

Israel Massacres Children, Which the Western Press Says Is Fine

By [Caitlin Johnstone](#)

Global Research, May 27, 2024

[Caitlin's Newsletter](#)

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Global Research Wants to Hear From You!](#)

Israel has not only completely disregarded the orders of the International Court of Justice to cease its assault on Rafah [as we expected it to do](#), but has actually ramped up its ruthlessness as though trying to make a point. There were reportedly [more than 60 Israeli airstrikes](#) on the southernmost city in the Gaza strip in the 48 hours after the ICJ ruling, including a horrifying massacre on a displacement camp full of civilians in tents.

The ABC [reports](#):

Israeli air strikes have killed at least 35 Palestinians and wounded dozens in an area in the southern Gaza Strip city of Rafah designated for the displaced, Palestinian health and civil emergency service officials said.

Gaza's Health Ministry said women and children made up most of the dead and dozens of wounded.

The strike took place in Tel Al-Sultan neighbourhood in western Rafah on Sunday, local time, where thousands of people were taking shelter after many fled the eastern areas of the city where Israeli forces began a ground offensive over two weeks ago.



Assal Rad

@AssalRad · [Follow](#)



Two days after the ICJ ordered Israel to stop its assault on Rafah, Israel is attacking *more* viciously. Because they know the U.S. will support them no matter what they do, even commit genocide.

Netanyahu said 'no one will stop us,' but Biden never really tried.



Mehdi Hasan  @mehdirhasan

There are videos coming out of Rafah today of beheaded babies.

Actual beheaded babies that you can see for yourselves - not the beheaded babies that the Israeli authorities invented to justify their genocidal assault, or the ones that Biden falsely claimed to have seen pix of.

6:42 AM · May 27, 2024



697



Reply



Copy link

[Read 62 replies](#)

[Source](#)

The video footage coming out about this massacre is extremely graphic and will stay with you for the rest of your life if you choose to watch it.

It shows charred and dismembered bodies, and small children whose heads are missing and partly missing. On social media I've seen numerous people observing that [the lies](#) about Hamas beheading babies on October 7 have been used by Israel to justify atrocities in which actual babies are really being beheaded.

Electronic Intifada's Ali Abunimah [notes](#) that this was at a camp which just days ago Israel had told civilians was a safe zone that they should move to.

The Gaza media office [reports](#) that the attack took place next to an UNRWA logistics base, which is about as clear an answer to the UN court's order to cease its genocidal massacres

in Rafah as you could possibly ask for. As Maya Angelou said, when someone shows you who they are, believe them.



هناء

@hanooooonz · [Follow](#)

X

What the fuck is a "legally killed child" you are all going to hell

 **Writers Against the War on Gaza** @wawog_now

@TheAtlantic published a justification for the murder of Palestinian children today.

calculated risk. Even when conducted legally, war is ugly. It is possible to kill children legally, if for example one is being attacked by an enemy who hides behind them. But the sight of a legally killed child is no less disturbing than the sight of a murdered one. And Israel has discovered that shutting out the press carries its own risks. An infanticide that no one can see is also going to attract suspicion.

3:46 AM · May 27, 2024 

 44.1K

 Reply

 Copy link

[Read 17 replies](#)

[Source](#)

This happens to have occurred at precisely the same time [viral attention](#) is coming to an [article The Atlantic published](#) a few days ago which includes the assertion that killing

children is legally permissible under certain circumstances.

The Atlantic

To rebut Hamas's allegations by letting journalists see the war up close would be a calculated risk. Even when conducted legally, war is ugly. It is possible to kill children legally, if for example one is being attacked by an enemy who hides behind them. But the sight of a legally killed child is no less disturbing than the sight of a murdered one. And Israel has discovered that shutting out the press carries its own risks. An infanticide that no one can see is also going to attract suspicion. Unsympathetic observers will think Israel is conducting its war in the manner of other countries whose counterinsurgent forces have preferred to work out of view of independent media. Russia did this in the Second Chechen War; Sri Lanka, in its civil war. Both countries' militaries had much to hide.

Writing that allowing journalists into Gaza would be a "risk" for Israel because "war is ugly", The Atlantic's Graeme Wood uses the phrase "legally killed child" to argue that journalistic footage of dead children which Israel killed lawfully would still be damaging to Israeli PR interests.

"To rebut Hamas's allegations by letting journalists see the war up close would be a calculated risk," Wood writes. "Even when conducted legally, war is ugly. It is possible to kill children legally, if for example one is being attacked by an enemy who hides behind them. But the sight of a legally killed child is no less disturbing than the sight of a murdered one."

Think about the kind of worldview which could publish something like that. This made it through the entire editing process in a mainstream liberal publication.



Read Let This Radicalize You ✓

@JoshuaPHill · [Follow](#)



Thinking of how The Atlantic just published a piece featuring the phrase “legally killed child”



Quds News Network ✓ @QudsNen

A father bidding farewell to his 3-year-old child, Jom'a Al Ashi, who has been murdered by the Israeli recent targeting of an UNRWA displaced camp in Rafah.

#RafahMassacre



✎ Last edited 8:19 AM · May 27, 2024



4.8K



Reply



Copy link

[Read 32 replies](#)

[Source](#)

Anyone who's been following the Gaza genocide on social media today will be seeing this phrase "legally killed child" alongside footage of children ripped apart by Israeli military explosives in a civilian displacement camp—a pairing which, if you have a beating heart in your chest and a functioning empathy center in your brain, will spark a very special kind of rage inside you.

The way these two points dance together just says so much about what we're dealing with here, when you take a step back and really look at it. It says so much about Israel. It says so much about western civilization. It says so much about the western press in general and liberal war propaganda rags like The Atlantic in particular. It says so much about the kind of mainstream political worldview which could allow for such a thing to exist. And it says we live in a civilization that has gone completely, utterly insane.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image [source](#)

The original source of this article is [Caitlin's Newsletter](#)
Copyright © [Caitlin Johnstone](#), [Caitlin's Newsletter](#), 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Caitlin Johnstone](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca