norway	Norwegian Ministry of Health and Cares Services & subordinate agency the Directorate of Health
67	Phillipines	Research Institute for Tropical Medicine, Department of Health
68	Portugal	Ministry of Health
69	Republic of Columbia	Ministry of Health & Social Protection
70	Republic of Ireland	National Virus Reference Laboratory, University College Dublin
71	Republic of Serbia	Ministry of Health
72	Republic of South Africa	Governmental COVID-19 Advisory Committee
73	Republic of South Africa	Minister of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs
74	Republic of South Africa	National Department of Health
75	Republic of South Africa	National Institute for Communicable Diseases
76	Republic of South Africa	President
77	Scotland	Public Health Scotland
78	Slovenia	Department of Health
79	Slovenia	University of Ljubljana - Faculty of Medicine and Institute of Microbiology and Immunology (2 separate requests)
80	Slovenia	Univerzitetni klinični center Ljubljana UKCLJ (University Medical Centre Ljubljana)
81	Slovenia	National Laboratory for Health, Environment and Food (Nacionalnega laboratorija za zdravje, okolje in hrano - NLZOH)
82	Spain	Ministry of Health
83	Sweden	Public Health Authority (Folkhälsomyndigheten)
84	Ukraine	Ministry of Health
85	United Kingdom	Cabinet Office
86	United Kingdom	Department of Health and Social Care
87	United Kingdom	Government Office for Science
88	United Kingdom	House of Commons
89	United Kingdom	House of Lords
90	United Kingdom	Imperial College London
91	United Kingdom	Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency
92	United Kingdom	Prime Minister's Office
93	United Kingdom	University of Warwick
94	United States of America	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
95	United States of America	Arkansas Department of Health
96	United States of America	California Department of Public Health
97	United States of America	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
98	United States of America	Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Health
99	United States of America	Commonwealth of Massachusetts Office of the Governor
100	United States of America	Commonwealth of Virginia Department of General Services
101	United States of America	Department of Health and Human Services
102	United States of America	Metro Public Health Department, Nashville, Tennessee
103	United States of America	Michigan Department of Health and Human Services
104	United States of America	Montgomery County, Pennsylvania
105	United States of America	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases - NIAID
106	United States of America	New York State Department of Health
107	United States of America	Oregon Health Authority
108	United States of America	Oregon Health & Science University
109	United States of America	Pennsylvania Department of Health
110	United States of America	Washington DC Department of Health
111	Uruguay	Clemente Stabile Biological Research Institute, Ministry of Education and Culture
112	Uruguay	University of the Republic (UdelaR) Faculty of Chemistry
113	Uruguay	Ministry of Public Health
114	Wales	Public Health Wales

(Yes, we are aware of the many publications wherein authors claim to have “isolated the virus”. We’ve looked at numerous such studies and have yet to see one where they actually did so. Claiming to have done something and actually doing it are sometimes 2 different

things, even in peer-reviewed science.

And yes we are aware of the many published alleged “SARS-COV-2 genomes” – these were in fact manufactured, not discovered.

And yes we are aware that EM photos have been published, allegedly of “the virus”, however a photo of something does not tell you what the thing is, where it came from or what it does. One has to scrutinize the Methods used to “isolate the virus” / obtain said photos / obtain alleged genomes, and that is when absolutely everything falls apart with “COVID-19” (and virology in general).

FOI responses from institutions in the U.S., India, Republic of South Africa, New Zealand, Australia, U.K., England, Scotland, Wales, Ireland, Denmark, Norway, Finland, the Netherlands, Sweden, Spain, European CDC, Slovenia, Czech Republic, Ukraine, Colombia, Uruguay, Italy, Portugal, Brazil, Republic of Colombia, Argentina, Ilse of Man, Phillipines, etc., plus emails from Germany’s Robert Koch Institut (RKI) and several “virus isolation authors”.

A big Thank You to all the individuals who have now kindly shared additional responses that they obtained re isolation/purification/existence of “SARS-COV-2”. Some prefer to remain anonymous, others are named below.

Ok, here we go. Below are SARS-COV-2 FOI responses from >150 institutions outside of Canada.

The World Health Organization failed to respond to my FOI-type query sent on February 22, 2022 seeking any records that describe any alleged “SARS-COV-2” being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified, by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for “it” to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka “science”): see [this](#).

request to W.H.O. re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>
To: informationrequest@who.int

Tue, Feb 22, 2022 at 2:38 PM

February 22, 2022

Hello,

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports from anywhere in the world describing the **purification** of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Clarification of Request:

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured something, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. PCR), and/or
- fabricated a "genome" from millions of sequences (allegedly) detected in an impure substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe **replication** of a 'virus' without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information.

I simply request records that describe **purification** (separation of the alleged virus from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, **authored by anyone, anywhere**.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available in the public domain, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

Format:

Pdf documents sent to me via email; I do not wish for anything to be shipped to me.

Contact Information:

Last name: Massey
First name: Christine
Location: Peterborough, Ontario, Canada
Email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Thank you in advance and best wishes,
Christine Massey, M.Sc.

November 28, 2022: A colleague in Japan required of the people at World Health Organization to provide/cite any record of the imaginary SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any sick person on the planet, in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments aka science. But instead, they directed her to a useless webpage about a global database of public "health" and "social" measures applied during the imaginary pandemic: see [this](#).



As this next link you will see a “no records of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification” FOI response from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR), dated November 2, 2020, obtained by [Michael S.](#) in NZ: see [this](#).



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333
November 2, 2020

Page 2-

If you are not satisfied with the response to this request, you may administratively appeal by writing to the Deputy Agency Chief FOIA Officer, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue, Suite 729H, Washington, D.C. 20201. You may also transmit your appeal via email to FOIARquest@psc.hhs.gov. Please mark both your appeal letter and envelope “FOIA Appeal.” Your appeal must be postmarked or electronically transmitted by Monday, February 1, 2021.

Sincerely,



Roger Andoh
CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer
Office of the Chief Operating Officer
(770) 488-6399
Fax: (404) 235-1852

This letter is in response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of August 9, 2020, for “All records in the possession, custody or control of The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) describing the isolation of a SARS-COV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka vero cells; lung cells from a lung cancer patient).”

Please note that I am using “isolation” in the every-day sense of the word: the act of separating a thing(s) from everything else. I am not requesting records where “isolation of SARS-COV-2” refers instead to:

- * the culturing of something,
- * or the performance of an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test),
- * or the sequencing of something.

Please also note that my request is not limited to records that were authored by the CDC or that pertain to work done by The CDC. My request includes any sort of record, for example (but not limited to) any published peer-reviewed study that the CDC has downloaded or printed.

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each record with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it).”

A search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request.

You may contact our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6277 for any further assistance and to discuss any aspect of your request. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

#20-02166-FOIA

On March 1, 2021 once again the CDC made clear that they still have no records of “SARS-COV-2” isolation performed by anyone, anywhere on the planet, ever... just not in so many words. Instead, the CDC absurdly implied that isolation of “SARS-COV-2” would require the replication of a “virus” without host cells and thus is impossible. See [this](#).

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs/>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

On February 21, 2021, you requested the following information:

Can you please clarify if you have any records of the separation of SARS-COV-2 from everything else (known as isolation and purification)? A simple yes or no will do regarding the answer. Please use the Merrian-Webster dictionary's common definition of [isolation](#). I will provide the definitions below:

[isolation](#)

isolation noun

 Save Word

iso-la-tion | \ ,ī-sə-'lā-shən also ,ī-\

Definition of isolation

: the action of [isolating](#) : the condition of being [isolated](#)

[Isolated](#)

isolated adjective

 Save Word

iso-lat-ed | \ 'ī-sə-,lā-təd also 'ī-\

Definition of isolated

1 : occurring alone or once : [UNIQUE](#)

2 : [SPORADIC](#)

[Isolate](#)

isolate verb

 Save Word

iso-late | \ 'ī-sə-,lāt also 'ī-\

isolated; isolating

Definition of isolate (Entry 1 of 3)

transitive verb

1 : to set apart from others

also : [QUARANTINE](#)

2 : to select from among others

especially : to separate from another substance so as to obtain pure or in a free state

3 : [INSULATE](#)

The SME states the following:

The definition of “isolation” provided in the request is outside of what is possible in virology, as viruses need cells to replicate, and cells require liquid food. However, the SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from a human clinical specimen by culturing in cell culture, which is the definition of “isolation” as used in microbiology, and as indicated in the previous round of response in the resources provided.

March 3, 2021: CDC again fails to provide/cite any records describing “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification by anyone anywhere ever... BUT will no longer simply say so (as they did back on November 2nd); instead they give song and dance and cite their own fraudulent study (by Harcourt et al.): see [this](#).



March 3, 2021

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This letter is our final response to your attached Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of March 1, 2021, assigned #21-00795-FOIA.

For administrative convenience and to fully respond to your request, program staff have provided the following information below with corresponding web links.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by [diagnostic tests](#). Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, [Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease](#), which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2. The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs. There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, [CDC isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus](#) from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#). There are also [several publications](#) documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs/>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

If you need any further assistance or would like to discuss any aspect of the records provided please contact either our FOIA Requester Service Center at 770-488-6399 or our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6277.

June 7, 2021: CDC admitted they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from a patient sample via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge, by anyone, anywhere, ever: see [this](#).

September 1, 2021: Yet another FOIA response from the CDC where they failed to provide or cite even 1 record of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" aka "SARS-COV-2", or any "variants" having been purified from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, ever: see [this](#).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells.

September 8, 2021: Another FOIA response from the CDC re purification of the phantom "SARS-COV-2". This time, CDC cited a paper wherein the authors never even claimed to have purified any "virus" themselves, and instead falsely stated that: "SARS-CoV-2 was isolated in Vero cells ... (8)" [oxymoron]. [CDC's reference #8 is the infamous Harcourt et al. study.] See [this](#).




DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333
September 8, 2021

Ms. Christine Massey



Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Massey:

This letter is our final response to your attached Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of August 16, 2021, assigned #21-01986-FOIA.

The National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases provides the link to the following study that is responsive to your request.

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/8/20-1246_articleI

If you need any further assistance or would like to discuss any aspect of the records provided please contact either our FOIA Requester Service Center at 770-488-6399 or our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6277.

Sincerely,

Roger Andoh
CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer
Office of the Chief Operating Officer
(770) 488-6399
Fax: (404) 235-1852

Enclosure

21-01986-FOIA

October 26, 2021: The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention once again failed to provide or cite even 1 record of "SARS-COV-2" purification, by anyone, anywhere on the planet: see [this](#).



SENT VIA EMAIL

This letter is our final response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of September 20, 2021, assigned #21-02310-FOIA, for

This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Please note: this request is very similar to another request CDC/ATSDR responded to in March, 2021 where I had **specified** purification *via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge*.

The difference with this new request is that it does **not specify** maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge; it only mentions filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography by way of an example.

My request also includes the language of 'variants' to ensure that records would match all related alleged SARS-CoV-2 viruses.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) describing the **purification** of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-CoV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).

Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** the suspected "virus" and instead:

- cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or
- performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test) on all the RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture, or on genetic material from any unpurified substance, and/or
- fabricated a "genome" by editing/assembling/aligning sequences detected in the total RNA from a patient sample or from a cell culture or from any unpurified substance, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things.

For further clarity, please note I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and I am **not** requesting records describing the **replication** of a "virus" without host cells.

Page 2

Further, I am **not** requesting records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum; I am simply requesting records that describe its **purification (separation)** from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things).

Please also note that my request is **not limited** to records that were authored by CDC/ATSDR or that pertain to work done at/by CDC/ATSDR. Rather, my request includes any record matching the above description, for example (but not limited to): any published peer-reviewed study authored by anyone, anywhere, ever that has been downloaded or printed by CDC/ATSDR and relied on as evidence of a disease-causing "virus".

If any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that I may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title the public may access it). Please provide URLs where possible.

For administrative convenience and to fully respond to your request, program staff have provided the following information below with corresponding web links.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by diagnostic tests. Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker, which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease, which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2.

The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs.

There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's PubMed entry.

The SARS-CoV-2 virus, whether the original strain or variants of the virus, may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's PubMed entry. There are also several publications documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs/>), which includes over 1 million sequences.

April 1, 2022: The U.S. National Institutes of Health failed to provide or cite even 1 record of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or any alleged "variant") having been purified from the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of any patient on the planet, by anyone, anywhere, when responding to a request for records in the custody of the CDC, and they bizarrely and inaccurately stated that the request was "improper". Full letter: see [this](#).



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

National Institutes of Health
Freedom of Information Office
Building 31, Room 5B-35
31 Center Drive, MSC 2107
Bethesda, Maryland 20892-2107
phone: (301) 496-5633
fax: (301) 402-4541

Via email: [REDACTED]

April 1, 2022

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] PA [REDACTED]

Re: FOI Case No. 57010

Dear Ms. [REDACTED]

This responds to your September 8, 2021, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request addressed to the FOIA Office, National Institutes of Health, (NIH) and received on the same day. You requested a copy of all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the purification of the "COVID-19 virus".

Please be advised that your request is improper as defined by FOIA given that you have not specified where (named office or institute) or what (i.e. named grant number, report, etc) you would like searched at the NIH. Considering the omission of the aforementioned information necessary for a proper search to be conducted, the NIH cannot process your request as it is written. In good faith, we provide the following information that may prove useful to you.

Much of the information on the isolation of the virus from the diseased host, which requires growth in cell culture, is already publicly available. Viruses do not replicate outside of a host or in a pure culture (devoid of other cells). Koch's postulates were formed prior to the identification of viruses as the causative agents of some diseases and also pre-date modern microbiological techniques, including the ability to isolate viruses from hosts. As such, Koch's postulates have limitations when evaluating viruses and do not adequately account for the way viruses are isolated and propagated given that viruses are obligate intracellular parasites.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by [diagnostic tests](#). Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

November 17, 2022: CDC could not provide/cite any record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being purified even from a cell culture, instead they cited irrelevant studies and webpages: see [this](#).

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by [diagnostic tests](#). Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the [CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker](#), which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, [Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease](#), which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2. The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs. There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC [isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus](#) from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's [PubMed entry](#). There are also [several publications](#) documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

For information about the SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence, see the NIH GenBank website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/sars-cov-2-seqs/>), which includes over 44,000 sequences as of December 7, 2020.

October 20/21, 2022: The people at CDC were challenged to provide/cite scientific proof of the existence of the alleged SARS-COV-2 or the alleged HIV based on controlled experiments using purified particles. They could not, since none exists, so instead they provided useless links for HIV, not even purporting to show controlled experiments. For SARS-COV-2 they gave the same paragraphs that they've been giving people for the last year or so, with links to more useless studies and webpages. See [this](#).

Program provides the following public links as responsive to your request:

- HIV Research
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/research/index.html>
- HIV Lab Testing Info
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/testing/laboratorytests.html>
- General HIV Diagnosis/Testing
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/testing/index.html>.
- HIV Fact Sheets
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/factsheets/index.html>
- HIV Reports
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/index.html>
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>
- 25 Notable HIV and AIDS Reports Published in CDC's Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)
 - o https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/hiv_aids20.html
- Recent MMWRs on HIV
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/mmwr.html>
- Early MMWRs on AIDS:
 - o <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm5021a1.htm>
 - o https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/june_5.htm

December 16, 2022: The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention was unable to provide any record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 spike protein being found in anyone and

purified (as opposed to “recombinant” “spike protein” being created in a lab and then studied, as though that reflects something going on in actual people); and so they responded as though this was another FOI regarding the fake virus, and provided the same useless paragraphs that they’ve been giving people for the past year or so: see [this](#).

February 14, 2023: Once again, the people at the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention were unable to provide any record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 spike protein being found in anyone and purified. (I specifically ruled out studies where “recombinant” “spike protein” was created in a lab in order to be studied, because those studies can’t provide any evidence of the alleged “SARS-COV-2 spike protein” actually existing in people, i.e. people with the fraudulent covid diagnosis, or people who received the fake mRNA vaccines, or anyone at all.): see [this](#).



Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333

February 14, 2023

Ms. Christine Massey

Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Massey:

This letter is in response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of December 22, 2022, for

Greetings Roger,

I require access to general records, as per your duty under the Freedom of Information Act;

description of required records:

all studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the alleged "SARS-COV-2 spike protein" being found in any people (i.e. in the bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of someone diagnosed with the alleged "COVID-19" or someone who received a so-called "COVID-19 vaccine") and purified (as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of very small things), with purification of particles confirmed via EM imaging (the EM image(s) must be available and provided);

note that i do not require or want studies/reports where researchers did something with recombinant (lab-created) so-called "SARS-COV-2 spike protein" that had to be created in order to study "it", or studies/reports where the alleged protein was allegedly detected indirectly (i.e. with a nonspecific antibody test);

this FOI is not limited to records that were authored by the CDC or ATSDR or that pertain to work done at/by the CDC or ATSDR; rather, it includes any record matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere, ever;

if any records match the above description of requested records and are currently available to the public elsewhere, please provide enough information about each record so that i may identify and access each one with certainty (i.e. title, author(s), date, journal, where the public may access it); provide URLs where possible;

A search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request.

March 21, 2023: Roger Andoh acting as FOIA Officer in the Office of the Chief Operating Officer, CDC confessed that the CDC doesn't even have a study where authors did either of the following:

- 1) tested for the alleged "SARS-COV-2 spike protein" in the blood of "vaccinated" and "unvaccinated" people, and compared the results – to look for evidence that the "mRNA" quackcines cause bodies to create the protein
- 2) compared the antibody levels for "vaccinated" people and people injected with other

toxins (i.e. other quackcines), to assess specificity of antibodies.

[See this.](#)

A search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request.

You may contact our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6246 for any further assistance and to discuss any aspect of your request. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

If you are not satisfied with the response to this request, you may administratively appeal to the Deputy Agency Chief FOIA Officer, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, via the online portal at <https://requests.publiclink.hhs.gov/App/Index.aspx>. Please mark both your appeal letter and envelope "FOIA Appeal." Your appeal must be electronically transmitted by June 14, 2023.

Sincerely,



Roger Andoh
CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer
Office of the Chief Operating Officer
(770) 488-6399
Fax: (404) 235-1852

#23-00723-FOIA

March 2, 2023: U.S. Centers for Disease Control can't prove the existence of "SARS-COV-2 spike protein"

Roger Andoh, acting as FOIA Officer in the Office of the Chief Operating Officer, CDC and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, confessed that these institutions have zero proof of the existence of the alleged "SARS-COV-2 spike protein", this

time to my colleague Louis Stephen.

Also, in this response, Roger evaded:

- a request for proof of claims regarding so-called “SARS-COV-2” antibodies,
- a request for documentation explaining why it’s necessary to use synthetic rather than natural (alleged) mRNA in covid jabs,
- a request for documentation explaining why it’s necessary to use monkey kidney cells to (allegedly but not really) grow a “virus” that supposedly infects human lungs, etc.: see [this](#).

For number 1, a search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to the spike protein as you describe.

For numbers 2 and 3, although CDC cannot answer every hypothetical question and questions are not permissible under FOIA, CDC does offer the following link that discusses how COVID-19 Vaccines work: [Understanding How COVID-19 Vaccines Work | CDC](#).

Number 4 of your request is an inappropriate FOIA request written as questions with 4 subparts containing 6 separate questions.

[Someone kindly forwarded another FOI response from the CDC dated December 30, 2020 re the alleged 2003 “SARS-COV-1” and all “common cold coronaviruses” – the CDC has no record of any having been isolated: see [this](#)]

September 13, 2022: Some anonymous man or woman in the Public Records Office at University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill provided unhelpful documents that do not contain scientific evidence of the alleged SARS-COV-2, and confessed that there are no other documents relevant to the request: see [this](#).

Fwd: Re: Create a new password for the UNC-Chapel Hill public records portal

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 13, 2022 at 1:17 PM

This is their response.

Sent from ProtonMail for iOS

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Public Records Office <publicrecordsoffice@email.unc.edu>

Date: On Tue, Sep 13, 2022 at 1:16 PM

Subject: Fwd: Re: Create a new password for the UNC-Chapel Hill public records portal

To: [REDACTED] Public Records Info <info@publicrecords.unc.edu>

Cc:

Thank you for your message. The University has provided all records responsive to this request.

Sincerely,

Public Records Office

From [REDACTED]

Date: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 at 11:19 AM

To: Public Records Info <info@publicrecords.unc.edu>

Subject: Re: Create a new password for the UNC-Chapel Hill public records portal

Some people who received this message don't often get email from healingque@protonmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Thank you for your quick response.

The documents provided do not contain any scientific evidence of a physical particle found in humans. Nothing in them fits the description of a virus. Please go over the request again and if you have them provide the document showing evidence of its existence. If UNC does not have such records, please let me know.

Once again thank you so much for your time,

[REDACTED]

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

----- Original Message -----

On Sunday, September 11th, 2022 at 10:10 AM, UNC-Chapel Hill Public Records Office <info@publicrecords.unc.edu> wrote:

December 10, 2021: U.S. Department of Labor / Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) admitted they have no record describing purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants") from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, ever: see [this](#).



December 10, 2021

Ms. Christine Massey
[REDACTED]
Peterborough, Ontario
Canada [REDACTED]

RE: Freedom of Information Act Request No. 2022-F-01464

Dear Ms. Massey:

This is a final response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) dated November 8, 2021, seeking documents concerning the “purification” of SARS-CoV-2. Your request was assigned to the OSHA Directorate of Technical Support and Emergency Management for a response.

You have asked for documentation in OSHA’s possession, “...describing the purification of any ‘COVID-19 virus’ (aka ‘SARS-COV-2’, including any alleged ‘variants’ i.e. ‘B.1.1.7’, ‘B.1.351’, ‘P.1’)” In your request, you defined “purification” to mean, “... separation of the alleged ‘virus’ from everything else in the patient sample....”

A search of OSHA’s records did not locate any documents that meet the criteria of your request. Therefore, under FOIA we are providing you a no records response. Please be aware that OSHA did not extend the search for documents outside of the Agency for any responsive records, as you requested. This is beyond the scope of FOIA requirements.

If you have questions about our response, please contact Robert B. Turnage at (202) 693-2036 or turnage.rob@dol.gov.

Although we believe we have fulfilled your FOIA request, you have the right to appeal this decision with the Solicitor of Labor within 90 days from the date of this letter. The appeal must state, in writing, the grounds for the appeal, including any supporting statements or arguments. The appeal should also include a copy of your initial request and a copy of this letter.

If you appeal, you may mail your appeal to: Solicitor of Labor, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-2420, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210 or fax your appeal to (202) 693-5538. Alternatively, you may email your appeal to foiaappeal@dol.gov; appeals submitted to any other email address will not be accepted. The envelope (if mailed), subject line (if emailed), or fax cover sheet (if faxed), and the letter indicating the grounds for appeal, should be clearly marked: “Freedom of Information Act Appeal.”

In addition to filing an appeal, you may contact the Department’s FOIA Public Liaison, Thomas G. Hicks, Sr., at (202) 693-5427 or hicks.thomas@dol.gov for assistance in resolving disputes.

September 3, 2021: Fauci’s National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) / National Institutes of Health, in response to a request for records that demonstrate that NIAID or NIH has a physical sample of purified “SARS-CoV-2”:

- conflates isolation/purification with growth of the imaginary virus in cell culture,
- provides irrelevant, distracting comments re Koch’s Postulates,
- cites useless, irrelevant studies and webpages, and fabricated computer “genomes”, and
- confirms that virology isn’t a science.

See [this](#).



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

National Institutes of Health
Freedom of Information Office
Building 31, Room 5B-35
31 Center Drive, MSC 2107
Bethesda, Maryland 20892-2107
phone: (301) 496-5633
fax: (301) 402-4541

Via Email: [REDACTED]

September 3, 2021

Re: FOIA Case Number: 56905

Dear [REDACTED]

This is our final response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request addressed to National Institutes of Health (NIH), dated August 18, 2021, and received in this office on the same day. You requested copies of public records that demonstrates the NIAID or NIH has a physical sample of the isolated and purified SARS-CoV-2 virus, to produce any and all evidence of this External Standard or Certified Reference Material (CRM) for calibration of RT-PCR test kits and any or all documentation and evidence of whether the Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) occurred from the isolate, as well as evidence and information on the current modality/test being used to determine and identify the difference from the original SARS-CoV-2 virus and the "Delta Variant" and/or other variants with all evidence and documentation demonstrating the initial discovery of the other variants.

Please be advised that your request is improper as defined by FOIA given that you have not specified where (named office or institute) or what (i.e. named grant number, report, etc) you would like searched at the NIH. Considering the omission of the aforementioned information necessary for a proper search to be conducted, the NIH cannot process your request as it is written. In good faith, we provide the following information that may prove useful to you.

Much of the information on the isolation of the virus from the diseased host, which requires growth in cell culture, is already publicly available. Viruses do not replicate outside of a host or in a pure culture (devoid of other cells). Koch's postulates were formed prior to the identification of viruses as the causative agents of some diseases and also pre-date modern microbiological techniques, including the ability to isolate viruses from hosts. As such, Koch's postulates have limitations when evaluating viruses and do not adequately account for the way viruses are isolated and propagated given that viruses are obligate intracellular parasites.

March 11, 2022: The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services confirms that Vivek Murthy, the man who acts as "Surgeon General", has no record describing anyone on Earth finding and purifying the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (the alleged "COVID-19 virus"), or any alleged variant thereof, from the bodily fluids of any diseased human. See [this](#).



Case No. 2022-00537-FOIA-PHS

March 11, 2022

Sent via email:
Christine Massey
cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Christine Massey:

This letter is the final response to your March 07, 2022, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request. Specifically, you requested the following records: "(See attached) All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of the man who acts as "Surgeon General" in the Department of Health and Human Services, Vivek H. Murthy, that describe anyone on Earth finding and purifying (i.e. via via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography) the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (the alleged "COVID-19" virus), or any alleged variant thereof, directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum)".

A representative from the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health (OASH) conducted a search and reports there are no records responsive to your request: "We have no studies or reports of which we have custody or control over related to this topic, thus no records to share".

While we believe an adequate search of the appropriate files was conducted for the records requested, you have the right to appeal this finding that no responsive records exist within OASH. By filing an appeal, you preserve your rights under FOIA and give the agency a chance to review and reconsider your request and the agency's decision.

Please mark the correspondence, "Freedom of Information Act Appeal." Your appeal must be transmitted within 90 days from the date of receipt of this letter to:

Ms. Carol Maloney
Deputy Agency Chief FOIA Officer
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs
HHS.ACFO@hhs.gov

If you would like to discuss our response before filing an appeal to attempt to resolve your dispute without going through the appeals process, you may contact the HHS FOIA Public Liaison for assistance at:

HHS FOIA/PA Public Liaison
FOI/Privacy Acts Division

August 19, 2021: The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services cited useless, irrelevant studies and webpages, and fabricated computer "genomes" in response to an FOIA request for "*Records that demonstrates the US Department of Health and Human Services has a physical sample of the isolated and purified SARS-CoV-2 virus...*" See [this](#).

Fwd: HHS FOIA Request 2021-01625-FOIA-OS

Mon, Aug 23, 2021 at 8:05 PM

To: "christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca" <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

You can add to your list. From US Department of Human and Health Services.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Taylor, Natasha** <foiarequest@hhs.gov>

Date: Thu, Aug 19, 2021 at 4:50 PM

Subject: HHS FOIA Request 2021-01625-FOIA-OS

To: [REDACTED]

RE: 2021-01625-FOIA-OS

Mr. [REDACTED]

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This is in response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, dated: **August 18, 2021**, concerning "Records that demonstrates the US Department of Health and Human Services has a physical sample of the isolated and purified SARS-CoV-2 virus including the following: 1) Any and all evidence of this External Standard or Certified Reference Material (CRM) for calibration of RT-PCR test kits and any or all documentation; 2) Evidence of whether the Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) occurred from the isolate; 3) Evidence and information on the current modality/test being used to determine and identify the difference from the original SARS-CoV-2 virus and the "Delta Variant" and/or other variants with all evidence and documentation demonstrating the initial discovery of the other variants. (Date Range for Record Search: From 10/1/2019 To 8/18/2021)".

We received your request on **August 18, 2021**.

For administrative convenience and to fully respond to your request, program staff have provided the following information below with corresponding web links.

SARS-CoV-2 is the virus that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Active infection with SARS-CoV-2 is detected by diagnostic tests. Currently there are two types of diagnostic tests – molecular tests that detect the virus's genetic material and antigen tests that detect specific proteins on the surface of the virus. For current data showing the total number of SARS-CoV-2-positive cases and deaths, visit the CDC COVID-19 Data Tracker, which shows cases and deaths in the United States broken down by state and county, daily trends in the number of cases by state, and other parameters.

Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be found in a study entitled, Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease, which includes electron microscopy images of SARS-CoV-2 in infected lung and upper airway tissues as well as staining of lung and upper airway tissues using an antibody against SARS-CoV-2.

The specimens analyzed in this study were from patients with common signs and symptoms associated with COVID-19, including fever, cough, and shortness of breath. All patients had abnormal findings on chest radiographs.

There are other similar studies publicly available online. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's PubMed entry.

The SARS-CoV-2 virus may be isolated from human clinical specimens by culturing in cells. In January 2020, CDC isolated the SARS-CoV-2 virus from a clinical specimen from the first confirmed case of COVID-19 in the United States. There are other similar studies published describing the isolation and characterization of SARS-CoV-2 from human clinical specimens. To aid in locating other related studies, please see the articles suggested in the "Similar Articles" and "Cited by" section on the manuscript's PubMed entry. There are also several publications documenting SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission among pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals.

June 24, 2021 FOIA response: Anthony Fauci's National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) failed to provide/cite any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification from a patient sample by anyone, anywhere.

NIAID referred me to the anti-science CDC study by Harcourt et al., even after I'd advised them of CDC's [June 7, 2021](#) 'no records' response. I have appealed to NIAID's FOIA Public Liaison.

The communications between myself and NIAID are provided [here](#).

We have previously queried our Division of Clinical Research for records responsive to similar requests. Your request is properly directed to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) as they are the ones who did the isolation: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/grows-virus-cell-culture.html>

See publication: https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

You can submit a request to the CDC at the following link: <https://www.cdc.gov/od/foia/index.htm>

If you disagree with their no records determination, you should properly avail yourself of the appeal rights described in their final response to you.

If you are not satisfied with the processing and handling of this request, you may contact the NIAID FOIA Public Liaison:

NIAID FOIA Public Liaison

.. . . .

The CDC study cited by NIAID did not purify anything from a patient sample. It is the same study that Dr. Thomas Cowan wrote about in 2020 ("[Only Poisoned Monkey Kidney Cells 'Grew' the 'Virus'](#)") where he also addressed the fraudulent nature of the authors' fabricated "SARS-COV-2 genome" (as shown in the screenshot below).

First, in the section titled "Whole Genome Sequencing," we find that rather than having isolated the virus and sequencing the genome from end to end, that the CDC "designed 37 pairs of nested PCRs spanning the genome on the basis of the coronavirus reference sequence (GenBank accession no. NC045512)."

To me, this computer-generation step constitutes scientific fraud. Here is an equivalency: A group of researchers claim to have found a unicorn because they found a piece of a hoof, a hair from a tail, and a snippet of a horn. They then add that information into a computer and program it to re-create the unicorn, and they then claim this computer re-creation is the real unicorn. Of course, they had never actually seen a unicorn so could not possibly have examined its genetic makeup to compare their samples with the actual unicorn's hair, hooves and horn.

The researchers claim they decided which is the real genome of SARS-CoV-2 by "consensus," sort of like a vote. Again, different computer programs will come up with different versions of the imaginary "unicorn," so they come together as a group and decide which is the real imaginary unicorn.


Previously, in 2020, Ron Bublitz had already asked the U.S. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), National Institutes of Health (NIH) the question shown below. His correspondence is posted at the following link, along with the evasive response provided by the NIH/NIAID Section Chief for Controlled Correspondence and Public Inquiries, Legislative Affairs and Correspondence Management Branch. Pdf showing the text and a photo of the actual emails: see [this](#).

To: NIAID NEWS (NIH/NIAID)

Subject: a basic and serious question.

I see that you have released images of the electron microscope view of C19 virus. I would like to know how you are certain that is the virus? How was it isolated? Have you followed Koch's Postulates in order to be completely certain that is the pathogen that causes disease?

Ron kindly provided a screenshot of his communications with NIAID, shown below.



Note that NIH/NIAID failed to answer any of Ron Bublitz's questions and merely cited [the same CDC study](#) by Harcourt et al. mentioned above that indulged in the typical fraudulent "monkey business" approach to so-called "isolation" – as shown in the screenshot below).

Cell Culture, Limiting Dilution, and Virus Isolation

We used Vero CCL-81 cells for isolation and initial passage. We cultured Vero E6, Vero CCL-81, HUH 7.0, 293T, A549, and EFKB3 cells in Dulbecco minimal essential medium (DMEM) supplemented with heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (5% or 10%) and antibiotics/antimycotics (GIBCO, <https://www.thermofisher.com>). We used both NP and OP swab specimens for virus isolation. For isolation, limiting dilution, and passage 1 of the virus, we pipetted 50 μ L of serum-free DMEM into columns 2–12 of a 96-well tissue culture plate, then pipetted 100 μ L of clinical specimens into column 1 and serially diluted 2-fold across the plate. We then trypsinized and resuspended Vero cells in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 \times penicillin/streptomycin, 2 \times antibiotics/antimycotics, and 2 \times amphotericin B at a concentration of 2.5×10^5 cells/mL. We added 100 μ L of cell suspension directly to the clinical specimen dilutions and mixed gently by pipetting. We then grew the inoculated cultures in a humidified 37°C incubator in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and observed for cytopathic effects (CPEs) daily. We used standard plaque assays for SARS-CoV-2, which were based on SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) protocols ([9,10](#)).

June 10, 2022: Julia Spiegel, woman who acts as Deputy Legal Affairs Secretary under Gavin Newsom, the man who acts in California's Office of the Governor, confessed to Kristen Welch that they have no record describing any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (or "variant") being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on Earth and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... in order for "it" to be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments (aka "science"): see [this](#).

GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM • SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 • (916) 445-2841

There are no records responsive to your request in the custody of the Governor's Office.

Thank you for contacting the Governor's Office.

Sincerely,



JULIA SPIEGEL
Deputy Legal Affairs Secretary

January 27, 2022: Washington State Department of Health provided "installment #1" of records allegedly-but-not-really responsive to a FOI for studies in which alleged "SARS-

COV-2" was found in the bodily fluid/tissue of sick people and purified.

"Installment #1" is sci-fi comedy having nothing whatsoever to do with purification or a virus, titled "SARS-CoV-2 Sequencing and Variants in Washington State".

It begins: *"Next generation sequencing is a set of laboratory methods that scientists use to scan a viral genome to determine the genome sequence of a virus."*

Watch out for the sequel due July 25, 2022!

The department also confessed that its lab: *"has not attempted to isolate SARS-CoV-2 from any specimen or matrix. Nor does the PHL have in its possession isolated viable SARS-CoV-2 virus. The WAPHL performs diagnostic testing that is PCR based... Next generation sequencing is performed on SARS-CoV-2 positive patient samples using extracted genomic material, not virus isolates."*

See [this](#).

Subject Fw: DOH Public Records Center :: D000656-012522
From [REDACTED]
To christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>
Date 2022-01-28 02:50 PM



Hello Christine,

I live in Washington State. I submitted a public records request to the WA State Department of Health for the studies and/or reports purification of the SARS COV 2 virus as per your example.

"The Washington State Public Health Lab (PHL) provided the following statement in response to your request, "The WAPHL has not attempted to isolate SARS-CoV-2 from any specimen or matrix. Nor does the PHL have in its possession isolated viable SARS-CoV-2 virus. The WAPHL performs diagnostic testing that is PCR based and does not require virus isolation. Next generation sequencing is performed on SARS-CoV-2 positive patient samples using extracted genomic material, not virus isolates."

You will receive the next installment on or before July 25, 2022 and will continue to receive installments until your request has been fulfilled.

Should you no longer need this information, please contact our office by responding directly to this email.

Sincerely,

Washington State Department of Health
Disease Control and Health Statistics
Public Records

Please see their email below.

Thank you for all you are doing to bring truth to light!

Be Well,

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: WA State DOH Records Center <washingtondoh@govqa.us>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022, 04:03:09 PM PST
Subject: DOH Public Records Center :: D000656-012522

--- Please respond above this line ---



Reference # D000656-012522

Dear [REDACTED]

The Department of Health received a public records request from you on January 25, 2022. You requested the following:

"This is a formal request for access to general records, made under the Freedom of Information Act.

November 25, 2021: Kate Wilson, acting for Multnomah County Health Department, Portland, Oregon confirmed that a thorough search yielded no record of anyone on Earth having found and purified the alleged "SARS-COV-2" (via maceration, filtration and ultracentrifugation) from any bodily fluid/tissue of any sick person, anywhere, ever: see [this](#).

Re: Public Records request to Multnomah County, Oregon re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

From Kate W. Yeiser <Kate.Yeiser@multco.us>

To [REDACTED]

Date Thursday, November 25th, 2021 at 6:11 AM

[REDACTED]
Thank you for your patience as we conducted a search of any research with these very specific criteria. After reviewing what scientific materials identified through a request to county health leadership and a subsequent full data query of county communications, we can now confirm that we have no records responsive to your request.

Best,

Kate

On Thu, Nov 11, 2021 at 12:20 AM [REDACTED] wrote:



External Sender - Be Suspicious of Attachments, Links, and Requests for Payment or Login Information.

November 11, 2021

To:

Kate Willson

Multnomah County Health Department

Portland, Oregon

Submitted via email to: kate.willson@multco.us

Dear Ms. Willson,

This is a formal request for access to general records, made under Oregon's Public Records Law.

Description of Requested Records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of Multnomah County Government describing the purification of any "SARS-COV-2" (including any "variant" of "SARS-COV-2") said to have caused disease in humans (via maceration, filtration and use of an ultracentrifuge; also referred to at times by some people as

March 11, 2022: University of Illinois confirmed for Natalia Litbarg, MD that: the university's records custodians who, due to the nature of their work might have had records describing someone on Earth finding the alleged "SARS-COV-2" in the bodily fluids of a diseased host and purifying "it", could not identify any such records: see [this](#).

Other than your submission of a date range, this request is identical to your previous request dated and received in my office on February 17, 2022. Based on the above terms and wording that you have used, our office has shared your request with University records custodians who, because of nature of their work, may have had responsive records. Utilizing the word and terms you provided, those University records custodians cannot identify responsive records.

You have a right, under the law, to seek a review of this response by the Public Access Counselor (PAC) in the Office of the Attorney General. The PAC may be reached by phone at 877-299-3642, by email to public.access@ilag.gov, or by postal mail at the Public Access Bureau, 500 S. 2nd Street, Springfield, Illinois 62706. You also have the right to seek judicial review under section 11 of this Act.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss how to narrow the scope of your request, please contact me at 217-333-6400.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Ruby

Senior Associate Director

and Chief Records Officer

May 18, 2022: Jorge Perez, the man who acts as Deputy Health Officer, Public Health Investigation, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health, confessed to [Kristen Welch](#) that there are no records of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in the bodily fluid/tissue of anyone and purified... by anyone, anywhere, ever... but apparently he is OK with the fact that virologists have no independent variable to use in any "virus" experiment and he thinks that "the county" has the "right" to terrorize people with their "doctrine": see [this](#).

After a diligent search, the Department has determined that there are no responsive records to your request.

In providing you with this response, the County is not waiving any rights, defenses, or claims of privilege, exception or exemption under the CPRA or any other statutes. The County reserves its right to assert all applicable privileges, doctrines, and exemptions.

Sincerely,

Jorge Perez

Jorge Perez, Deputy Health Officer
Public Health Investigation
COR ID No 221787

doctrine =

a belief or set of beliefs held and taught by a Church, political party, or other group.

a stated principle of government policy, mainly in foreign or military affairs.

They pretend they have a "right" to lie & terrorize.

April 13, 2022: Republic of Trinidad and Tobago's Ministry of Health confirmed for Chetawayo La Borde that "COVID-19 has not been isolated and purified": see [this](#).



1(868) 625-7502/624-8181 or
627-0010/12/14
Ext. 1402-04/06-08/10



1(868) 624-0546



www.health.gov.tt



oga@health.gov.tt

Requested Information	Legal Advice	Document(s)/Information to be released
2. Has COVID-19 been isolated and purified? Please provide data.	The Applicant may be furnished with the information requested and as shown in the third column, as same constitutes official information pursuant to Section 11 of the Freedom of Information Act, Chapter 22:02 and same is not exempted from disclosure pursuant to Part IV of the Act.	"COVID-19 has not been isolated and purified."
3. Please provide detailed info on all individuals that have taken any of the COVID-19 vaccines since March 30 th 2021 till (sic) present in Trinidad and Tobago and their health status if they are alive or dead regardless of cause of death.	Please advise the Applicant that the MoH is not in possession of the information requested.	"The MoH is not in possession of the information requested."

Sincerely

/s/ Permanent Secretary
Ministry of Health.
PERMANENT SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF HEALTH

Switzerland: November 2021: Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH)

January 2022: Institute of Virology and Immunology (IVI)

Both failed to provide or cite, for the man inquiring, even 1 record of “SARS-COV-2” being found in the bodily fluids of any sick human and purified (which would be necessary so that “it” could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments to determine if “it” actually exists): see [this](#).

Am 25.01.2022 um 08:45 schrieb eliane.brogini@babs.admin.ch:

Sehr geehrter Herr [REDACTED]

Besten Dank für Ihr Gesuch um Einsicht in Akten gemäss Bundesgesetz über das Öffentlichkeitsprinzip der Verwaltung. Wir verfügen über keine amtlichen Dokumente, die den von Ihnen beschriebenen Anforderungen entsprechen.

Freundliche Grüsse,
Eliane Brogini

Betreff: AW: WG: BGÖ-Gesuch um Einsicht in Akten - gereinigtes Virus - Labor Spiez

Von: <eliane.brogini@babs.admin.ch>

Datum: 28.01.2022, 14:57

An: [REDACTED]@gmx.ch>

Kopie (CC): <labor-spiez@babs.admin.ch>, <claudia.geiger@babs.admin.ch>

Sehr geehrter Herr [REDACTED]

Vielen Dank für Ihre Anfrage und für Ihr Interesse an den Aufgaben und Tätigkeiten des Labor Spiez.

Das Bundesamt für Bevölkerungsschutz hat keine amtlichen Dokumente erstellt, die den von Ihnen beschriebenen Anforderungen (Ziffer 2) entsprechen.

In der wissenschaftlichen Fachliteratur gibt es hingegen inzwischen eine Vielzahl von Publikationen, in denen die Isolierung von SARS-Cov-2-Viren beschrieben worden ist. Nur als Beispiel finden Sie in der Anlage eine Publikation aus Nature, einer der weltweit angesehensten Zeitschriften für Naturwissenschaften (Wölfel, R., Corman, V.M., Guggemos, W. et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. Nature 581, 465–469 (2020).

Wir weisen Sie darauf hin, dass Sie nach Artikel 13 BGÖ die Möglichkeit haben, innerhalb von 20 Tagen nach Erhalt dieser Mitteilung schriftlich einen Schlichtungsantrag an die Schlichtungsbehörde zu stellen (Eidgenössischer Datenschutz- und Öffentlichkeitsbeauftragter EDÖB, Feldeggweg 1, 3003 Bern; www.edoeb.admin.ch) und unsere oben dargelegte Haltung überprüfen zu lassen.

Für allfällige Fragen stehen wir gerne zur Verfügung.

Freundliche Grüsse,
Eliane Brogini

Betreff: Ihr Gesuch vom 17. Oktober 2021 - Einsicht in Akten - gereinigtes Virus - Antwort

Von: <lorenz.overhage@bag.admin.ch>

Datum: 23.11.2021, 11:34

An: [REDACTED]@gmx.ch>

Sehr geehrter Herr [REDACTED]

zurückkommend auf Ihr Zugangsgesuch vom 17. Oktober 2021 nach Öffentlichkeitsgesetz (BGÖ; SR 152.3) darf ich Ihnen mitteilen, dass beim BAG keine Dokumente zum gewünschten Inhalt vorhanden sind.

Wir betrachten Ihr Gesuch als erledigt und weisen Sie darauf hin, dass Sie gestützt auf Art. 13 BGÖ die Möglichkeit haben, unsere Antwort durch die Schlichtungsstelle überprüfen zu lassen, indem Sie innerhalb von 20 Tagen nach Empfang dieser Mitteilung schriftlich einen Schlichtungsantrag stellen (Eidgenössischer Datenschutz- und Öffentlichkeitsbeauftragter EDÖB, Feldeggweg 1, 3003 Bern, www.edoeb.admin.ch).

Lorenz Overhage

MLaw

Eidgenössisches Departement des Innern EDI
Bundesamt für Gesundheit BAG
Abteilung Recht

March 16, 2022: Larry Stinson, who acts as Director of Operations for the so-called “non-profit” Peterborough Public Health (PPH), confirmed that PPH and Thomas Piggott, the man

who acts as Medical Officer of Health, have no record describing anyone on Earth finding and purifying any alleged SARS, H5N1, H1N1, MERS, Ebola, or SARS-COV-2 “virus” from the bodily fluids of any diseased human, ever... or any study that in Thomas’ opinion proves the existence of any of those alleged viruses... despite Thomas’ claim of having lived through all these alleged outbreaks: see [this](#).

Background

On February 16, 2022, Thomas Piggott, the man who acts as Medical Officer of Health, Peterborough Public Health **tweeted** the following claim:

*I've lived through SARS in 2003, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through H5N1 in 2005 & since, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through H1N1 in 2009, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through MERS in 2012, we quickly forgot.
I've lived through Ebola in 2014, we quickly forgot.*

Massey, Christine Re Proof Alleg Outbreaks File No 2022-03 Mar 16 2022.pdf
064K

Description of Requested Records:

All studies / reports in the possession, custody or control of Thomas Piggott or anyone else acting at Peterborough Public Health, that describe anyone on Earth finding and **purifying** (i.e. via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography) **any of the 5 alleged “viruses” listed above by Thomas Piggott** directly from the bodily fluids of any diseased human where the bodily fluids were **not** first combined with any other source of **genetic** material (i.e. a human or animal cell line; fetal bovine serum).

Peterborough Public Health has no records in relation to your request. Local public health are responsible for adherence to the Health Protection and Promotion and implementation of Public Health Standards and related protocols as set by the Ministry of Health. Scientific and Public Health Ontario. We do not work directly with patient samples related SARS-COV-2 or samples.

Please note that I **do not** require and **do not** want studies/reports where researchers failed to **purify** an alleged “virus” from a sick human’s bodily fluid and instead:

If you have any questions, I can be contacted at istinson@peterboroughpublichealth.ca, or 743-3000, extension 253.

- cultured an unpurified substance (i.e. bodily fluid) in a malnourished cell line, added toxic drugs, watched for cytopathic effects and called that “virus isolation”, and/or
- performed an unreliable amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), that can only detect sequences and **not** “viruses”, on the soup of genetic material extracted from a patient’s bodily fluid or from monkey/cow/human cell culture supernatant, and/or
- fabricated an in silico (computer) sequence (“genome”) from millions of sequences unreliably detected in the soup of total RNA extracted from a patient’s bodily fluid or from a monkey/cow/human cell culture, and/or
- produced electron microscopy images of unpurified particles in a monkey/cow/human cell culture.

p.s. To clarify: where I wrote “animal experiments will be accepted” - this applies to experimental proof of disease causation.

Also, please add “SARS-COV-2” to the list of alleged viruses for which I require records of purification, since Thomas Pinnoff claims to have lived through “COVID-19” also

August 25, 2021: Peterborough Public Health and (outgoing) Medical Officer Dr. Rosana Salvaterra confirm they have no records describing purification of the alleged “SARS-COV-2”, “HIV” aka “human immunodeficiency virus”, “Hep B virus” or “Hep C virus” from any patient sample on the planet, by anyone, anywhere. Which means they have zero proof of their existence. See [this](#).

January 3, 2022: Sarah B. Kotler, J.D. (a lawyer), Director, Division of Freedom of Information, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) refused to formally respond to my FOIA request delivered to her via email... but also indicated that the FDA has no record describing purification of “SARS-COV-2” from any patient sample on Earth, by anyone, anywhere, ever. She also failed to answer my question re what she will do with the knowledge that no one on the planet has any proof of “the virus”, despite being a “public servant” working for an agency that has been rubber-stamping all manner of “COVID-19” devices, injections, etc. She also called me “rude”, meanwhile she appears quite content to go along with worldwide fraud and violations of the Nuremberg Code: see [this](#).

FOIA request to FDA re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

Kotler, Sarah <Sarah.Kotler@fda.hhs.gov>
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Mon, Jan 3, 2022 at 7:15 AM

Our regulation (which I cited below) gives you the option of (1) mailing or (2) faxing your request. We also have the online portal, which is the fastest method right now due to mail delays and the fact that our office remains closed, and we are limited in our ability to retrieve mail or faxes. In any event, the information you are seeking about "purification" would not even be with FDA. I would suggest you submit your request to the CDC based on the information at [SARS-CoV-2 Viral Culturing at CDC](#) | CDC. Instructions for submitting a request to that agency are at:

[Freedom of Information Act Importance to CDC Mission | CDC](#)

Thank you,

Sarah B. Kotler, J.D.

Director, Division of Freedom of Information

US FDA

301-796-8976

August 20, 2022: Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention was asked for records of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being found in and purified from any clinical sample on Earth, and responded with useless manufacturers' instructions for 2 PCR "tests". See [this](#).

HETL uses the FDA EUA OPTI-SARS Covid-19 Real time PCR test and the Hologic Aptima SARS-CoV-2 assay for qualitative detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from human clinical specimens. Attached are the manufacturers' Instructions For Use documents.

July 28, 2022: Some anonymous man or woman working in the Regulation and Ethics Department of the National Agency for the Safety of Medicines and Health Products, France aka L'Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament et des produits de santé (ANSM) responded to my FOI for records of anyone on Earth finding and purifying the hypothesized covid virus from any bodily fluid/tissue of anyone on the planet. According to my colleague Marc Gray's translation, this man or woman indicated that the ANSM is not authorized to verify the existence of alleged viruses unless doing so is "*necessary in the context of a request concerning a drug or a micro-organism*", which according to them is not the case with their "pandemic" activity. "*The requested documents do not exist within the ANSM*" and science "*does not fall within the scope of competence of the ANSM*". So good to know. See [this](#).

Saint-Denis, le 28 Juillet 2022

Direction Réglementation et Déontologie
Pôle juridique et droits des usagers

Réf : 22V147

Madame,

Par courrier électronique en date du 13 mai 2022, vous avez souhaité disposer d'une copie de toutes les études et/ou rapports en possession, sous la garde ou sous le contrôle de l'ANSM décrivant la purification du présumé "virus COVID-19" (plus précisément "SARS-COV-2", y compris toute "variante" présumée) directement à partir d'un échantillon prélevé sur un humain malade, où l'échantillon du patient n'a d'abord été combiné avec aucune autre source de matériel génétique.

A cet égard, je suis en mesure de vous apporter les éléments de réponse suivants.

En vertu des dispositions combinées des articles L. 300-2 et L. 311-1 du code des relations entre le public et l'administration (CRPA), le document sollicité revêt le caractère de document administratif, communicable à tout tiers qui en fait la demande.

Précisément, l'article L. 311-1 du CRPA précité dispose que, sous réserve des dispositions des articles L. 311-5 et L. 311-6, les administrations mentionnées à l'article L. 300-2 sont tenues de communiquer aux personnes qui en font la demande les documents administratifs qu'elles détiennent, qui émanent d'elles ou qui lui ont été adressés.

L'ANSM (Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des produits de santé), établissement public placé sous la tutelle du ministère chargé de la Santé, est une agence d'évaluation et de décision, dont les missions sont d'offrir un accès équitable à l'innovation pour tous les patients et de garantir la sécurité des produits de santé tout au long de leur cycle de vie.

A ce titre, la compétence de l'ANSM, prévue à l'article L. 5311-1 du code de la santé publique, s'exerce sur les médicaments, les matières premières à usage pharmaceutique, les dispositifs médicaux et dispositifs médicaux de diagnostic in vitro, les produits biologiques à effet thérapeutique (produits sanguins labiles, organes, tissus, cellules, produits de thérapies génique et cellulaire, lait maternel), les micro-organismes et toxines, les produits cosmétiques et les produits de tatouage.

L'ANSM n'est en revanche pas habilitée à évaluer la purification seule d'un virus, sauf si cette évaluation est nécessaire dans le cadre d'une demande concernant un médicament ou un micro-organisme, ce qui n'est pas le cas en l'espèce.

Madame Christine MASSEY
[redacted] Peterborough, Ontario, Canada
cmssyc@gmail.com

1

143/147 boulevard Anatole France – F-93285 Saint-Denis Cedex – Tél : +33 (0)1 55 87 30 00 – www.ansm.sante.fr

January 2022: Romania's Ministry of Health / Institute of Public Health Bucharest and National Center for Surveillance and Control of Communicable Diseases failed to provide/cite any record of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" having been found in the bodily fluids of any patient on Earth and purified, so that "it" could be sequenced, characterized and studied with controlled experiments... and thus shown to exist: see [this](#).



MINISTERUL SĂNĂTĂȚII
INSTITUTUL NAȚIONAL DE SĂNĂTATE PUBLICĂ
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC HEALTH



Str. Dr. Leonte nr. 1-3, 050463, București, ROMÂNIA
Tel: (401) 021 318 36 20, director (401) 021 318 36 19, fax (401) 021 312 34 26
e-mail: directie.generala@insp.gov.ro

CĂTRE

E-mail

Spre informare,

Ministerul Sănătății

Direcția Relații cu Presa, Afaceri Europene și Relații Internaționale

Ca urmare a adresei dumneavoastră, înregistrată la INSP cu nr 1469/27.01.2022, vă transmitem, mai jos, link-urile pe care le puteți accesa în vederea obținerii informațiilor solicitate respectiv, dovezile științifice privind izolarea virusului în cultură.

Stelzer-Braid S, Walker GJ, Aggarwal A, Isaacs SR, Yeang M, Naing Z, Ospina Stella A, Turville SG, Rawlinson WD. Virus isolation of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) for diagnostic and research purposes. *Pathology*. 2020 Dec;52(7):760-763. doi: 10.1016/j.pathol.2020.09.012. Epub 2020 Oct 8. PMID: 33131800; PMCID: PMC7543926.

Francis R, Le Bideau M, Jardot P, Grimaldier C, Raoult D, Bou Khalil JY, La Scola B. High-speed large-scale automated isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from clinical samples using miniaturized co-culture coupled to high-content screening. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2021 Jan;27(1):128.e1-128.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2020.09.018. Epub 2020 Sep 23. PMID: 32979576; PMCID: PMC7510445.

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/grows-virus-cell-culture.html>

<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2001017>

Zhou, P., Yang, XL., Wang, XG. et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* 579, 270–273 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7>

Harcourt J, Tamin A, Lu X, Kamili S, Sakthivel SK, Murray J, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2020;26(6):1266-1273. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.200516>

August 2022: Taiwan's CDC failed to provide or cite for [Michael S.](#) any scientific proof of the existence of the alleged – but let's face it, strictly in silico – SARS-COV-2: see [this](#).

正 本

檔 號：
保存年限：

發文日期：中華民國111年8月15日

發文字號：疾管檢驗字第1110060731號

主旨：署長信箱民眾意見-信件編號:202207250000004-This is a
Freedom of Information Act Request. Ple

Thank you for your email dated July 25, 2022. Our reply to
your inquiry is as follows:

At present, the laboratory diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 can be
divided into three types. They are viral nucleic acid detection,
antigen detection and virus culture and isolation.

We are unable to provide laboratory records of virus-related
testing.

If you have further questions regarding COVID-19, you can
visit Taiwan CDC's website at <http://www.cdc.gov.tw>.

Best regards,

Taiwan Centers for Disease Control

副本：cdcwwwod@cdc.gov.tw

January 2022: Taiwan's CDC confirmed for Michael S. in NZ that they have not purified the
alleged "SARS-COV-2" from any patient specimen or "virus" culture, and have relied
exclusively on meaningless, fraudulent PCR tests for detecting the imaginary virus: see [this](#).

訂
裝

Thank you for your email dated January 9, 2022. Our reply to your inquiry is as follows:

We did not purify SARS-CoV2 viruses from original specimens or virus culture. In Taiwan CDC the SARS-CoV2 is detected by using real-time RT-PCR.

If you have further questions regarding COVID-19, you can visit Taiwan CDC's website at <http://www.cdc.gov.tw>.

Best regards,
Taiwan Centers for Disease Control

副本：cdcwwwod@cdc.gov.tw

April 22, 2022: New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene confirmed that they have no record describing the purification of any alleged "SARS-COV-2" (including any alleged "variants") from a sample taken from a diseased human, by anyone, anywhere, ever: see [this](#).

FOIL Control No. 2021FR02848 response

Bernadette O'Donnell <bodonnell1@health.nyc.gov>
To: "cmssyc@gmail.com" <cmssyc@gmail.com>
Cc: Jerome Baa <jbaa@health.nyc.gov>

Fri, Apr 22, 2022 at 1:35 PM

Dear Ms. Massey:

I am very sorry about the delay. The NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene does not have records responsive to your Freedom of Information Law request with the above-referenced control number. DOHMH does not conduct research lab benchwork.

Again, my apologies for the delay.

Bernadette O'Donnell
Records Access Officer / Agency Attorney
NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
42-09 28th St., CN 14-29
Long Island City, NY 11101

March 4, 2022: New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene suddenly denied a FOIL request from Louis Stephen that had included the following:

"The information I am requesting is: 1) Proof of isolation or an isolate of the SARS-COV-2 virus", with this explanation: "your request does not reasonably describe the records you are requesting": see [this](#).

The NYC DOMH never contacted me for clear direction on how they wanted the request to be described for their search. Obviously, they can't fulfill my request so they skirted around it!

Let me know your thoughts.

Kind regards,

Lou [REDACTED]
Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

On Friday, March 4, 2022, 10:52:48 AM EST, Bernadette O'Donnell <bodonnell1@health.nyc.gov> wrote:

Dear Louis [REDACTED]

The New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) denies your Freedom of Information Law request with the above-referenced control number. Pursuant to Public Officers Law § 89(3)(a), a request for records must be "reasonably described." As written, your request does not reasonably describe the records you are requesting from DOHMH. Without a clear description of the records you seek, we are unable to conduct a search of the agency's records to determine whether we are able to respond to your request.

March 21, 2022: New York City Department of Health and Hospitals says *"Our research department conducted a diligent search for all the prospective COVID protocols for purification methods/description of SARS-Cov2 and couldn't find any responsive records to your FOIL request. The facility research teams at Health + Hospitals have confirmed that they did not perform any purification methods of the virus. Also, please note that H+H does not do research lab benchwork."* See [page 3](#).

FOIL request to NYC Depts of Health & MH/Hospitals re: "SARS-COV-2" purification

HHCFOIL <HHCFOIL@nychhc.org>

Mon, Mar 21, 2022 at 1:43 PM

To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>

Cc: "recordsaccess@health.nyc.gov" <recordsaccess@health.nyc.gov>, HHCFOIL <HHCFOIL@nychhc.org>

Dear Ms. Massey,

Our research department conducted a diligent search for all the prospective COVID protocols for purification methods/description of SARS-Cov2 and couldn't find any responsive records to your FOIL request. The facility research teams at Health + Hospitals have confirmed that they did not perform any purification methods of the virus. Also, please note that H+H does not do research lab benchwork.

Therefore we are closing this FOIL request.

Sincerely,

Vanita Yogeshwar

Records Access Officer

NYC Health + Hospitals

March 30, 2022: New York City's Office of the Mayor: In response to a request for records describing isolation/purification of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" from a sample taken from a diseased human, the Mayor's office disclosed that: *"After conducting a search, the Mayor's Office has not found any records responsive to your request"*: see [this](#).



THE CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
NEW YORK, NY 10007

March 30, 2022

Dear Christine Massey:

This letter is a response to your request pursuant to the Freedom of Information Law received on November 4, 2021, for the following records:

All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Office of the Mayor describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum). Please note that I am not requesting studies/reports where researchers failed to purify the suspected "virus" and instead: - cultured an unpurified sample or other unpurified substance, and/or - performed an amplification test (i.e. a PCR test), and/or - fabricated a "genome", and/or - produced electron microscopy images of unpurified things. I am already aware that according to virus theory a "virus" requires host cells in order to replicate, and am not requesting records that describe replication of a "virus" without host cells. Nor am I requesting records that describe a strict fulfillment of Koch's Postulates, or records that describe a suspected "virus" floating in a vacuum, or private patient information. I simply request records that describe purification (separation of the alleged "virus" from everything else in the patient sample, as per standard laboratory practices for the purification of other very small things). Please note that my request includes any study/report matching the above description, authored by anyone, anywhere.

After conducting a search, the Mayor's Office has not found any records responsive to your request under FOIL. You may appeal this determination in writing within thirty days by addressing such an appeal to FOILAppeal@cityhall.nyc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Jeffrey Lowell".

Jeffrey Lowell
Records Access Officer

October 23, 2021: The Assistant General Counsel at Clemson University, South Carolina confirmed for the requester that the University does not have any record of "SARS-COV-2" purification, despite their Department of Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences recently receiving \$900,000 to study the alleged "virus": see [this](#).

Robert Wilkins <bertw@clermson.edu>

Sat, Oct 23, 2021 at 5:43 AM

Cc: Amy McKinney Josey <amjosey@clermson.edu>

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

I hope you are doing well. Your FOIA request below was forwarded to me for response. I have directed your below request to the appropriate departments. The University does not have records responsive to your request.

I hope you have a nice weekend.

Best regards,

Robert W. Wilkins
Assistant General Counsel
Clemson University
207 Sikes Hall
Clemson, SC 29634

October 15, 2021: Illinois's Office of the Governor confirmed that they located no studies describing isolation and analysis of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" aka "SARS-COV-2": see [this](#).

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

207 STATE HOUSE
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62706

JB PRITZKER
GOVERNOR

October 15, 2021

VIA EMAIL

michelleturney1771@att.net

Re: FOIA Request # 2021-268

Dear Ms. Turney:

This letter is in response to your narrowed Illinois Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") request received on October 7, 2021 seeking:

All records of scientific laboratory studies analyzing and identifying the SARS-CoV-2 virus from an isolated sample extracted from a human being. am unable to find proof of the above.

Please be advised that after conducting a search, the Governor's Office has located no records responsive to your request.

To the extent you consider this response to be a denial of your FOIA request, you have the right to a denial reviewed by the Office of the Illinois Attorney General, 500 S. 2nd Street, Springfield, Illinois 62706, (877) 299-3642, publicaccess@atg.state.il.us.

If you choose to submit a request for review, you must do so within 60 days after the date of this response letter. The request for review must be in writing, signed by you, and include a copy of your FOIA request and this office's response. 5 ILCS 140/9.5(a). In addition, you have the right to seek judicial review of this response. 5 ILCS 140/11(a), (b).

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,



Shannon I. Leonard, FOIA Officer
Office of Illinois Governor JB Pritzker

September 24, 2021: Wisconsin Governor and Department of Health Services confirmed for Charles R. Haeuser that they have no record regarding isolation/purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" (or regarding safety and/or approval of so-called "vaccines"). (The DHS did provide attachments regarding Wisconsin's "COVID-19 Community Outreach Grant"; click [here](#) to see or download them.) See [this](#).

RE: Your August 14, 2021 Public Records Requests

Dear Mr. Haeuser:

This is in response to your public records requests received by the Department of Health Services on August 14, 2021. You requested:

- All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the State of Wisconsin, Department of Health Services, Governor of the State of Wisconsin, Any contracted employees or Laboratories contracted by The State of Wisconsin, Department of Health Services or its duly appointed entities describing the purification (i.e. via filtration and ultracentrifugation) of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).
- [A]ny/all internal documents that refer to, or indicate who authorized the total amount and any and all records regarding research done into the use of the word vaccine, the safety of any vaccine and any records that the Department of Health Services has that show an approval of any "vaccine or inoculation" that was approved by the FDA for safe, ethical use on anyone, anywhere.

DHS has no responsive records to the first two bullets of your request. It should also be

September 29, 2021: Metro Public Health Department, Nashville, Tennessee advised that *"No such record(s) exists, or this office does not maintain record(s) responsive to"* a request from Irucka Embry re purification of the alleged "SARS-COV-2" or the complete matching of "virus genomic sequences" from victims of the alleged virus in Metro Nashville and Wuhan, China. See [this](#).

- ☐ Copies of public record(s) responsive to your request are:
- ☐ Attached;
 - ☐ Available for pickup at the following location:
2500 Charlotte Avenue, First Floor, Suite 115 (Medical Records); or
 - ☐ Being delivered via: ☐ USPS First-Class Mail ☐ Electronically ☐ Other: _____.
- ☒ Your request is denied on the following grounds:
- ☐ Your request was not sufficiently detailed to enable identification of the specific requested record(s). You need to provide additional information to identify the requested record(s).
 - ☐ Questions which seek information rather than the reproduction of record(s) does not require a response under TPRA.
- ☒ No such record(s) exists, or this office does not maintain record(s) responsive to your request.

August 18, 2021: Arizona Department of Health Services confirmed for Timothy Martin that they have no record describing isolation/purification of any "SARS-COV-2" from any patient sample on the planet, and confuse such with private/protected/identifiable healthcare data: see [this](#).



ARIZONA DEPARTMENT
OF HEALTH SERVICES
POLICY & INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

August 18, 2021

Sent Via Electronic Mail

Timothy C. Martin



Re: Response-August 16, 2021 Public Records Request

Dear Mr. Martin:

On behalf of the Arizona Department of Health Services ("ADHS"), I am responding to your August 16, 2021 public records request (the "Request"). In your Request, you ask for communicable disease related information, specifically records "describing the isolation of a SARS-CoV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; liver cancer cells, lung cells from a lung cancer patient)."

In response to your Request, ADHS does not have any records "describing the isolation of a SARS-CoV-2 virus, directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material." Please note that available de-identified COVID-19 data, including case data, may be reviewed at: <https://www.azdhs.gov/covid19/data/index.php>. You may also wish to check with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for information that may be useful to you. See <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/index.html>.

In addition, even if ADHS had records responsive to your Request, A.R.S. § 36-664(A) states: "[a] person who obtains **communicable disease related information** in the course of providing a health service or obtains that information from a health care provider pursuant to an authorization shall not disclose or be compelled to disclose that information except as authorized by state or federal law...." (Emphasis added). See also A.R.S. §§ 36-661(5), (14), (21), 36-664(C), 36-665 and 36-666(A)(2) (it is a class 3 misdemeanor to disclose communicable disease related information in violation of A.R.S. Title 36, Chapter 6, Article 4); May 29, 2020 Under Advisement Ruling issued in Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2020-005385; January 29, 2021 Minute Entry issued in Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2020-012030.

Douglas A. Ducey | Governor Cara M. Christ, MD, MS | Director

150 N. 18th Ave., Suite 200, Phoenix, AZ 85007-2670 P | 602-542-1020 F | 602-364-1150 W | azhealth.gov

Health and Wellness for all Arizonans

53647409.1

February 4, 2022: Florida Department of Health finally admitted to Beatrice Scova that they have no record of "SARS-COV-2" purification: see [this](#).

Fw: No vir..

Beatrice Scova [REDACTED] Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 8:09 PM
Reply-To: Beatrice Scova [REDACTED]
To: Christine Massey <cmssyc@gmail.com>, "christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca" <christinem@fluoridefreepeel.ca>

Dear Christine

We hope you are well, in good spirit and having a good day.

After much back and forth with the Florida department of Health, we were able to obtain this response below (finally) in regards to our request for the purification and isolation of the alleged SARS Covid 19 virus.

We are thankful to the Attorney in public records, Roger Andrews who did the right thing, and set the record straight, (so to say).

This is a follow up to the "other" run around responses previously from Florida as you have already posted.

On a side note we pray all is going well with you from the unlawful arrest.

God Speed and God Bless

Beatrice [REDACTED]

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "Beatrice Scova" <[REDACTED]>
To: "Thomas Grega" <thomasgrega981@msn.com>, "Beatrice Scova" <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 7:57 PM
Subject: No vir..

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "zzzz Feedback, PublicRecordsRequest" <PublicRecordsRequest@flhealth.gov>
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Fri, Feb 4, 2022 at 2:41 PM
Subject:

Good Afternoon,

Pursuant to your discussion with the Office of General Counsel clarifying your request for studies or reports for the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" directly from a sample taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material, there are no records responsive to that request.

Regards,

June 13, 2022: Rosemary Hewig acting as "Esq., Records Access Officer", New York State Department of Health reassured Louis that that they are still diligently searching for records proving the existence of "SARS-COV-2" and will only need until August 17, 2022 to respond to his FOI dated January 4, 2022: see [this](#).

Rosemary seems to have forgotten her earlier responses to my friend Bill Huston and I wherein she confessed that the people running NYS DoH have no record indicating that "SARS-COV-2" has ever been isolated, below.



KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

Department of Health

MARY T. BASSETT, M.D., M.P.H.
Commissioner

KRISTIN M. PROUD
Acting Executive Deputy Commissioner

June 13, 2022

VIA EMAIL

Louis [REDACTED]

FOIL #: 22-01-042

Dear Louis [REDACTED]

This letter is in regard to your Freedom of Information Law (FOIL) request of January 4, 2022, which is currently being processed.

Please be advised this Office is unable to respond to your request by the date previously given to you because a diligent search for responsive documents is still being conducted.

We estimate that this Office will complete its process by August 17, 2022. The Department will notify you in writing when/if the responsive materials are available for release or if the time needed to complete your request extends beyond the above date.

Should you require additional information or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 474-8734.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Hewig, Esq.
Records Access Officer

RH/bae

Empire State Plaza, Corning Tower, Albany, NY 12237 | health.ny.gov

December 9, 2021: 10 short months is all it took for the New York State Department of Health to provide William Huston with a meaningless list of imaginary "SARS-COV-2" variants in response to his request for records indicating that "the virus" has been isolated. And, on February 25, 2022, they finally concluded their "diligent search" for records responsive to my purification request dated November 5, 2021, and confirmed that they found no records: see [this](#).



Department of Health

KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

MARY T. BASSETT, M.D., M.P.H.
Acting Commissioner

KRISTIN M. PROUD
Acting Executive Deputy Commissioner

December 9, 2021

William Huston
Terra Vigilante
P.O. Box 22
Endicott, NY 13761

FOIL #: 21-02-073

Dear Mr. Huston:

This letter responds to your Freedom of Information Law (FOIL) request of February 1, 2021, in which you requested "[r]ecords which indicate that the virus* which purportedly causes COVID-19 disease, has been isolated." (* Known by various names, including SARS-nCoV, SARS-COV-2, 2019-nCoV, SARS2-nCoV- 19, HCoV-19, etc)."

Please be advised that the culture and isolation of SARS-CoV-2 virus is a time-consuming process and a high biohazard procedure, that can only be performed in a certified biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory. Very few clinical laboratories can perform this procedure as most do not have BSL-3 facilities. The New York State Department of Health's Wadsworth Center ("Wadsworth") does have BSL-3 laboratories and as of November 12, 2021, has performed cultures on a total of 306 specimens from COVID patients, randomly selected throughout the pandemic. Due to the cost and labor-intensive nature of the procedure, it is only performed on a small fraction of samples. From these 306 specimens, the SARS-CoV-2 virus has been isolated 194 times.

The lineage of the harvested viruses from the cultures is determined by analyzing the entire genomic viral sequence following next generation sequencing. This is also a costly and time-consuming procedure, only performed on a small percentage of samples. For 85 of the inoculated samples that grew, the virus lineage (variant type) is not known at this time as the sequence analysis has not been performed.

Further, variants are only assigned a Greek letter by the World Health Organization when they are determined to be Variants of Interest or Variants of Concern. Thus, there have been numerous variants identified that do not have a Greek letter designation. For the 109 samples that have been cultured and sequenced at Wadsworth, the lineage and variant classifications identified are shown in the table below:

<u>SARS-CoV-2 Lineage</u>	<u>Greek letter designation</u>	<u>Number of Different Samples That Grew</u>
B.1	N/A	34
B.1.1.207	N/A	5
B.1.1.348	N/A	2
B.1.1.519	N/A	1

Please be advised that the New York State Department of Health does not maintain records responsive to your request.

Should you feel that you have been unlawfully denied access to records, you may appeal such denial in writing within 30 days to the Records Access Appeals Officer, Division of Legal Affairs, Empire State Plaza, 2438 Corning Tower, Albany, New York 12237-0026.

If you require additional information or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 474-8734.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Hewig

Rosemarie Hewig, Esq.
Records Access Officer

September 30, 2021: New York State Department of Health advised [William Huston](#) that they have zero records indicating that the alleged "SARS-CoV-2" is the cause of "COVID-19." See [this](#).



KATHY HOCHUL
Governor

Department of Health

HOWARD A. ZUCKER, M.D., J.D.
Commissioner

KRISTIN M PROUD
Acting Executive Deputy Commissioner

September 30, 2021

William Huston
Terra Vigilate
P.O. Box 22
Endicott, NY 13761

FOIL #: 21-02-070

Dear William Huston:

This letter responds to your Freedom of Information Law (FOIL) request of February 1, 2021, in which you requested "[r]ecords which indicate that the virus known as SARS-CoV-2 (a/k/a, 2019-nCoV, HCoV-19, SARSnCoV- 19, SARS-nCoV-2, and SARS2-nCoV-19) is the cause of Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19)."

The New York State Department of Health does not maintain records responsive to your request. Please be advised, the Department follows the findings and directives issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Information responsive to your request can be found on the CDC's website at the following link:

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/your-health/about-covid-19/basics-covid-19.html>

Should you feel that you have been unlawfully denied access to records, you may appeal such denial in writing within 30 days to the Records Access Appeals Officer, Division of Legal Affairs, Empire State Plaza, 2438 Corning Tower, Albany, New York 12237-0026.

If you require additional information or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me at (518) 474-8734.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Hewig

Rosemarie Hewig, Esq.
Records Access Officer

RH/bae

Empire State Plaza, Corning Tower, Albany, NY 12237 | health.ny.gov

May 25, 2022: Rector Prof. Dr. Piotr Ponikowski from University of Wroclaw, Poland, Medical Department confirmed that the university has no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being isolated, period.




UNIwersYTET MEDYCZNY
IM. PIASTÓW ŚLĄSKICH WE WROCŁAWIU

Rektor
prof. dr hab. Piotr Ponikowski

DKO-1.0147.15.2022

Wrocław, 25 maja 2022 r.

Szanowny Pan



ZAWIADOMIENIE

Na podstawie art. 14 ust. 1 ustawy z dnia 6 września 2001 r. o dostępie do informacji publicznej (Dz. U. 2022, poz. 902), po rozpoznaniu Pana wniosku z dnia 13 maja 2022 r. dotyczącego informacji, czy Uniwersytet Medyczny we Wrocławiu posiada dokumentację na temat izolacji wirusa Sars-Cov-2 informuję, że Uniwersytet Medyczny we Wrocławiu nie posiada dokumentacji na temat izolacji wirusa Sars-Cov-2.

Z wyrazami poważania

Uniwersytet Medyczny we Wrocławiu
REKTOR
prof. dr hab. Piotr Ponikowski

Translation into English:

"Pursuant to Article 14 (1) of the Act of September 6, 2001 on disclosing public information (Journals of Law 2022, item 902) after examining your application of May 13, 2022 regarding information whether University of Wrocław-Poland has documentation on the Isolation of the Sars-Cov-2 virus; informs that University of Wrocław has not documentation on Isolation of Sars-Cov-2 virus"



Signed by /
Podpisano przez:

Magdalena Stręk

Date / Data:
2022-05-24
07:52

ul. Marcinkowskiego 2-6, 50-368 Wrocław
tel.: +48 71/784-10-56

e-mail: blp@umw.edu.pl, magdalena.krystyniak@umw.edu.pl

[Click here to read the full article.](#)

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Christine Massey](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca