

Hiroshima Day 2023. Towards a World War III

Scenario: The Privatization of Nuclear War

Secret Meeting Held on "Hiroshima Day" Ten Years Ago to Privatize Nuclear.
Behind closed doors at Strategic Command Headquarters at the Offutt Air Force Base in Nebraska.

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [James Corbett](#)

Global Research, August 06, 2023

Global Research 6 August 2011

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#),
[Military and WMD](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?](#),
[Nuclear War](#), [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

This article was first published on August 7, 2011.

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#).

Author's Note and Update

Of relevance to the ongoing crisis in Ukraine.

Is the Biden Administration committed to the use of nuclear weapons as an instrument of peace? The cost of America's "peace-making" nuclear weapons program is of the order of 1.3 trillion dollars.

The focus of US military doctrine since the Bush administration has been on the development of so-called "more usable nuclear weapons".

George W. Bush's 2001 Nuclear Posture Review, which was adopted by the US Senate in late 2002. envisaged the development of "a generation of more useable nuclear weapons." namely tactical nuclear weapons (B61-11 mini-nukes) with an explosive capacity between one third and 6 times times a Hiroshima bomb.

The term "more usable" emanates from the debate surrounding the 2001 NPR, which

justified the use of tactical nuclear weapons in the conventional war theater on the grounds that tactical nuclear weapons, namely bunker buster bombs with a nuclear warhead are, according to scientific opinion on contract to the Pentagon “harmless to the surrounding population because the explosion is underground.”

Michel Chossudovsky, Hiroshima Day, August 6, 2023

Video Produced by James Corbett. The Privatization of **Nuclear War, June 2015**

The text below is an excerpt from Michel Chossudovsky's [*Towards a World War Three Scenario, The Dangers of Nuclear War.*](#) first published in 2011.

Towards a World War III Scenario: The Privatization of Nuclear War

Michel Chossudovsky

August 7, 2011.

At no point since the first atomic bomb was dropped on Hiroshima on August 6th, 1945, has humanity been closer to the unthinkable – a nuclear holocaust which could potentially spread in terms of radioactive fallout over a large part of the Middle East.

All the safeguards of the Cold War era, which categorized the nuclear bomb as “a weapon of last resort”, have been scrapped. “Offensive” military actions using nuclear warheads are now described as acts of “self-defense”.

The casualties from the direct effects of blast, radioactivity, and fires resulting from the massive use of nuclear weapons by the superpowers [of the Cold War era] would be so catastrophic that we avoided such a tragedy for the first four decades after the invention of nuclear weapons.¹

During the Cold War, the doctrine of Mutually Assured Destruction (MAD) prevailed, namely that the use of nuclear weapons against the Soviet Union would result in “the destruction of both the attacker and the defender”. In the post Cold war era, US nuclear doctrine was redefined.

The dangers of nuclear weapons have been obfuscated. Tactical weapons have been upheld as distinct, in terms of their impact, from the strategic thermonuclear bombs of the Cold War era. Tactical nuclear weapons are identical to the strategic nuclear bombs. The only thing that differentiates these two categories of nuclear bombs are:

- 1) their delivery system;
- 2) their explosive yield (measured in mass of trinitrotoluene (TNT), in kilotons or megatons.

The tactical nuclear weapon or low yield mini-nuke is described as a small nuclear bomb, delivered in the same way as the earth penetrating bunker buster bombs.

While the technology is fundamentally different, tactical nuclear weapons, in terms of in-theater delivery systems are comparable to the bombs dropped on Hiroshima and Nagasaki in August 1945.

The Pentagon's 2001 Nuclear Posture Review envisaged so-called "contingency plans" for an offensive "first strike use" of nuclear weapons, not only against "axis of evil" countries (including Iran and North Korea) but also against Russia and China.²

The adoption of the NPR by the US Congress in late 2002 provided a green light for carrying out the Pentagon's pre-emptive nuclear war doctrine, both in terms of military planning as well as defense procurement and production. Congress not only rolled back its prohibition on low yield nuclear weapons, it also provided funding "to pursue work on so-called mini-nukes". The financing was allocated to bunker buster (earth penetrator) tactical nuclear weapons as well as to the development of new nuclear weapons.³

Hiroshima Day 2003: Secret Meeting at Strategic Command Headquarters

On August 6, 2003, on Hiroshima Day, [twenty years ago] commemorating when the first atomic bomb was dropped on Hiroshima (August 6 1945), a secret meeting was held behind closed doors at Strategic Command Headquarters at the Offutt Air Force Base in Nebraska.

Senior executives from the nuclear industry and the military industrial complex were in attendance. This mingling of defense contractors, scientists and policy-makers was not intended to commemorate Hiroshima. The meeting was intended to set the stage for the development of a new generation of "smaller", "safer" and "more usable" nuclear weapons, to be used in the "in-theater nuclear wars" of the 21st Century.

In a cruel irony, the participants to this secret meeting, which excluded members of Congress, arrived on the anniversary of the Hiroshima bombing and departed on the anniversary of the attack on Nagasaki.

More than 150 military contractors, scientists from the weapons labs, and other government officials gathered at the headquarters of the US Strategic Command in Omaha, Nebraska to plot and plan for the possibility of "full-scale nuclear war", calling for the production of a new generation of nuclear weapons – more "usable" so-called "mini-nukes" and earth penetrating "bunker busters" armed with atomic warheads.⁴

According to a leaked draft of the agenda, the secret meeting included discussions on "mini-nukes" and "bunker-buster" bombs with nuclear war heads "for possible use against rogue states":

We need to change our nuclear strategy from the Cold War to one that can deal with emerging threats... The meeting will give some thought to how we guarantee the efficacy of the (nuclear) stockpile.⁵

The Privatization of Nuclear War: US Military Contractors Set the Stage

The post 9/11 nuclear weapons doctrine was in the making, with America's major defense contractors directly involved in the decision-making process.

The Hiroshima Day 2003 meetings had set the stage for the "privatization of nuclear war". Corporations not only reap multibillion-dollar profits from the production of nuclear bombs, they also have a direct voice in setting the agenda regarding the use and deployment of nuclear weapons.


The nuclear weapons industry, which includes the production of nuclear devices as well as the missile delivery systems, etc., is controlled by a handful of defense contractors with Lockheed Martin, General Dynamics, Northrop Grunman, Raytheon and Boeing in the lead.

It is worth noting that barely a week prior to the historic August 6, 2003 meeting, the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) disbanded its advisory committee which provided an "independent oversight" on the US nuclear arsenal, including the testing and/or use of new nuclear devices.⁶

The above text is an excerpt from Michel Chossudovsky's [*Towards a World War Three Scenario, The Dangers of Nuclear War.*](#)

E-Book Series No. 1.0
Global Research Publishers
Montreal, 2011,
ISBN 978-0-9737147-3-9

76 pages (8.5×11)
Tables, color photographs, maps, text boxes.
Active hyperlinks to major references in the text, hyperlinked footnotes.



[For further details click here](#)

[Order your copy of this important new book from Global Research here](#)

[please note: at the moment, this book is only available in PDF format](#)

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE

A New War Theater in North Africa
Operation Odyssey Dawn
Nuclear Weapons against Libya? How Real is the Threat?
America's Long War: The Global Military Agenda
How to Reverse the Tide of War
World War III Scenario
Acknowledgments

CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

The Cult of Killing and Destruction
America's Mini-nukes
War and the Economic Crisis
Real versus Fake Crises

CHAPTER II: THE DANGERS OF NUCLEAR WAR

Hiroshima Day 2003: Secret Meeting at Strategic Command Headquarters
The Privatization of Nuclear War: US Military Contractors Set the Stage
9/11 Military Doctrine: Nuclear Weapons and the "Global War on Terrorism"
Al Qaeda: "Upcoming Nuclear Power"
Obama's Nuclear Doctrine: The 2010 Nuclear Posture Review
Post 9/11 Nuclear Doctrine
"Defensive" and "Offensive" Actions
"Integration" of Nuclear and Conventional Weapons Plans
Theater Nuclear Operations (TNO)
Planned Aerial Attacks on Iran
Global Warfare: The Role of US Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM)
Nuclear Weapons Deployment Authorization
Israel's Stockpiling of Conventional and Nuclear Weapons
The Role of Western Europe
Germany: De Facto Nuclear Power
Pre-emptive Nuclear War: NATO's 2010 Strategic Concept
The World is at a Critical Crossroads

CHAPTER III: AMERICA'S HOLY CRUSADE AND THE BATTLE FOR OIL

America's Crusade in Central Asia and the Middle East
"Homegrown Terrorists"
The American Inquisition
Washington's Extrajudicial Assassination Program

The Battle for Oil
The Oil Lies in Muslim Lands
Globalization and the Conquest of the World's Energy Resources

CHAPTER IV: PREPARING FOR WORLD WAR THREE

Media Disinformation
A "Pre-emptive" Aerial Attack Directed Against Iran would Lead to Escalation
Global Warfare
US "Military Aid"
The Timetable of Military Stockpiling and Deployment
World War III Scenario
The United Nations Security Council
The American Inquisition: Building a Political Consensus for War

CHAPTER V: TARGETING IRAN WITH NUCLEAR WEAPONS

Building a Pretext for a Pre-emptive Nuclear Attack
"Theater Iran Near Term"
The Military Road Map: "First Iraq, then Iran"
Simulated Scenarios of a Global War: The Vigilant Shield 07 War Games
The Role of Israel
Cheney: "Israel Might Do it Without Being Asked"
US Israel Military Coordination
Tactical Nuclear Weapons directed against Iran
Radioactive Fallout
"The Mother of All Bombs" (MOAB) Slated to be Used Against Iran
Extensive Destruction of Iran's Infrastructure
State of the Art Weaponry: "War Made Possible Through New Technologies"
Electromagnetic Weapons
Iran's Military Capabilities: Medium and Long Range Missiles
Iran's Ground Forces
US Military and Allied Facilities Surrounding Iran

CHAPTER VI: REVERSING THE TIDE OF WAR

Revealing the Lie
The Existing Anti-War Movement
Manufacturing Dissent
Jus ad Bellum: 9/11 and the Invasions of Yugoslavia and Afghanistan
Fake Antiwar Activism: Heralding Iran as a Nuclear Threat
The Road Ahead
The Antiwar Movement within the State Structure and the Military
Abandon the Battlefield: Refuse to Fight
The Broader Peace Process
What has to be Achieved

[Order your copy of this important book from Global Research here](#)

Please note: at the moment, this book is only available in PDF format

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [James Corbett](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#) and [James Corbett](#)

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has taught as visiting professor in Western Europe, Southeast Asia, the Pacific and Latin America. He has served as economic adviser to governments of developing countries and has acted as a consultant for several international organizations. He is the author of 13 books. He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca